Denver Gold Forum

September 2018

COEUR MINING®
We pursue a higher standard

NYSE: **CDE**

Cautionary Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of securities legislation in the United States and Canada, including statements involving strategic priorities and company strategies, expectations regarding environmental, social and governance ("ESG") initiatives, production, costs, exploration efforts, cash flow, margins, tax reform, and operations at the Palmarejo, Rochester, Kensington, Wharf and Silvertip mines, exploration efforts and expectations regarding the Northern Empire acquisition. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause Coeur's actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the risk that the Northern Empire acquisition does not close on a timely basis or at all, or the anticipated benefits of the transaction are not achieved, the risk that the strategies and expectations described in this presentation are not achieved on a timely basis or at all, the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including risks inherent in developing large-scale mining projects, environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather, or geologically related conditions), changes in the market prices of gold, silver, lead, and zinc, and a sustained lower price environment, the uncertainties inherent in Coeur's production, exploratory and developmental activities, including risks relating to permitting and regulatory delays, ground conditions, grade variability, any future labor disputes, or work stoppages, the uncertainties inherent in the estimation of gold and silver ore reserves, changes that could result from Coeur's future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, the loss of any third-party smelter to which Coeur markets silver and gold, the effects of environmental and other governmental regulations, the risks inherent in the ownership or operation of or investment in mining properties or businesses in foreign countries, Coeur's ability to raise additional financing necessary to conduct its business, make payments or refinance its debt as well as other uncertainties and risk factors set out in filings made from time to time with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Canadian securities regulators, including, without limitation, Coeur's most recent report on Forms 10-K and Form 10-Q Actual results, developments, and timetables could vary significantly from the estimates presented. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward looking statements. Coeur disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Additionally, Coeur undertakes no obligation to comment on analyses, expectations, or statements made by third parties in respect of Coeur, its financial or operating results or its securities.

Christopher Pascoe, Coeur's Director, Technical Services and a qualified person under Canadian National Instrument 43-101, reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information concerning Coeur's mineral projects in this presentation. Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized. For a description of the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate mineral reserves and resources, as well as data verification procedures and a general discussion of the extent to which the estimates may be affected by any known environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing or other relevant factors, Canadian investors should see the Technical Reports for each of Coeur's properties as filed (including the Technical Report for the Rochester mine, including the preliminary economic analysis described in this presentation (the "PEA")) on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The PEA for the re-scoped mine plan at the Rochester mine described in this presentation is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources, and does not have as high a level of certainty as a plan based solely on proven and probable reserves. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves and there is no certainty that the results from the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors - The United States Securities and Exchange Commission permits U.S. mining companies, in their filings with the SEC, to disclose only those mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. We may use certain terms in public disclosures, such as "measured," "indicated," "inferred" and "resources," that are recognized by Canadian regulations, but that SEC guidelines generally prohibit U.S. registered companies from including in their filings with the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our Form 10-K which may be secured from us, or from the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov.

Non-U.S. GAAP Measures - We supplement the reporting of our financial information determined under United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) with certain non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, including adjusted net income (loss), adjusted EBITDA, total debt- and net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, adjusted costs applicable to sales per silver equivalent ounce, and adjusted all-in sustaining costs. We believe that these adjusted measures provide meaningful information to assist management, investors and analysts in understanding our financial results and assessing our prospects for future performance. We believe these adjusted financial measures are important indicators of our recurring operations because they exclude items that may not be indicative of, or are unrelated to our core operating results, and provide a better baseline for analyzing trends in our underlying businesses. We believe adjusted net income (loss), adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, total debt- and net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA, adjusted costs applicable to sales per silver equivalent ounce, and adjusted all-in sustaining costs are important measures in assessing the Company's overall financial performance.

Silver and gold equivalence assumes a 60:1 silver-to-gold ratio, except where noted as average spot prices. Average spot prices and silver-to-gold ratios are included in the appendix to this presentation. Silver and lead equivalence assumes a 0.05:1 silver-to-lead ratio. Silver and zinc equivalence assumes a 0.06:1 silver-to-zinc ratio.

The information provided herein is not intended to and does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities of Coeur. Coeur anticipates the issuance of shares of Coeur common stock in connection with the acquisition of Northern Empire Resources Corp. will be exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended pursuant to Section 3(a)(10) thereof.

Who We Are

Based in the U.S., Coeur Mining (NYSE: CDE) is one of the world's largest primary silver producers, a significant producer of gold, and has repositioned its portfolio with a focus on sustainable, high-quality growth and cash flow

$1.1B *market cap[1]*

$19M *90-day ADTV[1]*

$197M *of liquidity[2]*

2,200 *employees*

5 *operating mines*

342M *AgEqOz[3] reserves at year-end 2017*

36.1 – 39.5M *AgEqOz[3] 2018E[4] production*



Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the latest technical reports for Coeur's mines on file at www.sedar.com.
(1) Based on a closing stock price of $5.72 as of September 19, 2018.
(2) As of June 30, 2018. Includes $124M of cash and cash equivalents and $73M of available revolver.
(3) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(4) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.

Summary of Company Strategy

1. *Achieve Investable Level of Scale and Relevance*
   - Differentiate through unique mix of size, growth and quality
   - Maintain leading trading liquidity
2. *Deliver Low Cost Production and Growth in Top Jurisdictions*
   - Continue near-term focus on North American assets and opportunities
   - Relentless portfolio optimization effort targeting bottom two cost quartiles
3. *Maintain Balanced Precious Metals Focus*
   - Generate majority of revenues from a balanced portfolio of gold and silver assets
   - Allow for complementary base metals by-product contribution
4. *Bolster High-Performing Organization and Culture*
   - Drive organizational effectiveness through culture and development focus
   - Pursue alignment through communication, collaboration and incentives
5. *Retain Disciplined Capital Allocation*
   - Prioritize near-mine exploration and organic expansion opportunities
   - Selectively invest in new opportunities via M&A, toeholds and earn-ins
6. *Sustain Balance Sheet Flexibility*
   - Sustain strong cash balance to provide flexibility through cycles and inherent volatility
   - Further de-lever using expected FCF[1] to support future growth



PROTECT

Our People, Places, Planet



DEVELOP

Quality Resources, Growth, Plans



DELIVER

Impactful Results Through Teamwork








(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

Why Invest in Coeur Mining?

1. Balanced, Safe & Unique Portfolio of Precious Metals Assets
2. Repositioned Portfolio with Quality Growth Potential
3. Disciplined Capital Allocation Framework
4. Creating Long-Term Value Through Exploration
5. Revamped, Flexible Balance Sheet
6. Leading ESG Programs, Priorities and Initiatives

1 Balanced, Safe & Unique Portfolio of Precious Metals Assets

COEUR MINING

	2010	2018E[3]
Balanced Asset Mix[1]		
Safe Jurisdictions[1]		
Unique Metal Mix[1]		
U.S.-Centric Reserve Profile[4]		

Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the latest technical reports for Coeur's mines on file at www.sedar.com.

(1) Percentages reflect shares of companywide silver equivalent production on an asset, geographic and metals basis. Other refers to Coeur Capital (primarily production from the Endeavor silver stream in Australia) and the Martha Mine in Argentina. Both assets have been sold by Coeur.

(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively.


(3) Midpoint of production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.

(4) Based on year-end 2010 and 2017 reserves.

2 Repositioned Portfolio with Quality Growth Potential


Metal Production[1,2]

(oz)




Adjusted EBITDA[4] and Adjusted EBITDA Margin[4]

($M)




Capital Expenditures and Adjusted AISC / Spot AgEqOz[2,3]

($M and $, respectively)



Operating Cash Flow Per Share[4] and FCF[4]



(1) Historical figures include production from San Bartolomé; 2018E excludes production from San Bartolomé (discontinued operations).
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation; 2018E average spot prices reflect prices through September 19, 2018.
(3) Midpoint of production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018 and cost and capital expenditures guidance as published by Coeur on July 25, 2018.
(4) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation. Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.
(5) Sustaining capital expenditures includes capital leases.

Repositioned Portfolio with Quality Growth Potential:

Track Record of Asset Optimization

Palmarejo

- Acquisition of Paramount in 2015
- Renegotiation of FNV stream in 2015
- Transition to 100% underground mining in 2016
- 73% and 120% increases in silver and gold grades, respectively, since 2014[1]
- 39% reduction in unit costs since 2014[2,3]

Rochester

- From 2013 to 2016, tons placed increased at a CAGR of 17% before declining due to work on the Stage IV leach pad expansion, which was completed in July 2017
- Increased AgEq[2] production 67% from 2013 to 2017 while reducing unit costs by 32%[4] over the same period
- Crusher upgrades on-schedule to begin in late 2018, with the installation of an initial HPGR unit anticipated early next year; improvements to silver recovery rates anticipated as early as 2Q 2019

Wharf

- Acquired in 2015. Purchase price of US$99 million repaid from free cash flow in approximately two years
- U.S. NOL tax synergy
- Improved plant recovery rates 15% since acquisition
- 55% increase in year-end reserves since last reported figure prior to Coeur's acquisition[5]

Kensington

- 50% higher throughput and production since 2012
- Reduced unit costs 25%[2,6] from 2012 to 2017
- Jualin and other high-grade zones expected to drive future grade, production and cash flow growth; reflected in updated technical report filed in April 2018

(1) Based on grades of 6.86 oz/t silver and 0.11 oz/t gold in 2Q 2018 compared to 3.97 oz/t silver and 0.05 oz/t gold for FY2014.
(2) Gold and silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold ratio of 60:1 unless otherwise noted. Average applicable spot prices and silver-to-gold ratios are provided in the appendix to this presentation. See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Based on adj. CAS per average spot AgEqOz of $8.38 for FY2017 compared to $13.77 for FY2014.
(4) Based on mining costs per ton of $1.56 for FY2017 compared to $2.30 for FY2013.
(5) Mineral reserves estimate for the year ended December 31, 2013 as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. See relevant tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(6) Based on CAS per AuOz of $922 for FY2017 compared to $1,227 for FY2012.

Repositioned Portfolio with Quality Growth Potential:

Track Record of Opportunistic M&A and Divestitures

	Company / Asset	Type	Rationale
2015	Paramount Silver & Gold	Acquisition	• Synergistic bolt-on of royalty-free land package adjacent to the Company's Palmarejo mine • Primarily stock deal timed to leverage historically-low market value of target and preserve cash for growth investment
2015	Wharf Mine	Acquisition	• Turnkey operation in U.S. with low geopolitical and technical risks; immediate opportunity for plant efficiency improvements • Significant U.S. tax synergies
2017	Joaquin Project	Divestiture	• Monetized non-core exploration project located in Argentina and improved the Company's geopolitical footprint
2017	Endeavor Silver Stream	Divestiture	• Divested Endeavor silver stream along with remaining royalties
2017	Silvertip Mine	Acquisition	• High-grade operation with key infrastructure in place • Considerable exploration potential • Established footprint in preeminent mining jurisdiction
2018	San Bartolomé Mine	Divestiture	• Timely sale of highest-cost operation while retaining potential upside in form of 2.0% net smelter returns royalty on the mill • Substantially improved Company's geopolitical risk profile with exit from Bolivia
2018[1]	Northern Empire Resources	Acquisition	• Expands the Company's presence in a familiar and top-rated mining jurisdiction • Previously active Sterling Mine presents relatively turnkey operation; highly prospective Crown Block represents long-term exploration potential

(1) Acquisition of Northern Empire Resources Corp. was announced on August 2, 2018 and is expected to close in early October.

Silvertip: High-Quality Addition

Silvertip is a newly-constructed, high-grade silver-zinc-lead mine located in northern British Columbia

- Expected to add high-margin, low-cost cash flow from a low-risk jurisdiction
  - Completed acquisition in October 2017 for initial consideration of $200 million
  - Commissioned processing facility in March 2018
  - Declared commercial production on September 1, 2018
- Year-to-date investment of approximately $61 million ($8 million expensed and $53 million capitalized)[1]
- Total land package of approximately 93,000 acres, less than 5% of which has been explored and drilled
- Near-term priorities include
  - Constructing improved camp facilities
  - Accelerating underground development
  - Introducing long hole stope mining to selected areas



Mill flotation circuit



Recovered metal from flotation



Clearing for the new camp

(1) Through August 31, 2018. Includes approximately $35 million of capitalized operating expenses, $8 million of capitalized resource infill drilling and $2 million of expensed resource expansion drilling.

Northern Empire: Compelling Transaction Rationale

Favorable Mining Jurisdiction	• Expands Coeur's existing footprint in Nevada, a top-tier mining jurisdiction
High Grade Resource	• Sterling's pit-constrained inferred resource grade of 3.67 g/t[1] is one of the highest among open-pit heap leach projects globally • Crown Block hosts inferred resource of 693,000 ounces at 1.06 g/t[2]
Near-Term Production Potential	• Coeur expects to restart the Sterling Mine in 2021 with all major permits in hand and low capital requirements • Fits well with the timing of Coeur's existing portfolio of assets
Attractive Cost Profile	• High-quality ounces expected to generate low costs, high margins and strong cash flow
Low-Risk Operation	• Open-pit heap leach operation with straightforward metallurgy and low capital intensity • Ability to leverage existing infrastructure
Significant Exploration Potential	• Large land position of 143 km^2 in a recently consolidated and underexplored district – Sterling remains open for expansion – The Crown Block is an 8 km trend with multiple highly-prospective oxide targets along regional fault structures – 17 new, undrilled targets identified on underexplored, district-scale land package
De-Risked Acquisition Strategy	• Shareholder since mid 2017 with approximately 11% ownership • Expected to close in early October

(1) Based on a 1.0 g/t cut-off grade; for additional information see resource estimate tables and notes indicated therein on slide 44.
(2) Based on a 0.3 g/t cut-off grade; for additional information see resource estimate tables and notes indicated therein on slide 44.

Northern Empire: Near- and Long-Term Value Potential

Sterling Mine


- Quick restart possible with major permits in hand
- 4Q 2018 and 2019 drilling to focus on both infill drilling and resource growth
- Targeting a NI 43-101 resource update in early 2020
- Expected restart in 2021
- Anticipated budget of approximately $1–$2 million per annum
- Low estimated initial capex requirement of < $50 million

Crown Block

- Promising drill results from recent exploration[1]:
  - Daisy: 124 m grading 1.41 g/t Au
  - Secret Pass: 70 m grading 1.79 g/t Au
  - SNA: 43 m grading 0.82 g/t Au
- Exploration to initially focus on SNA followed by Daisy and Secret Pass
- Anticipated budget of approximately $4–$5 million per annum over the next 3–5 years

Regional

- Since acquiring the Sterling Mine, Northern Empire has identified 17 new, undrilled targets within their 143 km^2 land package
  - Coeur intends to continue drill testing new targets following growth of existing resources



(1) For complete list of drill results published by Northern Empire please refer to Northern Empire news releases available at www.sedar.com.

3 Disciplined Capital Allocation Framework


COEUR MINING

Mining is capital intensive and requires a disciplined approach to capital allocation with a view toward long-term reserve replacement and growth


	IRR	Opportunities and Advantages	Challenges
Safety / Environment / Sustainability	–	✓ Reflects the Company's values ✓ Mitigates risk for stakeholders ✓ Establishes and sustains social license	–
Asset Optimization / Enhancements	25% +	✓ Highest returns ✓ Quickest impact ✓ Low capital intensity ✓ Lowest risk	× Finite opportunity set
Brownfield Exploration	20% +	✓ High success / lowest risk exploration ✓ Low average discovery cost ✓ Quick payback given existing infrastructure	× Returns dependent on specific deposit × All deposits eventually come to an end
Opportunistic M&A	15% +	✓ Opportunity to meaningfully impact company ✓ Scale and liquidity can be differentiators	× Limited number of opportunities meet criteria × Requires significant organizational commitment × Challenging social dynamics
8% - 12% estimated cost of capital			
Greenfield Exploration	Can vary widely	✓ Potential to create substantial value from new discoveries	× Low success rate × Requires long-term, sustained financial and organizational commitment
Debt Repayment	5% - 6%	✓ Eliminates/reduces interest expense ✓ Improves balance sheet flexibility ✓ Mitigates downside metal price risk	× Low ROI × Reduces cash liquidity levels × Typically expensive (fees, premium required)
Dividends / Repurchases	–	✓ Reflects Board and management confidence in long-term outlook ✓ Provides discipline ✓ Offers opportunity to differentiate among peers	× Industry's cyclicality and capital intensity × Not a key driver for most investors × Restricted by indenture and credit agreement

4 Creating Long-Term Value Through Exploration


Total Exploration Investment
($M)




Proven and Probable Reserves
(M oz)



Total Exploration Investment by Mine
($M)




Brownfield versus Greenfield Investment
($M)




Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.
(1) 2018 guidance as published by Coeur on July 25, 2018.
(2) Other includes La Preciosa and San Bartolomé (discontinued operations).

5 Revamped, Flexible Balance Sheet


Total Debt
($M)




Leverage Ratios[1]



Quarterly Interest Expense[3]
($M)



Significant Liquidity
($M)



(1) Total and net leverage reflect total debt- and net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA, respectively, for continuing operations in the period noted. See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Net of capitalized interest.

6 Leading ESG Programs, Priorities and Initiatives

Environmental

- Green House Gas Emissions
- Biodiversity
- Waste Minimization
- Water Stewardship
- Closure Planning

Social

Human Capital Management

- Fair Employment Practices and Equal Opportunity
- Training and Development
- Health, Safety & Security

Society

- Investment in Local Communities
- Indigenous Rights
- Human Rights

Governance

- Ethics and Governance
- Compliance
- Anti-Corruption



PROTECT

Our People, Places, Planet



DEVELOP

Quality Resources, Growth, Plans



DELIVER

Impactful Results Through Teamwork

A Compelling Value Proposition

- ✓ Balanced, Safe & Unique Portfolio of Precious Metals Assets
- ✓ Repositioned Portfolio with Quality Growth Potential
- ✓ Disciplined Capital Allocation Framework
- ✓ Creating Long-Term Value Through Exploration
- ✓ Revamped, Flexible Balance Sheet
- ✓ Leading ESG Programs, Priorities and Initiatives



- Silvertip ramp-up
- Rochester expansion
- Kensington transition to higher grades
- Palmarejo exploration
- Silvertip exploration
- Complete Northern Empire acquisition and commence drilling at Sterling and Crown

2018 Guidance[1]

2018 Production Outlook

	Silver (K oz)	Gold (oz)	Zinc (K lbs)	Lead (K lbs)	AgEqOz[2] (K oz)
Palmarejo	7,500 – 7,900	115,000 – 120,000	-	-	14,400 – 15,100
Rochester	4,800 – 5,200	48,000 – 52,000	-	-	7,680 – 8,320
Kensington	-	115,000 – 120,000	-	-	6,900 – 7,200
Wharf	-	85,000 – 90,000	-	-	5,100 – 5,400
Silvertip	700 – 1,200	-	13,000 – 23,000	11,000 – 18,000	2,030 – 3,480
Total	**13,000 – 14,300**	**363,000 – 382,000**	**13,000 – 23,000**	**11,000 – 18,000**	**36,110 – 39,500**

2018 Cost Outlook

($M, except per ounce amounts)	60:1	Average Spot
CAS per AgEqOz[2] – Palmarejo	$9.00 - $9.50	$8.00 - $8.50
CAS per AgEqOz[2] – Rochester	$13.25 - $13.75	$12.00 - $12.50
CAS per AuOz[2] – Kensington	$900 - $950	
CAS per AuEqOz[2] – Wharf	$850 - $900	
CAS per AgEqOz[2] – Silvertip	$15.00 - $15.50	$12.00 - $12.50
Capital Expenditures	$130 - $150	
General & Administrative Expenses	$32 - $34	
Exploration Expense	$25 - $30	
AISC per AgEqOz[2]	$17.25 - $17.75	$14.75 - $15.25

(1) Production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018 and cost guidance as published by Coeur on July 25, 2018.
(2) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation. Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Spot silver equivalence for 2018 guidance purposes assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 75:1, 0.07:1 and 0.09:1, respectively. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation.


Appendix

Silvertip


Ownership	100%
Claims	90,156 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing, concentrate thickening
Metals	Lead concentrate, zinc concentrate



2018E[1] Production
(AgEqOz[2])



Share of 2017 R&R[3]
(AgEqOz[2])



2017 Reserves and Resources[3]

	Tons (M)	Grade Ag (oz/t)	Grade Pb (%)	Grade Zn (%)	Contained Ag (M oz)	Contained Pb (M lbs)	Contained Zn (M lbs)
Measured & Indicated Resources							
Measured	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indicated	2.6	10.26	6.74	9.41	26.6	348.8	487.3
Total	2.6	10.26	6.74	9.41	26.6	348.8	487.3
Inferred Resources							
Total	0.5	9.89	6.18	9.81	5.0	62.6	99.4


(1) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(3) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources.

Exploration at Silvertip Delivers Positive Results

COEUR MINING

Highlights:

- 44,500 meters (146,000 feet) drilled during initial campaign
- At **Central Zone**, continuity of mineralization confirmed while thicknesses and grades have exceeded early interpretations
- Drilling at both **Discovery Zone** and **Silver Creek** has intercepted mineralization not previously modeled and demonstrated potential for resource expansion
  - Results suggest the manto-style zones may support higher-volume mining methods than initially planned
- Second phase of drilling program commenced early July with at least 18,000 meters (59,000 feet) of surface drilling planned



Note: For complete drill results, please visit http://www.coeur.com/_resources/pdfs/180725_SILVERTIP_Exploration_PR_Appendix_v2.pdf. The potential quantity and grade for the deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Overview of Initial Silvertip Mine Plan

Operating Parameters[1]

	Units	LOM
Total ore production	M tonnes	2.7
Initial mine life	Years	7.5
Average production rate	tpd	1,000
Average annual production		
Silver equivalent	M oz AgEq	10
Silver	M oz Ag	3
Zinc	M lbs Zn	45 - 50
Lead	M lbs Pb	40 - 45
Average head grade		
Silver equivalent	g/t AgEq	1,177
Silver	g/t Ag	364
Zinc	% Zn	9.1%
Lead	% Pb	7.1%
Average metallurgical recoveries		
Silver equivalent	%	83%
Silver	%	85%
Zinc	%	82%
Lead	%	84%

Costs Assumptions[1]

	Units	LOM
Pre-production capex	US$M	$25 - $35
Sustaining capex	US$M	$55 - $65
Operating costs		
Mining costs	US$/tonne	$95 - $100
Processing costs	US$/tonne	$35 - $40
G&A	US$/tonne	$30 - $35
Cash costs	US$/oz AgEq	$9.50 - $10.50
AISC	US$/oz AgEq	$10.50 - $11.50

Companywide production

- 10 million AgEqOz[2] of average annual production expected over initial 7.5 year LOM, contributing significantly to Coeur's production

Companywide costs

- At full capacity and over its initial LOM, Silvertip's AISC per AgEqOz[1] is expected to average approximately $10.50 - $11.50

(1) For purposes of silver equivalence, metals prices of US$1,250/oz gold, US$17.50/oz silver, US$1.13/lb lead, and US$1.40/lb zinc were used.

Notes to Initial Silvertip Mine Plan

Notes to the Silvertip summary mine plan:


(a) This economic analysis is preliminary in nature and is based upon the current resource estimate as set out in this presentation which includes inferred mineral resources. Inferred resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves and there is no certainty that the economic assessment will be realized.

(b) The above qualifications and assumptions have been used by the qualified person in developing this economic analysis.


(c) The continued production of the Silvertip mine is not based on demonstrated economic viability of mineral reserves and, as a result, there may be an increased uncertainty of achieving any particular level of recovery of minerals or the cost of such recovery.

Palmarejo


Ownership	100%
Claims	112,520 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation, CIL, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~6 years



2018E[1] Production
(AgEqOz[2])



Share of 2017 R&R[3]
(AgEqOz[2])




2017 Reserves and Resources[3]

	Short tons	Grade (oz/t) Silver	Grade (oz/t) Gold	Ounces (contained) Silver	Ounces (contained) Gold
Proven & Probable Reserves					
Proven	1,571,000	3.81	0.073	5,978,000	115,000
Probable	9,414,000	4.36	0.063	41,033,000	591,000
Total	10,985,000	4.28	0.064	47,011,000	706,000
Measured & Indicated Resources					
Measured	629,000	3.07	0.051	1,928,000	32,000
Indicated	7,445,000	3.37	0.045	25,120,000	336,000
Total	8,074,000	3.35	0.046	27,049,000	368,000
Inferred Resources					
Total	7,336,000	4.23	0.050	31,061,000	369,000

(1) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(3) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Palmarejo on file at www.sedar.com.


Palmarejo (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)


	2Q 2017	3Q 2017	4Q 2017	1Q 2018	2Q 2018
UG tons mined	335,856	414,502	390,268	357,227	344,062
UG mining costs per UG ton mined	$48	$42	$40	$43	$40
Processing costs per ton processed[3,4]	$30	$25	$28	$28	$30
G&A per ton processed[5]	$20	$8	$13	$13	$18

(1) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Spot silver equivalence for 2018 guidance purposes assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 75:1, 0.07:1 and 0.09:1, respectively. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Excludes gold production royalty payments to Franco-Nevada.
(4) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(5) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.


Palmarejo: A Confluence of Strategic Successes

2017 represented the culmination of synergistic M&A, development of higher-margin production, and high-return exploration




- 2014: Renegotiated FNV gold stream agreement (took effect in 2016)
- 2015: Acquired adjacent, royalty-free land package
- 2016: Transitioned to higher-grade, underground-only operations
- 2017: Achieved mining rate target; accelerated results-based drill programs


Underground Transition and Grades



Production, LTM FCF[1] and Unit Costs



Reserves and Resources




Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.
(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments. See reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation. See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

Palmarejo: Validates Near-Mine Exploration Philosophy

Exploration at Palmarejo continues to drill new, high-grade discoveries near existing infrastructure with encouraging results


- Resource conversion and expansion at Guadalupe and Independencia Mines; two to three drill rigs active in 1H 2018
- **Nación-Dana**: Northern portion drilled with mine planning group, while southern portion drilled for resource expansion, completed 1H 2018
- Resource growth continues on new veins:
  - **Zapata**, **Madero**, **Rampa**, and **La Antena**, west of Guadalupe, continue drilling into 2H 2018
    - **Zapata** under review by mine operations for development in near term
  - **Portales** and **Jacobo**, east of Guadalupe drilled 1H 2018, continuing into 2H
  - Hidalgo and Reforma currently being drilled north of Independencia
  - Saucillo, renamed to Barrera Vein, expected to be drilled by year-end




Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Palmarejo on file at www.sedar.com.

Rochester


Ownership	100%
Claims	16,494 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, dump heap leaching, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~21 years



2018E[1] Production
(AgEqOz[2])



Share of 2017 R&R[3]
(AgEqOz[2])




2017 Reserves and Resources[3]

	Short tons	Grade (oz/t) Silver	Grade (oz/t) Gold	Ounces (contained) Silver	Ounces (contained) Gold
Proven & Probable Reserves					
Proven	195,724,000	0.45	0.003	87,518,000	598,000
Probable	77,703,000	0.39	0.002	30,105,000	159,000
Total	273,427,000	0.43	0.003	117,623,000	758,000
Measured & Indicated Resources					
Measured	101,929,000	0.37	0.003	38,012,000	259,000
Indicated	77,973,000	0.36	0.002	27,579,000	172,000
Total	179,902,000	0.36	0.002	65,591,000	431,000
Inferred Resources					
Total	131,570,000	0.42	0.002	55,472,000	275,000

(1) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(3) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Rochester on file at www.sedar.com.


Rochester (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)

	2Q 2017	3Q 2017	4Q 2017	1Q 2018	2Q 2018
Ore tons mined	4,464,062	4,332,419	4,162,854	4,236,783	4,064,245
Mining costs per ton mined	$1.51	$1.33	$1.64	$1.73	$1.78
Processing costs per ton processed[3]	$3.26	$3.15	$2.98	$3.06	$3.60
G&A per ton processed[4]	$0.61	$0.77	$0.70	$0.78	$0.89

(1) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Spot silver equivalence for 2018 guidance purposes assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 75:1, 0.07:1 and 0.09:1, respectively. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(4) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Rochester: Re-Scoped Mine Plan Doubles NAV

A re-scoped mine plan and PEA incorporates the positive economic impact expected from the potential addition of HPGR technology to Rochester's crushing circuit beginning in early 2019

Highlights of Expected HPGR Impact

- Increases recoveries from 61% over 20 years to 70% in just over two years
- Decrease in strip ratio by more than half from 0.8:1 to less than 0.4:1
- Lower crusher maintenance and power costs
- Marked increase in anticipated pre-tax cash flow margin from 19% to 31%

	2017 TR	2018 PEA
Mine Life	2031	2038
Silver Recovery	61%	70%
Overall Silver Recovery	20 years	2 years
Strip Ratio	0.8:1	0.4:1
Operating Cash Flow ($M)	$831	$1,306
Capital Expenditures ($M)	$387	$351
Average Annual Pre-Tax Cash Flow ($M)	$33	$48
$NAV_{5\%}$ ($M)	$280	$609


Note: For additional information regarding Rochester's re-scoped mine plan and PEA, please refer to slides 33-34. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable Technical Reports for Rochester on file at www.sedar.com.

What is HPGR?

High pressure grinding rolls are relatively new to precious metals operations, although the technology has been proven in processing other materials

- HPGRs have been used in the aggregates sector for decades, though early challenges associated with surface wear of the rollers delayed its adoption in hard rock processing
- In an HPGR unit, feed material is subjected to high compressive force exerted by the floating roll, which is regulated by hydraulic pistons
- Key advantages include:
  - Low operational and maintenance costs due in large part to limited moving parts
  - Limited downtime for wear part replacement
  - Comparatively higher comminution efficiency
  - Accommodates greater mineral variability
- Recent installations by precious metals producers include[1]:
  - Soledad, Golden Queen Mining (California, 2014)
  - Cadia, Newcrest Mining (Australia, 2010)
  - Peñasquito, Goldcorp (Mexico, 2008)

High Pressure Grinding Roll Schematic




Source: Thyssenkrupp Industrial Solutions POLYCOM® Installations (2018).

Rochester: Site Map and Planned Expansion

Highlights:

- Initial phase includes upgrading the existing X-Pit crushing facility later during the year
  - Smaller N-Pit crushing facility will be simultaneously decommissioned by year-end
  - Installation of first HPGR unit planned for 1Q 2019
- Second phase of the planned expansion includes construction of a new crushing facility
  - Expected to handle 20 million tons per annum, significantly exceeding current capacity
  - In addition, a new leach pad and expanded Merrill Crowe plant are being permitted through POA11, with approval expected in early 2020



Note: For additional information regarding Rochester's re-scoped mine plan and PEA, please refer to slides 33-34. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable Technical Reports for Rochester on file at www.sedar.com.

Summary of Rochester PEA[1]

PEA Highlights

- Re-scoped mine plan and PEA incorporate the anticipated economic benefit from:
  - Potential addition of an HPGR unit in early 2019
  - Planned construction in 2020 of a new crusher, including a second HPGR, with anticipated 20 million tons per year capacity (compared to current capacity of approximately 15 million tons)
- Includes approximately 80 million tons of inferred material in addition to year-end 2017 reserves
  - Inferred material would be infill drilled to reserve confidence over several years
- Anticipated benefits from HPGR technology include:
  - Improved silver recovery and accelerated timing of ultimate recovery from 20 to two years
  - Reduction of strip ratio from 0.8:1 to under 0.04:1
  - Reduced maintenance and energy consumption

		2017 TR	2018 PEA
Proven and probable reserves			
Mineralized material tons	K tons	244,804	
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t	0.003	
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t	0.46	
Measured and indicated resources			
Mineralized material tons	K tons		277,151
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t		0.003
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t		0.44
Inferred resources			
Mineralized material tons	K tons		74,632
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t		0.002
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t		0.38
Metallurgical recovery gold	%	92%	92%
Metallurgical recovery silver	%	61%	70%
Revenue			
Gold price (2018-LOM)	$/oz	$1,250	$1,250
Silver price (2018 - LOM)	$/oz	$17.50	$17.50
Gross revenue	$M	$2,225	$3,129
Operating costs			
Mining	$M	($549)	($602)
Crushing/Processing	$M	($613)	($904)
General and administrative	$M	($135)	($174)
Smelting and refining	$M	($20)	($29)
Corporate management fee	$M	($35)	($45)
Net proceeds tax	$M	($43)	($69)
Royalties	$M	$0	$0
Total operating cost	$M	($1,394)	($1,823)
Cost per AgEq ounce (71.4:1)	$/AgEq	$10.97	$10.20
Cost per AgEq (60.0:1)	$/AgEq	$11.79	$10.85
Cash flow			
Operating cash flow	$M	$831	$1,306
Capital	$M	($387)	($351)
Royalties and others	$M	($12)	$0
Total pre-tax cash flow	$M	$431	$955
Project pre-tax NPV (5% discount rate)	$M	$280	$609

(1) For additional information regarding Rochester's re-scoped mine plan and PEA, please refer to slide 34. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report and Preliminary Economic Assessment for Rochester dated March 5, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.

Notes to Rochester's Re-Scoped Mine Plan and PEA

(a) 2018 PEA is effective December 31, 2017 and filed March 5, 2018 and the 2017 Technical Report is effective December 31, 2016.

(b) Assumed metals prices for estimated Mineral Resources included in the 2018 Technical Report were $20.00 per ounce of silver and $1,400 per ounce of gold. Assumed metal prices for estimated 2016 year-end Mineral Reserves were $17.50 per ounce of silver and $1,250 per ounce of gold and for estimated 2016 year-end Mineral Resources were $19.00 per ounce of silver and $1,275 per ounce of gold.

(c) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized.

(d) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(e) For details on the estimation of mineral reserves, mineral resources, and inferred mineral resources, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Reserves, Mineral Resources, and Inferred Mineral Resources, Canadian investors should refer to the 2017 Technical Report on file at www.sedar.com as well as the 2018 Rochester Technical Report, including the PEA, filed March 5, 2018.


(f) The Mineral Reserves silver equivalent cut-off grade equals 0.49 oz/t and the gold multiplier equals 109. The gold multiplying factor for silver equivalent is based on: [($Price Au - $Refining Au) / ($Price Ag - $Refining Ag)] x [(%Recovery Au) / (%Recovery Ag)]. The Mineral Resources cut-off grade equals 0.40 oz/t and the gold multiplier equals 103.

(g) Rounding of short tons, grades, and troy ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grades, and contained metal contents.


(h) Mineral Reserves are contained within the Measured and Indicated pit designs, or in stockpiles are supported by a plan featuring variable throughput rates, stockpiling and cut-off optimization. The PEA plan is contained within the Measured, Indicated and Inferred pit design and has a different mining sequence, variable production rate and an alternative cut-off grade as described in footnotes b, e, and f.

Wharf


Ownership	100%
Claims	7,852 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, “on-off” heap leaching, spent ore neutralization, carbon absorption/desorption
Metals	Electrolytic cathodic sludge
Mine life	~7 years



2018E[1] Production
(AgEqOz[2])



Share of 2017 R&R[3]
(AgEqOz[2])




2017 Reserves and Resources[3]

	Short tons	Grade (oz/t) Silver	Grade (oz/t) Gold	Ounces (contained) Silver	Ounces (contained) Gold
Proven & Probable Reserves					
Proven	18,125,000	-	0.027	-	483,000
Probable	16,560,000	-	0.023	-	386,000
Total	34,685,000	-	0.025	-	869,000
Measured & Indicated Resources					
Measured	2,150,000	-	0.025	-	54,000
Indicated	5,560,000	-	0.022	-	122,000
Total	7,710,000	-	0.023	-	176,000
Inferred Resources					
Total	1,050,000	-	0.026	-	27,000

(1) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(3) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Wharf on file at www.sedar.com.


Wharf (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)

	2Q 2017	3Q 2017	4Q 2017	1Q 2018	2Q 2018
Ore tons mined	988,167	1,405,308	754,785	1,114,395	1,202,820
Mining costs per ton mined	$2.46	$2.01	$3.12	$2.27	$2.41
Pad unload costs per ton mined	$0.59	$0.24	$0.87	$0.37	$0.49
Total mining costs per ton mined (incl. pad unload)	$3.04	$2.24	$3.98	$2.63	$2.89
Processing costs per ton processed[3]	$2.22	$2.96	$2.19	$2.41	$1.98
G&A per ton processed[4]	$1.88	$1.74	$2.08	$2.24	$2.12

(1) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices. Spot silver equivalence for 2018 guidance purposes assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 75:1, 0.07:1 and 0.09:1, respectively. Historical average spot prices and equivalences are included in the appendix to this presentation.


(2) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(4) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Wharf: A Case Study for Successful M&A

Acquired in early 2015, Wharf has already generated a return on investment of approximately 21%[1], driven by operational improvements, targeted investments in exploration, and several technical and modeling enhancements

Free Cash Flow[2]

($M)



$135.8M


Cumulative FCF[2] since acquisition

Gold Reserves and Depletion

(K oz)

+55%

Increase in year-end reserves since last reported figure prior to Coeur's acquisition



Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Wharf on file at www.sedar.com.

(1) Return on investment determined based on final acquisition cost of $99.5M in February 2015 and free cash flows of $28.8M, $57.6M, $40.8M and $8.6M in 2015, 2016, 2017 and 1H 2018, respectively. Mid-period convention was used in calculating the return on investment.

(2) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments. See applicable reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(3) Mineral reserves estimate for the year ended December 31, 2013 as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. See relevant tables in the appendix to this presentation.


(4) Estimated depletion of contained mineral reserves based on production as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. in 2014 and 2015 and Coeur since its acquisition through 2017 and assumes an 80% metallurgical recovery rate.

Kensington


Ownership	100%
Claims	12,336 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing
Metals	Gold concentrate
Mine life	~5 years



2018E[1] Production
(AgEqOz[2])



Share of 2017 R&R[3]
(AgEqOz[2])




2017 Reserves and Resources[3]

	Short tons	Grade (oz/t) Silver	Grade (oz/t) Gold	Ounces (contained) Silver	Ounces (contained) Gold
Proven & Probable Reserves					
Proven	1,284,700	-	0.198	-	254,900
Probable	1,676,300	-	0.218	-	365,800
Total	2,961,000	-	0.210	-	620,700
Measured & Indicated Resources					
Measured	1,546,300	-	0.255	-	395,000
Indicated	1,197,500	-	0.265	-	317,600
Total	2,743,800	-	0.260	-	712,600
Inferred Resources					
Total	1,387,800	-	0.220	-	304,800

(1) 2018 production guidance as published by Coeur on September 4, 2018.
(2) Silver equivalence assumes silver-to-gold, -lead and -zinc ratios of 60:1, 0.05:1 and 0.06:1, respectively, except where noted as average spot prices.
(3) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Kensington on file at www.sedar.com.


Kensington (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)


	2Q 2017	3Q 2017	4Q 2017	1Q 2018	2Q 2018
Ore tons mined	171,561	164,200	181,710	157,001	153,446
Mining costs per ton mined	$51	$57	$62	$63	$74
Processing costs per ton processed[2]	$41	$39	$43	$49	$48
G&A per ton processed[3]	$29	$31	$33	$37	$40


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) Excludes third-party smelting charges, which are reflected in average realized selling prices of concentrate production.
(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Kensington: Growth Driven by High-Grade Focus

Drilling at Kensington has remained focused on both upgrading resources, as well as discovering and expanding high-grade veins

- Resource infill and expansion at **Kensington Main**
  - Continued expansion Blocks M and L in lower Kensington
  - Underway in 2H, infill drilling Zone 30 and Elmira in 2H, upper Kensington
- Upgrade and expansion of the **Jualin** resource
  - Maiden Jualin reserve included in Kensington's technical report filed in April 2018
- Expansion drilling of upper and lower **Raven** vein completed, assays pending
- New test of **Comet-Thomas-Seward** vein from underground tunnel was successful
- New targets being mapped and sampled for 2019 drill program





Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report for Kensington dated April 25, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.


Kensington: Continued High-Grade Transformation

Kensington's updated technical report demonstrates the strong potential impact of a relatively small amount of high-grade mill feed on overall mine economics

Highlights of Kensington Technical Report

- 13% increase in expected average annual payable production to approximately 130,000 ounces of gold from 2019 through 2021
- 17% increase in anticipated life-of-mine head grade of 0.21 oz/t compared to the 2017 average head grade of 0.18 oz/t
- An extension of mine life from 2020 to 2022 based on current reserves
- 84% increase in expected life-of-mine pre-tax cash flows to $90 million compared to $49 million in Kensington's 2014 technical report
- Additional 713,000 AuOz of Measured and Indicated resources and 305,000 AuOz of Inferred resources convey upside potential

Continued Exploration Potential



Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report for Kensington dated April 25, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.

Review of Northern Empire Transaction

On August 2, 2018, Coeur entered into a definitive agreement to acquire Northern Empire Resources, which owns two high grade oxide gold projects in Southern Nevada, including the past producing Sterling Mine

Overview of Transaction

Structure	• Each Northern Empire shareholder will receive 0.1850x Coeur shares • Implied valuation of Northern Empire of approximately C$117 million ($90 million), inclusive of shares held by Coeur – Approximately C$105 million (~$81 million), exclusive of shares held by Coeur • Acquisition to be completed by Plan of Arrangement
Offer Premia	• Premium of 20% based on the closing prices of Coeur and Northern Empire on August 1, 2018 • Premium of 40% based on the 20-day VWAPs of Coeur and Northern Empire on August 1, 2018
Conditions	• All significant conditions to closing, including Northern Empire security holder and court approvals, have been obtained
Other Terms	• Break fee of approximately C$4.7 million • Non-solicitation and right to match • Customary restrictive covenants • Voting support from Northern Empire directors and senior officers representing approximately 8% of basic outstanding common shares
Closing	• Expected to close in early October

Note: All dollar amounts are in USD unless otherwise indicated.

Northern Empire: Aligned with Strategic Objectives

COEUR MINING

Achieve Scale & Relevance

- The restart of the Sterling Mine is expected to have a near-term positive impact on Coeur's margins and cash flow profile
- Acquisition of high-quality ounces and a large land package provides Coeur with increased competitive positioning in Nevada

Deliver Low Cost Production in Top Jurisdictions

- Addition of two projects expected to have low cash costs and high margins
- The further expansion in the Nevada district is aligned with Coeur's strategy of developing a U.S.-centric asset portfolio comprised of projects in top mining jurisdictions

Sustain Balance Sheet Flexibility

- The Sterling Mine adds expected near-term production with low capital intensity
  - Near-term free cash flow generation potential expected to maintain Coeur's balance sheet flexibility and provide opportunity to further de-lever
- The lower-risk nature of the operation (oxide gold, heap leach, run-of-mine) reduces the potential of unforeseen costs

Bolster Development Pipeline

- Coeur gains ownership of a large land position in an underexplored district
- The Crown Block has significant exploration potential (land package contains 17 identified new targets that remain untested) and is the core of an emerging gold district, bolstering Coeur's development pipeline

Sterling Gold Project Resource Estimate and Sensitivities

COEUR MINING

Sterling Deposit Inferred Gold Resource

Resource Type	Cutoff Grade	Tonnes	Average Grade	Contained Au
	(Au g/t)	('000)	(Au g/t)	(oz '000)
Surface	0.30	3,081	2.57	254
Surface	0.70	2,399	3.15	243
Surface	**1.00**	**1,958**	**3.67**	**231**
Non-pit constrained	1.00	614	2.50	49
Non-pit constrained	1.40	454	2.98	43
Non-pit constrained	**1.70**	**350**	**3.38**	**38**

Notes
- Results based on cyanide soluble assay testing.
- CIM definitions are followed for classification of Mineral Resource.
- Mineral Resource surface pit extent has been estimated using a gold price of US$1,200 per ounce and mining cost of US$2.10 per ton (US$2.31 per tonne) and a leached gold recovery of 88%.
- Cutoff grade for Sterling Surface and Non-pit constrained resources are 1.0 g/t gold and 1.7 g/t gold respectively, all other cutoff grades are shown for sensitivity analysis purposes.
- Non-pit constrained resources below the surface pit and targeted for underground mining are based on a gold price of US$1,200 per ounce and mining costs of $US45 per ton. Other modifying factors remain unchanged.
- Imperial (A-series) to metric (B-series) conversion: 1 ton =0.907185 tonne, 1 opt = 34.2857 g/t.
- Totals may not represent the sum of the parts due to rounding.
- The Mineral Resource estimate has been prepared by Derek Loveday, P. Geo. of Norwest Corporation in conformity with CIM "Estimation of Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserves Best Practices" guidelines and are reported in accordance with the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that any mineral resource will be converted into mineral reserve.

Daisy Deposit Inferred Gold Resource

Resource Type	Cutoff Grade	Tonnes	Average Grade	Contained Au
	(Au g/t)	('000)	(Au g/t)	(oz '000)
Surface	0.30	5,362	1.34	232
Surface	0.70	3,766	1.71	207
Surface	**1.00**	**2,556**	**2.12**	**174**

Notes
- Results based on fire assay testing.
- CIM definitions are followed for classification of Mineral Resource.
- Mineral Resource surface pit extent has been estimated using a gold price of US$1,200 per ounce and mining cost of US$2.10 per ton (US$2.31 per tonne) and a leached gold recovery of 88%.
- Cutoff grade Daisy is 1.0 g/t gold, all other cutoff grades are shown for sensitivity analysis purposes.
- Imperial (A-series) to metric (B-series) conversion: 1 ton =0.907185 tonne, 1 opt = 34.2857 g/t.
- Totals may not represent the sum of the parts due to rounding.
- The Mineral Resource estimate has been prepared by Derek Loveday, P. Geo. of Norwest Corporation in conformity with
- CIM "Estimation of Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserves Best Practices" guidelines and are reported in accordance with the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that any mineral resource will be converted into mineral reserve.

Secret Pass Deposit Inferred Gold Resource

Resource Type	Cutoff Grade	Tonnes	Average Grade	Contained Au
	(Au g/t)	('000)	(Au g/t)	(oz '000)
Surface	0.30	11,143	0.93	335
Surface	0.70	6,096	1.30	256
Surface	**1.00**	**3,534**	**1.65**	**188**

Notes
- Results based on fire assay testing.
- CIM definitions are followed for classification of Mineral Resource.
- Mineral Resource surface pit extent has been estimated using a gold price of US$1,200 per ounce and mining cost of US$2.10 per ton (US$2.31 per tonne) and a leached gold recovery of 80%.
- Cutoff grade Secret Pass is 1.0 g/t gold, all other cutoff grades are shown for sensitivity analysis purposes.
- Imperial (A-series) to metric (B-series) conversion: 1 ton =0.907185 tonne, 1 opt = 34.2857 g/t.
- Totals may not represent the sum of the parts due to rounding.
- The Mineral Resource estimate has been prepared by Derek Loveday, P. Geo. of Norwest Corporation in conformity with CIM "Estimation of Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserves Best Practices" guidelines and are reported in accordance with the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that any mineral resource will be converted into mineral reserve.

SNA Deposit Inferred Gold Resource

Resource Type	Cutoff Grade	Tonnes	Average Grade	Contained Au
	(Au g/t)	('000)	(Au g/t)	(oz '000)
Surface	0.30	3,875	1.03	126
Surface	0.70	2,543	1.30	105
Surface	**1.00**	**1,510**	**1.61**	**78**

Notes
- Results based on fire assay testing.
- CIM definitions are followed for classification of Mineral Resource.
- Mineral Resource surface pit extent has been estimated using a gold price of US$1,200 per ounce and mining cost of US$2.10 per ton (US$2.31 per tonne) and a leached gold recovery of 88%.
- Cutoff grade SNA is 1.0 g/t gold, all other cutoff grades are shown for sensitivity analysis purposes.
- Imperial (A-series) to metric (B-series) conversion: 1 ton =0.907185 tonne, 1 opt = 34.2857 g/t.
- Totals may not represent the sum of the parts due to rounding.
- The Mineral Resource estimate has been prepared by Derek Loveday, P. Geo. of Norwest Corporation in conformity with CIM "Estimation of Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserves Best Practices" guidelines and are reported in accordance with the Canadian Securities Administrators NI 43-101. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that any mineral resource will be converted into mineral reserve.

Source: Mineral Resource Estimates for the Sterling Gold Project are as reported by Northern Empire Resources Corp. as of March 29, 2017 and prepared in accordance with NI 43-101. Coeur is not treating these historical estimates as current and has not completed sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources for Coeur's purposes. Coeur's qualified person will review and verify the scientific and technical information Northern Empire, as well as complete the other work necessary for purposes of preparing a 43-101 technical report, including validation of data quality, resource model accuracy, and costs used in resource cutoffs.

Leading ESG Programs, Priorities and Initiatives







Graphics Left to Right:

Environment: Water Stewardship
Rochester mine water is managed in a closed system which reduces the need for fresh water makeup. Some of the water has been recirculated and reused since 1986 when the mine opened!

Social: Health, Safety & Security
Palmarejo team members showcase pictures of their families demonstrating why they choose to go home safe every day

Governance: Ethics and Compliance
Employees participate in IMPACT training which equips Coeur leaders to *Pursue a Higher Standard*

Alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals

What are the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)?

The SDGs are "the blueprint to achieve a better and more sustainable future for all."[1]

What do the SDGs have to do with Coeur?


By aligning with the SDGs, we join the growing trend of businesses and organizations using the SDGs to clearly communicate their sustainability and social responsibility activities, including many peers and the Silver Institute.

Coeur will focus on eight that best align with its purpose and priorities.


















(1) Source(s): UN Sustainable Development. https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/sustainable-development-goals.

What Matters to Coeur – SDG Alignment[1]

SDG	Alignment	Case
	Robust employee health and wellness programs; healthcare benefits that extend to spouses and dependents	Coeur provides healthcare benefits to 6,243 people across the US, Canada, and Mexico[2] • Over 2,000 employees • ~1,350 spouses/partners • ~2,850 dependents
	Scholarships and internships for students to secure access to employees with the skillsets which meet future business needs	Coeur has provided an average of $25,000 in tuition reimbursement per application since 2015 with a 90% retention rate to date.
	Apply best practices in processes and site design to reduce water requirements	Since 2012, 139% increase in total volume of water recycled[2]

(1) Areas of alignment and examples are included for reference but are not inclusive of all relevant activities.
(2) 2017 data.

What Matters to Coeur – SDG Alignment (cont.)[1]

SDG	Alignment	Case
	Drive economic growth through local procurement and supplier development strategies	In 2017, $53.9 million, 50.4% of total Kensington spending, was spent with local Juneau businesses[2,3]
	Pay staff a living wage / above market compensation, and encourage other companies within value chain to do the same	Coeur average wage is 19% above State or Province averages and 14% above National averages
	Pay local taxes, and invest in community groups that provide long-term benefits	$13.0 million spent in local taxes, and 287 community groups benefited through money and staff time[2]

(1) Areas of alignment and examples are included for reference but are not inclusive of all relevant activities.
(2) 2017 data.
(3) Due to the specialized nature of the mining industry, many of the required goods and services are not available locally.

What Matters to Coeur – SDG Alignment (cont.)[1]

SDG	Alignment	Case
	Develop and implement improved processes to reduce inputs and minimize waste and effluent resulting from production	50% reduction in waste generated since 2012[2]
	Design and implement programs to protect biodiversity or implement biodiversity offsets in the areas surrounding the company's operations, and to avoid, mitigate and manage risks to local ecosystems	Rochester has received numerous awards for wildlife habitat enhancement, and over 600 acres of land have been reclaimed

(1) Areas of alignment and examples are included for reference but are not inclusive of all relevant activities.
(2) 2017 data.

ESG Newsworthy Notes




Industry-Leading Safety Performance


*In 2017, Coeur achieved the National Mining Association **CORE**Safety certification*

Since 2012, the Company's injury frequency rates are significantly below industry averages

Lost-Time Injury Frequency Rate



Total Reportable Injury Frequency Rate




(1) Source(s): U.S. Department of Labor Mine Safety and Health Administration: Metal and Nonmetal Mine Safety and Health. Injuries per 200,000 employee-hours worked.
(2) Includes both Coeur employees and contract workers.

Significantly Improved Corporate Governance Profile

Corporate Governance Enhancements Since 2010	2010	2018
Independent Board Chairman	✗	✓
Entire Board of Directors is independent other than CEO	✗	✓
Gender diversity on the Board	✗	✓
Majority voting standard for uncontested Director elections	✗	✓
Robust Board and Committee self-evaluation (including 1:1 discussions with Chairman and third party participation)	✗	✓
Executive and Director stock ownership guidelines	✗	✓
No excise tax gross-up on executive severance	✗	✓
All incentive awards subject to double-trigger change-in-control vesting	✗	✓
60% of executive equity awards are performance shares and 40% are time-vesting restricted stock	✗	✓
Independent executive compensation consultant to compensation committee	✗	✓
No executive employment agreements other than CEO	✗	✓
No “related person transactions" with Directors or executive officers	✗	✓

2017 CEO Compensation Tied to Stockholder Returns

60% of compensation linked to stock performance



(Based 100% on Company Performance)

2018 Incentive Plan Aligned with Strategic Objectives

COEUR MINING


PROTECT		TRIFR[1] % Reduction (with potential modifier for top/bottom quartile performance vs. top tier U.S. metals companies)	AIP[2] 15%
		% Reduction in Significant Spills	
DEVELOP		Three-Year Growth in Reserves and Measured & Indicated Resources/Share	PSU[3] 50%
DELIVER		All-in Sustaining Costs Operating Cash Flow	AIP 60%
		Three-Year Growth in Operating Cash Flow/Share	PSU 50%
		Relative Total Stockholder Return	PSU Modifier +/- 25%
		Production	AIP 25%

(1) "TRIFR" means Total Reportable Injury Frequency Rate.
(2) "AIP" means the Company's annual incentive plan.
(3) "PSU" means performance share units.

Diverse Board Led by Independent Chair

- Nine out of ten Directors are independent (all except CEO); all four main committees comprised of independent directors only
- Two new directors appointed in February 2018 having skills and experiences that complement other directors
- Balance of newer and longer serving directors; no mandatory retirement age

Diversity of Director Experience



Non-GAAP Reconciliations

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

Adjusted Net Income (Loss)

($ thousands)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	$2,930	$1,241	($1,319)	$7,625	($16,652)	($10,955)	$55,352	($367,183)	($1,186,874)
Income (loss) from discont. ops., net of tax	-	(550)	12,244	6,724	4,924	960	(32,917)	79,372	89,224
Fair value adjustments, net	2,462	(4,654)	864	-	-	(336)	11,581	(5,202)	(3,618)
Impairment of equity securities	-	-	426	-	-	305	703	2,346	6,593
Write-downs	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,446	246,625	1,353,967
Inventory write-downs	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,689	-	-
Gain on sale of Joaquin project	-	-	(21,138)	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	(586)	241	1	499	(2,051)	(513)	(11,334)	352	646
Gain on repurchase of Rochester royalty	-	-	(2,332)	-	-	(2,332)	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishments	-	-	9,342	-	-	9,342	21,365	(15,916)	-
Mexico inflation adjustment	(1,939)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on revolving credit facility termination	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,035
Corporate reorganization costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	647	-
Transaction-related costs	-	-	3,757	2,938	819	-	1,199	2,112	-
Interest income on notes receivables	(573)	(248)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deferred tax on reorganization	-	-	-	-	-	-	(40,767)	-	-
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	(1,233)	4,312	1,562	(3,643)	(1,392)	2,186	(299)	1,851	(16,044)
Tax effect of adjustments	-	-	816	-	(991)	-	2,583	(35,734)	(451,670)
Adjusted net income (loss)	**$1,061**	**$342**	**$4,223**	**$14,143**	**($15,343)**	**($1,343)**	**$15,601**	**($90,730)**	**($204,742)**
Adjusted net income (loss) per share - Basic	$0.01	$0.00	$0.02	$0.08	($0.09)	($0.01)	$0.10	($0.70)	($2.00)
Adjusted net income (loss) per share - Diluted	$0.01	$0.00	$0.02	$0.08	($0.09)	($0.01)	$0.10	($0.70)	($2.00)

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

Adjusted EBITDA

($ thousands)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	$2,930	$1,241	($1,319)	$7,625	($16,652)	($10,955)	$55,352	($367,183)	($1,186,874)
Income (loss) from discont. ops., net of tax	-	(550)	12,244	6,724	4,924	960	(32,917)	79,372	89,224
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	6,018	5,965	16,440	5,522	3,595	3,744	36,896	44,978	47,494
Income tax provision (benefit)	3,717	11,949	28,998	4,957	14,289	(1,126)	(33,247)	(29,075)	(410,140)
Amortization	29,459	30,777	146,549	44,722	32,401	30,733	116,528	125,953	143,013
EBITDA	**$42,124**	**$49,382**	**$202,912**	**$69,550**	**$38,557**	**$23,356**	**$142,612**	**($145,955)**	**($1,317,283)**
Fair value adjustments, net	2,462	(4,654)	864	-	-	(336)	11,581	(5,202)	(3,618)
Impairment of equity securities	-	-	426	-	-	305	703	2,346	6,593
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	3,309	670	(1,281)	672	39	(786)	11,455	16,021	(355)
Gain on sale of Joaquin project	-	-	(21,138)	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	(586)	241	1	499	(2,051)	(513)	(11,334)	352	646
Gain on repurchase of Rochester royalty	-	-	(2,332)	-	-	(2,332)	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment	-	-	9,342	-	-	9,342	21,365	(15,916)	-
Mexico inflation adjustment	(1,939)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Corporate reorganization costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	647	-
Transaction-related costs	-	-	3,757	2,938	819	-	1,199	2,112	-
Interest income on notes receivables	(573)	(248)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asset retirement obligation accretion	2,817	2,669	8,983	2,475	2,223	2,169	7,263	7,374	4,994
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	817	1,126	1,806	885	659	715	5,590	9,276	13,975
Write-downs	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,446	246,625	1,353,967
Adjusted EBITDA	**$48,431**	**$49,186**	**$203,340**	**$77,019**	**$40,246**	**$31,920**	**$194,880**	**$117,680**	**$58,918**
Revenue	$169,987	$163,267	$709,598	$214,585	$159,919	$149,540	$571,897	$561,407	$517,993
Adjusted EBITDA Margin	**28%**	**30%**	**29%**	**36%**	**25%**	**21%**	**34%**	**21%**	**11%**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited

Free Cash Flow and Cash Flow From Operating Activities per Share

Free Cash Flow, Consolidated

($ thousands)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Cash flow from operating activities	($1,294)	$15,541	$197,160	$91,811	$37,308	$24,103	$96,461	$87,412	$15,579
Capital expenditures	(41,165)	(42,345)	(136,734)	(47,054)	(28,982)	(37,107)	(94,382)	(88,973)	(56,307)
Gold production royalty payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	(27,155)	(39,235)	(48,395)
Free cash flow	**($42,459)**	**($26,804)**	**$60,426**	**$44,757**	**$8,326**	**($13,004)**	**($25,076)**	**($40,796)**	**($89,123)**

Cash Flow From Operating Activities per Share

($ millions, except per share amounts)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Cash flow from operating activities	($1.3)	$15.5	$197.2	$24.1	$26.7	$52.7	$54.6
Weighted average diluted shares (thousands)	187,488	187,621	184,144	179,241	163,459	129,639	102,441
Cash flow from operating activities per share	**($0.01)**	**$0.08**	**$1.07**	**$0.13**	**$0.59**	**$0.67**	**$0.15**

Free Cash Flow, Palmarejo

($ millions)	2017	2016	2015	2014
Cash flow from operating activities	$139.9	$26.7	$52.7	$54.6
Capital expenditures	(29.9)	(35.8)	(36.0)	(26.1)
Gold production royalty payments	-	(27.2)	(39.2)	(48.4)
Free cash flow	**$110.0**	**($36.3)**	**($22.5)**	**($19.9)**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited

Leverage Ratios (for Continuing Operations in the Period Noted)

LTM Adjusted EBITDA

($ thousands)	2Q 2018	3Q 2015
Net income (loss)	($4,856)	($1,174,213)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	11,098	44,511
Income tax provision (benefit)	34,912	(418,055)
Amortization	137,359	146,162
EBITDA	**$199,613**	**($1,401,595)**
Fair value adjustments, net	(2,192)	(10,885)
Impairment of equity securities	-	4,008
Foreign exchange loss	4,690	10,934
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	(1,897)	533
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment	-	(155)
Mexico inflation adjustment	(1,939)	-
Corporate reorganization costs	-	514
Transaction-related costs	3,757	2,013
Asset retirement obligation accretion	10,184	7,288
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	3,000	1,487,058
Adjusted EBITDA	**$214,395**	**$99,713**

Consolidated Debt

($ thousands)	2Q 2018	3Q 2015
Cash and cash equivalents	$123,539	$205,708
Total debt	419,719	545,986
Net debt	296,180	340,278
LTM adjusted EBITDA	$214,395	$99,713
Total debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	2.0x	5.5x
Net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	1.4x	3.4x

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited

Amortization per Ounce

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017
Amortization	$29,220	$30,530	$44,471	$32,112	$30,433
Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold (average spot)	10,667,255	10,066,759	13,248,337	9,698,654	8,990,166
Amortization per ounce	**$2.74**	**$3.03**	**$3.36**	**$3.31**	**$3.39**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended June 30, 2018

	Silver			Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$44,943	$29,244	$74,187	$40,668	$22,611	$63,279	$137,466
Amortization	14,633	4,793	19,426	6,441	3,353	9,794	29,220
Costs applicable to sales	**$30,310**	**$24,451**	**$54,761**	**$34,227**	**$19,258**	**$53,485**	**$108,246**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	3,964,208	1,819,072	5,783,280				8,870,100
Gold equivalent ounces sold				28,165	23,282	51,447	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$7.65	$13.44	$9.47	$1,215	$827	$1,040	$12.20
Inventory adjustments	(0.01)	(0.08)	(0.03)	(20)	(3)	(12)	(0.09)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$7.64**	**$13.36**	**$9.44**	**$1,195**	**$824**	**$1,028**	**$12.11**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$6.65	$11.94	$8.29				$10.15
Inventory adjustments	(0.01)	(0.07)	(0.03)				(0.08)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$6.64**	**$11.87**	**$8.26**				**$10.07**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended March 31, 2018

	Silver			Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$47,421	$29,136	$76,557	$35,347	$17,966	$53,313	$129,870
Amortization	16,325	4,831	21,156	6,717	2,657	9,374	30,530
Costs applicable to sales	**$31,096**	**$24,305**	**$55,401**	**$28,630**	**$15,309**	**$43,939**	**$99,340**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	3,883,983	1,789,007	5,672,990				8,390,090
Gold equivalent ounces sold				27,763	17,522	45,285	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$8.01	$13.59	$9.77	$1,031	$874	$970	$11.84
Inventory adjustments	-	(0.26)	(0.08)	(21)	(4)	(15)	(0.13)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$8.01**	**$13.33**	**$9.69**	**$1,010**	**$870**	**$955**	**$11.71**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$6.94	$12.13	$8.55				$9.87
Inventory adjustments	-	(0.24)	(0.07)				(0.11)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$6.94**	**$11.89**	**$8.48**				**$9.76**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2017

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$219,920	$130,227	$1,046	$351,193	$152,118	$82,334	$234,452	$585,645
Amortization	73,744	22,306	301	96,351	36,022	13,012	49,034	145,385
Costs applicable to sales	**$146,176**	**$107,921**	**$745**	**$254,842**	**$116,096**	**$69,322**	**$185,418**	**$440,260**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	15,490,734	8,209,888	107,027	23,807,649				37,334,889
Gold equivalent ounces sold					125,982	99,472	225,454	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$9.44	$13.15	$6.96	$10.70	$922	$697	$822	$11.79
Inventory adjustments	(0.08)	(0.07)	-	(0.08)	(2)	3	-	(0.05)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$9.36**	**$13.08**	**$6.96**	**$10.62**	**$920**	**$700**	**$822**	**$11.74**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$8.45	$12.04		$9.66				$10.24
Inventory adjustments	(0.07)	(0.07)		(0.07)				(0.04)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$8.38**	**$11.97**		**$9.59**				**$10.20**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended December 31, 2017

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$58,775	$41,006	-	$99,781	$42,640	$24,033	$66,673	$166,454
Amortization	22,749	6,960	-	29,709	10,633	4,129	14,762	44,471
Costs applicable to sales	**$36,026**	**$34,046**	**-**	**$70,072**	**$32,007**	**$19,904**	**$51,911**	**$121,983**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	4,680,802	2,657,975	-	7,338,777				11,232,057
Gold equivalent ounces sold					35,633	29,255	64,888	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$7.70	$12.81	-	$9.55	$898	$680	$800	$10.86
Inventory adjustments	(0.16)	(0.04)	-	(0.12)	(2)	2	-	(0.08)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$7.54**	**$12.77**	**-**	**$9.43**	**$896**	**$682**	**$800**	**$10.78**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$6.78	$11.41		$8.45				$9.21
Inventory adjustments	(0.14)	(0.04)		(0.10)				(0.07)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$6.64**	**$11.37**		**$8.35**				**$9.14**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended September 30, 2017

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$49,669	$27,866	$59	$77,594	$35,522	$20,553	$56,075	$133,669
Amortization	16,414	4,591	20	21,025	7,864	3,223	11,087	32,112
Costs applicable to sales	**$33,255**	**$23,275**	**$39**	**$56,569**	**$27,658**	**$17,330**	**$44,988**	**$101,557**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	3,386,963	1,673,704	8,027	5,068,694				8,264,174
Gold equivalent ounces sold					29,173	24,085	53,258	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$9.82	$13.91	$4.86	$11.16	$948	$720	$845	$12.29
Inventory adjustments	(0.06)	(0.22)	-	(0.11)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(0.08)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$9.76**	**$13.69**	**$4.86**	**$11.05**	**$946**	**$719**	**$843**	**$12.21**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$8.73	$12.66		$10.00				$10.47
Inventory adjustments	(0.05)	(0.20)		(0.10)				(0.07)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$8.68**	**$12.46**		**$9.90**				**$10.40**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended June 30, 2017

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$48,325	$29,099	$586	$78,010	$36,335	$18,317	$54,652	$132,662
Amortization	14,431	4,938	168	19,537	8,347	2,549	10,896	30,433
Costs applicable to sales	**$33,894**	**$24,161**	**$418**	**$58,473**	**$27,988**	**$15,768**	**$43,756**	**$102,229**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	2,995,623	1,774,000	59,234	4,828,857				7,860,417
Gold equivalent ounces sold					29,031	21,495	50,526	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$11.31	$13.62	$7.06	$12.11	$964	$734	$866	$13.01
Inventory adjustments	(0.10)	(0.08)	-	(0.09)	(12)	3	(6)	(0.09)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$11.21**	**$13.54**	**$7.06**	**$12.02**	**$952**	**$737**	**$860**	**$12.92**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$10.20	$12.63		$11.04				$11.38
Inventory adjustments	(0.09)	(0.07)		(0.08)				(0.08)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$10.11**	**$12.56**		**$10.96**				**$11.30**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2016

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$117,419	$111,564	$2,363	$231,346	$131,518	$87,000	$218,518	$449,864
Amortization	36,599	21,838	644	59,081	34,787	20,621	55,408	114,489
Costs applicable to sales	**$80,820**	**$89,726**	**$1,719**	**$172,265**	**$96,731**	**$66,379**	**$163,110**	**$335,375**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	7,538,311	7,542,740	262,078	15,343,129				29,221,609
Gold equivalent ounces sold					121,688	109,620	231,308	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$10.72	$11.90	$6.56	$11.23	$795	$606	$705	$11.48
Inventory adjustments	(0.17)	(0.04)	-	(0.11)	(5)	(31)	(17)	(0.19)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$10.55**	**$11.86**	**$6.56**	**$11.12**	**$790**	**$575**	**$688**	**$11.29**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$9.73	$10.97		$10.29				$9.98
Inventory adjustments	(0.16)	(0.04)		(0.10)				(0.17)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$9.57**	**$10.93**		**$10.19**				**$9.81**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2015

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$170,899	$127,900	$9,059	$307,858	$147,880	$68,575	$216,455	$524,313
Amortization	32,423	23,906	5,539	61,868	42,240	16,378	58,618	120,486
Costs applicable to sales	**$138,476**	**$103,994**	**$3,520**	**$245,990**	**$105,640**	**$52,197**	**$157,837**	**$403,827**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	9,840,705	8,377,823	615,022	18,833,550				31,164,390
Gold equivalent ounces sold					131,553	73,961	205,514	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$14.07	$12.41	$5.72	$13.06	$803	$706	$768	$12.96
Inventory adjustments	(1.04)	(0.05)	-	(0.57)	(5)	-	(4)	(0.37)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$13.03**	**$12.36**	**$5.72**	**$12.49**	**$798**	**$706**	**$764**	**$12.59**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$12.75	$11.32		$11.90				$11.26
Inventory adjustments	(0.95)	(0.04)		(0.52)				(0.32)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$11.80**	**$11.28**		**$11.38**				**$10.94**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2014

	Silver				Gold	
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Endeavor	Total	Kensington	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$256,707	$112,252	$8,514	$377,473	$148,961	$526,434
Amortization	69,431	20,790	4,308	94,529	43,619	138,148
Costs applicable to sales	**$187,276**	**$91,462**	**$4,206**	**$282,944**	**$105,342**	**$388,286**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	12,161,719	6,309,912	586,242	19,057,873		25,707,193
Gold equivalent ounces sold					110,822	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	$15,40	$14.49	$7.17	$14.85	$951	$15.10
Inventory adjustments	(0.96)	(0.18)	-	(0.92)	(11)	(1.10)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$14.43**	**$14.31**	**$7.17**	**$13.93**	**$940**	**$14.00**
Costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	$14.69	$13.94		$14.22		$14.25
Inventory adjustments	(0.92)	(0.17)		(0.88)		(1.04)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales per average spot ounce	**$13.77**	**$13.77**		**$13.34**		**$13.21**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

All-in Sustaining Costs

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Costs applicable to sales	$108,246	$99,340	$440,260	$121,983	$101,557	$102,229	$335,375	$403,827	$388,286
Treatment and refining costs	1,046	1,195	5,912	1,600	1,408	1,288	4,307	4,801	4,943
Sustaining capital	28,571	23,389	65,010	18,520	18,126	17,173	71,134	47,072	53,200
General and administrative	7,650	8,804	33,616	9,120	7,345	7,025	29,275	32,636	40,741
Exploration	6,429	6,683	30,311	7,455	9,792	7,813	12,930	11,521	21,620
Reclamation	4,667	4,532	14,910	4,075	3,915	3,581	13,291	15,308	6,647
Project/pre-development costs	517	1,421	5,543	578	1,979	1,677	5,779	4,702	17,516
All-in sustaining costs	**$157,126**	**$145,364**	**$595,562**	**$163,331**	**$144,122**	**$140,786**	**$472,091**	**$519,867**	**$532,951**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	5,783,280	5,672,990	23,807,649	7,338,777	5,068,694	4,828,857	15,343,129	18,833,550	19,057,873
Kensington and Wharf silver equivalent ounces sold	3,086,820	2,717,100	13,527,240	3,893,280	3,195,480	3,031,560	13,878,480	12,330,840	6,649,320
Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold	8,870,100	8,390,090	37,334,889	11,232,057	8,264,174	7,860,417	29,221,609	31,164,390	25,707,193
All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce	$17.71	$17.33	$15.95	$14.53	$17.43	$17.90	$16.16	$16.68	$20.73
Inventory adjustments	(0.09)	(0.13)	(0.05)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.19)	(0.37)	(1.10)
Adjusted all-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce	**$17.62**	**$17.20**	**$15.90**	**$14.45**	**$17.35**	**$17.81**	**$15.97**	**$16.31**	**$19.63**
Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold (average spot)	10,667,255	10,066,759	42,975,902	13,248,337	9,698,654	8,990,166	33,600,783	35,852,897	27,255,532
All-in sustaining costs per average spot silver equivalent ounce	$14.73	$14.44	$13.86	$12.33	$14.86	$15.66	$14.05	$14.51	$19.56
Inventory adjustments	(0.08)	(0.11)	(0.04)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.17)	(0.32)	(1.04)
Adjusted all-in sustaining costs per average spot silver equivalent ounce	**$14.65**	**$14.33**	**$13.82**	**$12.26**	**$14.79**	**$15.58**	**$13.88**	**$14.18**	**$18.52**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

All-in Sustaining Costs for 2018 Guidance

Unaudited

Per 60:1 Silver Equivalent Ounce

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Silvertip	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$200,000	$116,300	$55,600	$371,900	$146,100	$89,700	$235,800	$607,700
Amortization	65,000	18,900	14,000	97,900	40,400	12,100	52,500	150,400
Costs applicable to sales	**$135,000**	**$97,400**	**$41,600**	**$274,000**	**$105,700**	**$77,600**	**$183,300**	**$457,300**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	14,800,000	7,300,000	2,700,000	24,800,000				37,100,000
Gold equivalent ounces sold					117,500	87,500	205,000	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$9.00 - $9.50**	**$13.25 - $13.75**	**$15.00 - $15.50**		**$900 - $950**	**$850 - $900**		
Costs applicable to sales								$457,300
Treatment and refining costs								9,000
Sustaining capital, including capital lease payments								105,000
General and administrative								33,000
Exploration								26,000
Reclamation								15,700
Project and pre-development								2,900
All-in sustaining costs								**$648,900**
Silver equivalent ounces sold								24,800,000
Kensington and Wharf silver equivalent ounces sold								12,300,000
Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold								37,100,000
All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce								**$17.25 - $17.75**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

All-in Sustaining Costs for 2018 Guidance

Unaudited

Per Spot Silver Equivalent Ounce

	Silver				Gold			
($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Silvertip	Total	Kensington	Wharf	Total	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$200,000	$116,300	$55,600	$371,900	$146,100	$89,700	$235,800	$607,700
Amortization	65,000	18,900	14,000	97,900	40,400	12,100	52,500	150,400
Costs applicable to sales	**$135,000**	**$97,400**	**$41,600**	**$274,000**	**$105,700**	**$77,600**	**$183,300**	**$457,300**
Silver equivalent ounces sold	16,400,000	8,012,500	3,350,000	27,762,500				43,137,500
Gold equivalent ounces sold					117,500	87,500	205,000	
Costs applicable to sales per ounce	**$8.00 - $8.50**	**$12.00 - $12.50**	**$12.00 - $12.50**		**$900 - $950**	**$850 - $900**		
Costs applicable to sales								$457,300
Treatment and refining costs								9,000
Sustaining capital, including capital lease payments								105,000
General and administrative								33,000
Exploration								26,000
Reclamation								15,700
Project and pre-development								2,900
All-in sustaining costs								**$648,900**
Silver equivalent ounces sold								27,762,500
Kensington and Wharf silver equivalent ounces sold								15,375,000
Consolidated silver equivalent ounces sold								43,137,500
All-in sustaining costs per silver equivalent ounce								**$14.75 - $15.25**

Average Spot Equivalence

Average Spot Prices

	2Q 2018	1Q 2018	2017	4Q 2017	3Q 2017	2Q 2017	2016	2015	2014
Average silver spot price per ounce	$16.53	$16.77	$17.05	$16.73	$16.84	$17.21	$17.14	$15.68	$19.08
Average gold spot price per ounce	$1,306	$1,329	$1,257	$1,275	$1,278	$1,257	$1,251	$1,160	$1,266
Average silver-to-gold spot equivalence	79:1	79:1	74:1	76:1	76:1	73:1	73:1	74:1	66:1

Reserves and Resources

2017 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz/t)	Lead (%)	Zinc (%)	Silver (oz)	Gold (oz)	Lead (lbs)	Zinc (lbs)
PROVEN RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,571,000	3.81	0.073			5,978,000	115,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	195,724,000	0.45	0.003			87,518,000	598,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,284,700	-	0.198			-	254,900		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	18,125,000	-	0.027			-	483,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	1,640,000	2.70	-			4,429,000	-		
Total		**218,344,700**	**0.45**	**0.007**			**97,925,000**	**1,450,900**		
PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	9,414,000	4.36	0.063			41,033,000	591,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	77,703,000	0.39	0.002			30,105,000	159,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,676,300	-	0.218			-	365,800		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	16,560,000	-	0.023			-	386,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	162,000	2.98	-			482,000	-		
Total		**105,515,300**	**0.68**	**0.014**			**71,620,000**	**1,501,800**		
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	10,985,000	4.28	0.064			47,011,000	706,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	273,427,000	0.43	0.003			117,623,000	758,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,961,000	-	0.210			-	620,700		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,685,000	-	0.025			-	869,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	1,802,000	2.73	-			4,911,000	-		
Total Proven and Probable Reserves		**323,860,000**	**0.52**	**0.009**			**169,545,000**	**2,953,700**		

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2017 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz/t)	Lead (%)	Zinc (%)	Silver (oz)	Gold (oz)	Lead (lbs)	Zinc (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	629,000	3.07	0.051			1,928,000	32,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	101,929,000	0.37	0.003			38,012,000	259,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,546,300	-	0.255			-	395,000		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,150,000	-	0.025			-	54,000		
Silvertip	Canada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	9,536,092	3.04	0.005			29,001,000	45,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	3,472,000	3.39	-			11,777,000	-		
Total		**119,262,392**	**0.68**	**0.007**	**-**	**-**	**80,718,000**	**785,000**	**-**	**-**
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,445,000	3.37	0.045			25,120,000	336,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	77,973,000	0.36	0.002			27,579,000	172,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,197,500	-	0.265			-	317,600		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	5,560,000	-	0.022			-	122,000		
Silvertip	Canada	2,589,000	10.26	-	6.74	9.41	26,573,000	-	348,835,000	487,318,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	19,141,000	3.98	0.006			76,185,000	118,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	614,000	3.57	-			2,194,000	-		
Total		**114,502,500**	**1.38**	**0.009**	**-**	**-**	**157,651,000**	**1,065,600**	**348,835,000**	**487,318,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,074,000	3.35	0.046			27,049,000	368,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	179,902,000	0.36	0.002			65,591,000	431,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,743,800	-	0.260			-	712,600		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	7,710,000	-	0.023			-	176,000		
Silvertip	Canada	2,589,000	10.26	-	6.74	9.41	26,573,000	-	348,835,000	487,318,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	28,677,000	3.67	0.006			105,186,000	163,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	4,087,000	3.42	-			13,971,000	-		
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		**233,781,892**	**1.02**	**0.007**	**-**	**-**	**238,369,000**	**1,850,600**	**348,835,000**	**487,318,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2017 Inferred Mineral Resources

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz/t)	Lead (%)	Zinc (%)	Silver (oz)	Gold (oz)	Lead (lbs)	Zinc (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,336,000	4.23	0.050			31,061,000	369,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	131,570,000	0.42	0.002			55,472,000	275,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,387,800	-	0.220			-	304,800		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	1,050,000	-	0.026			-	27,000		
Silvertip	Canada	507,000	9.89	-	6.18	9.81	5,012,000	-	62,634,000	99,447,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,761,000	3.31	0.003			5,835,000	6,000		
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	19,000	2.53	-			48,000	-		
Total Inferred Resources		**143,630,800**	**0.68**	**0.007**	**-**	**-**	**97,428,000**	**981,800**	**62,634,000**	**99,447,000**

Notes to 2017 mineral reserves and resources:

(a) Effective December 31, 2017.

(b) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Reserves were $17.50 per ounce of silver and $1,250 per ounce of gold.

(c) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were $20.00 per ounce of silver, $1,400 per ounce of gold, $1.15 per pound Zinc and $1.00 per pound Lead.

(d) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized.

(e) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(f) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2016 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

			Grade (oz/ton)		Ounces (contained)	
	Location	Short tons	Silver	Gold	Silver	Gold
PROVEN RESERVES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,569,000	4.44	0.080	6,971,000	126,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	143,686,000	0.48	0.004	68,369,000	503,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,133,000	-	0.194	-	220,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	9,453,000	-	0.031	-	294,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	5,563,000	3.32	-	18,485,000	-
Total		**161,404,000**	**0.58**	**0.007**	**93.825,000**	**1,143,000**
PROBABLE RESERVES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,174,000	4.72	0.065	33,847,000	466,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	101,118,000	0.43	0.003	43,676,000	300,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,483,000	-	0.187	-	277,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	15,581,000	-	0.022	-	345,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	765,000	3.48	-	2,659,000	-
Total		**126,121,000**	**0.64**	**0.011**	**80,182,000**	**1,388,000**
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,742,000	4.67	0.068	40,818,000	592,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	244,804,000	0.46	0.003	112,045,000	803,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,616,000	-	0.190	-	497,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	25,034,000	-	0.026	-	639,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	6,328,000	3.34	-	21,144,000	-
Total Proven and Probable Reserves		**287,524,000**	**0.61**	**0.009**	**174,007,000**	**2,531,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2016 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

			Grade (oz/ton)		Ounces (contained)	
	Location	Short tons	Silver	Gold	Silver	Gold
MEASURED RESOURCES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	818,000	3.20	0.061	2,618,000	50,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	39,732,000	0.59	0.003	23,281,000	132,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,297,000	-	0.261	-	338,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,195,000	-	0.031	-	67,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	1,575,000	2.20	-	3,466,000	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	18,156,000	3.21	0.006	58,225,000	108,000
Total		**63,773,000**	**1.37**	**0.011**	**87,590,000**	**695,000**
INDICATED RESOURCES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	4,082,000	3.59	0.046	14,647,000	187,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	29,729,000	0.53	0.004	15,652,000	106,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,828,000	-	0.292	-	533,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,719,000	-	0.022	-	61,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	286,000	1.97	-	564,000	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	20,818,000	2.75	0.004	57,198,000	88,000
Total		**59,462,000**	**1.48**	**0.016**	**88,061,000**	**975,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	4,900,000	3.52	0.048	17,265,000	237,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	69,461,000	0.56	0.003	38,933,000	238,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	3,125,000	-	0.279	-	871,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	4,914,000	-	0.026	-	128,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	1,861,000	2.17	-	4,030,000	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	38,974,000	2.96	0.005	115,423,000	197,000
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		**123,235,000**	**1.43**	**0.014**	**175,651,000**	**1,671,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2016 Inferred Mineral Resources

			Grade (oz/ton)		Ounces (contained)	
	Location	Short tons	Silver	Gold	Silver	Gold
INFERRED RESOURCES						
Palmarejo	Mexico	4,726,000	4.35	0.055	20,540,000	258,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	67,778,000	0.52	0.003	35,554,000	178,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,579,000	-	0.276	-	436,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	4,231,000	-	0.026	-	108,000
San Bartolomé	Bolivia	22,000	1.91	-	42,000	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,359,000	2.33	0.004	3,168,000	5,000
Total Inferred Resources		**79,695,000**	**0.74**	**0.012**	**59,304,000**	**985,000**

Notes to 2016 mineral reserves and resources:

(a) Effective December 31, 2016.

(b) Assumed metal prices for Mineral Reserves were $17.50 per ounce of silver and $1,250 per ounce of gold.

(c) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were $19.00 per ounce of silver and $1,275 per ounce of gold, except Endeavor at $1,800 per metric ton of lead, $2,200 per metric ton of zinc, and $20.00 per ounce of silver.

(d) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized.

(e) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(f) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

(g) Joaquin, Endeavor, and Lejano removed following their respective sales on February 10, 2017, July 31, 2017, and August 4, 2017.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

Wharf's Historical Mineral Reserves and Resources

		Grade (oz/ton)		Ounces (contained)	
	Short tons	Silver	Gold	Silver	Gold
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES					
Proven Reserves	15,179,000	0.078	0.022	1,190,000	340,000
Probable Reserves	8,245,000	0.108	0.026	890,000	220,000
Total Proven and Probable Reserves	**23,424,000**	**0.089**	**0.024**	**2,080,000**	**560,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES					
Measured Resources	4,795,000	0.104	0.020	500,000	100,000
Indicated Resources	1,642,000	0.102	0.020	170,000	30,000
Total Measured and Indicated Resources	**6,437,000**	**0.104**	**0.020**	**670,000**	**130,000**

Notes to Wharf's historical Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources:

(a) Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources estimate as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. ("Goldcorp") in its Annual Information Form dated March 31, 2014 ("AIF") for the financial year ended December 31, 2013, available to Canadian investors at www.sedar.com under Goldcorp's profile. As discussed in the AIF, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources were prepared by Goldcorp in accordance with NI 43-101 under the supervision of a qualified person. Coeur is not treating these historical estimates as current and has not completed sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral reserves or mineral resources for Coeur's purposes. Following Coeur's acquisition of Wharf, its qualified person reviewed and verified the scientific and technical information of Goldcorp as well as completed other work necessary for purposes of preparing a 43-101 technical report, including validation of data quality, resource model accuracy, and costs used in reserve and resource cutoffs.

(b) As discussed in the AIF, mineral reserves were calculated by Goldcorp using metal prices of $1,300 per gold ounce and $22 per silver ounce, and mineral resources were calculated using $1,500 per gold ounce and $24 per silver ounce. Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Rounding of tons, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons and grade.

Executive Leadership

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. During his twenty-year tenure with Coeur, Mr. Krebs has led nearly $2 billion in capital raising and debt restructuring activities and has facilitated over $2 billion of acquisitions and divestitures. Mr. Krebs was previously Coeur's Chief Financial Officer and held various positions in the corporate development department, including Senior Vice President of Corporate Development. Mr. Krebs is a Director of Kansas City Southern and the National Mining Association, is on the Board of World Business Chicago, and was formerly President of the Silver Institute.

Peter C. Mitchell – Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Mitchell came to Coeur from Taseko Mines Limited where he served as Chief Financial Officer, leading Taseko's financial operations, including sourcing strategic capital to fund the company's strategic growth plan. Previously, Mr. Mitchell was involved in leading and managing growth in private equity portfolio companies through acquisitions, integrations, and greenfield initiatives.

Frank L. Hanagarne, Jr. – Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Hanagarne was most recently Chief Operating Officer of Valcambi, SA, a precious metal refiner in Switzerland. Prior to his appointment as operations head of Valcambi in early 2011, Mr. Hanagarne was a Director of Corporate Development for Newmont Mining Corporation. Mr. Hanagarne's 17 years of service at Newmont has included positions of increasing responsibility within key areas of Newmont's operations and business functions as well as environmental, health, and safety. Mr. Hanagarne was nominated to join the board of directors of Metalla Royalty & Streaming Ltd. In March 2018.

Casey M. Nault – Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary. Mr. Nault has over 20 years of experience as a corporate and securities lawyer, including prior in-house positions with Starbucks Corporation and Washington Mutual, Inc. and law firm experience with Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. His experience includes securities compliance and SEC reporting, corporate governance and compliance, mergers and acquisitions, public and private securities offerings, other strategic transactions, general regulatory compliance, cross-border issues, land use and environmental issues, and overseeing complex litigation.

Hans Rasmussen – Senior Vice President, Exploration. Mr. Rasmussen has 30 years of experience in the mining business, 16 years of which were with senior producers Newmont Mining and Kennecott/Rio Tinto; as well as serving as a consultant for senior producers such as BHP, Teck-Cominco, and Quadra Mining. Since 2004, he has been an officer or served on the Board of Directors of several junior public exploration companies with gold and silver projects in Quebec, Nevada, Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia.

Emilie C. Schouten – Senior Vice President, Human Resources. Ms. Schouten has 15 years of experience in Human Resources, starting her career in General Electric, where she graduated from GE's Human Resources Leadership Program. After 6 years as an HR Manager with GE, her division was acquired by the world's largest electrical distribution company, Rexel, and Ms. Schouten went on to become the Director of Training and Development. Ms. Schouten has her B.A. in Sociology from Michigan State University and her M.S. in Industrial Labor Relations from University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Board of Directors

Robert E. Mellor – Former Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of Building Materials Holding Corporation (distribution, manufacturing, and sales of building materials and component products) from 1997 to January 2010, director from 1991 to January 2010; member of the board of directors of Monro Muffler/Brake, Inc. (auto service provider) since August 2010 as independent Chairman of the board of directors since June 2017 and as lead independent director from April 2011 to June 2017; member of the board of directors of CalAtlantic Group, Inc. (national residential home builder) from October 2015 to February 2018, when CalAtlantic was acquired by Lennar Corporation; member of the board of directors of The Ryland Group, Inc. (national home builder, merged with another builder to form CalAtlantic) from 1999 until October 2015; and former member of the board of directors of Stock Building Supply Holdings, Inc. (lumber and building materials distributor) from March 2010 until December 2015, when it merged with another company.

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. See prior slide.

Linda L. Adamany – Member of the board of directors of Leucadia National Corporation, a diversified holding company engaged in a variety of businesses, since March 2014; non-executive director of the Wood Group plc since October 2017 following its acquisition of Amec Foster Wheeler plc, an engineering, project management, and consultancy company, where Ms. Adamany was previously a non-executive director since October 2012; non-executive director of BlackRock Institutional Trust Company since March 2018; member of the board of directors of National Grid plc, an electricity and gas generation, transmission, and distribution company, from November 2006 to November 2012. Served at BP plc in several capacities from July 1980 until her retirement in August 2007, most recently from April 2005 to August 2007 as a member of the five-person Refining & Marketing Executive Committee responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations and human resource management of BP plc's Refining & Marketing segment, a $45 billion business at the time.

Kevin S. Crutchfield – Chief Executive Officer and member of the board of directors of Contura Energy, Inc. (coal industry); before joining Contura at its inception in July 2016, he was Chairman (from May 2012) and Chief Executive Officer (from July 2009) of Alpha Natural Resources, Inc. He was with Alpha Natural Resources since its formation in 2003, serving as Executive Vice-President, President, Director, and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Crutchfield is a 25-year coal industry veteran with technical, operating, and executive management experience and recently served as the Chairman of the National Mining Association.

Sebastian Edwards – Henry Ford II Professor of International Business Economics at the Anderson Graduate School of Management at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) from 1996 to present; Co-Director of the National Bureau of Economic Research's Africa Project from 2009 to present; taught at IAE Universidad Austral in Argentina and at the Kiel Institute from 2000 to 2004; Chief Economist for Latin America at the World Bank Group from 1993 to 1996. Currently a Member of the Board of Moneda Asset Management, an investment management firm in Chile, and Centro de Estudios Públicos, Chile.

Randolph E. Gress – Retired Chairman (November 2006 until January 2016 and director from August 2004 until January 2016) and Chief Executive Officer (from 2004 until December 2015) of Innophos Holdings, Inc., a leading international producer of performance-critical and nutritional specialty ingredients for the food, beverage, dietary supplements, pharmaceutical, and industrial end markets. Mr. Gress was with Innophos since its formation in 2004, when Bain Capital purchased Rhodia SA's North American specialty phosphate business. Prior to his time at Innophos, Mr. Gress was with Rhodia since 1997 and held various positions including Global President of Specialty Phosphates (with two years based in the U.K.) and Vice-President and General Manager of the NA Sulfuric Acid and Regeneration businesses. From 1982 to 1997, Mr. Gress served in various roles at FMC Corporation including Corporate Strategy and various manufacturing, marketing, and supply chain positions.

Eduardo Luna – Chairman of the Board of Rochester Resources Ltd., a junior natural resources company with assets in Mexico. Member of the Boards of Directors of Wheaton Precious Metals Corp. and DynaResource, Inc., Chairman of the Advisory Board of the Faculty of Mines at the University of Guanajuato, and Mexico Mining Hall of Fame inductee. Mr. Luna is the former President of the Mexican Mining Chamber and a former President of the Silver Institute and previously held senior executive and board positions at several companies including Industrial Peñoles, Goldcorp Inc., Luismin SA de CV, Wheaton River Minerals Ltd., Alamos Gold Inc., and Primero Mining Corp.

Jessica L. McDonald – Chair and Interim CEO of Canada Post Corporation and a member of the Board of Directors of Trevali Mining Corporation and Hydro One Limited. Ms. McDonald is also a Mentor at the Trudeau Foundation, a visiting fellow at the Steyer-Taylor Center for Energy Policy and Finance at Stanford University, was recently appointed to the Member Council of Sustainable Development Technology Canada and was named to Canada's Top 100 Most Powerful Women Hall of Fame. Ms. McDonald previously served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of BC Hydro and Power Authority, a clean energy utility with over $5.5 billion in annual revenues and more than 5,000 employees, and has held numerous senior positions in the British Columbia provincial government, including Deputy Minister to the Premier, Cabinet Secretary and Head of the BC Public Service.

John H. Robinson – Chairman of Hamilton Ventures LLC (consulting and investment) since founding the firm in 2006; Chief Executive Officer of Nowa Technology, Inc. (development and marketing of environmentally sustainable wastewater treatment technology) from 2013 to 2014; Chairman of EPC Global, Ltd. (engineering staffing company) from 2003 to 2004; Executive Director of Amey plc (British business process outsourcing company) from 2000 to 2002; Vice Chairman of Black & Veatch Inc. (engineering and construction) from 1998 to 2000. Mr. Robinson began his career at Black & Veatch and was managing partner prior to becoming Vice Chairman. Member of the board of directors of Alliance Resource Management GP, LLC (coal mining); Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (financial services) and Olsson Associates (engineering consulting).

J. Kenneth Thompson – Member of the board of directors of Alaska Air Group, Inc. (parent company of Alaska Airlines, Virgin America Airlines and Horizon Air), Pioneer Natural Resources Company (oil and gas), and Tera Tech, Inc. (engineering consulting). President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Star Energy LLC (private energy investment firm in Alaska) from September 2000 to present, with a principal holding in Alaska Venture Capital Group LLC (private oil and gas exploration company) from December 2004 to present; Executive Vice President of ARCO's Asia Pacific oil and gas operating companies in Alaska, California, Indonesia, China, and Singapore from 1998 to 2000.

Contact Information

Corporate Office	Coeur Mining, Inc. 104 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60603
Main Telephone	+1 (312) 489-5800
Stock Ticker	CDE: NYSE
Website	www.coeur.com
Contact	**Paul DePartout** Director, Investor Relations investors@coeur.com