Corporate Presentation

November 2019

COEUR MINING®
We pursue a higher standard

NYSE: **CDE**

Cautionary Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of securities legislation in the United States and Canada, including statements involving strategic priorities and company strategies, expectations regarding environmental, social and governance ("ESG") initiatives, anticipated production, costs, capital expenditures, exploration and development efforts, crushing, grades, throughput, cash flow, reserve and resource estimates, operations and initiatives at the Palmarejo, Rochester, Kensington, Wharf and Silvertip mines, including expectations regarding Silvertip, the impact of the new crushing circuit at Rochester, expectations regarding POA 11 and timing of publishing a new technical report at Rochester, near term priorities and key value drivers. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause Coeur's actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the risk that the strategies, initiatives and expectations described in this presentation are not achieved on a timely basis or at all, the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including risks inherent in developing large-scale mining projects, environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather, or geologically related conditions), changes in the market prices of gold, silver, zinc, and lead, and a sustained lower price environment, the uncertainties inherent in Coeur's production, exploratory and developmental activities, including risks relating to permitting and regulatory delays (including the impact of government shutdowns), ground conditions, grade variability, any future labor disputes, or work stoppages, the uncertainties inherent in the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, changes that could result from Coeur's future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, the loss of any third-party smelter to which Coeur markets silver and gold, the effects of environmental and other governmental regulations and government shut-downs, the risks inherent in the ownership or operation of or investment in mining properties or businesses in foreign countries, Coeur's ability to raise additional financing necessary to conduct its business, make payments or refinance its debt as well as other uncertainties and risk factors set out in filings made from time to time with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Canadian securities regulators, including, without limitation, Coeur's most recent reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q. Actual results, developments, and timetables could vary significantly from the estimates presented. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward looking statements. Coeur disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Additionally, Coeur undertakes no obligation to comment on analyses, expectations, or statements made by third parties in respect of Coeur, its financial or operating results or its securities.

Christopher Pascoe, Coeur's Director, Technical Services and a qualified person under Canadian National Instrument 43-101, reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information concerning Coeur's mineral projects in this presentation. Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized. For a description of the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate mineral reserves and resources, as well as data verification procedures and a general discussion of the extent to which the estimates may be affected by any known environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing or other relevant factors, Canadian investors should see the Technical Reports for each of Coeur's properties as filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

The PEA for the re-scoped mine plan at the Rochester mine described in this presentation is preliminary in nature and includes inferred mineral resources, and does not have as high a level of certainty as a plan based solely on proven and probable reserves. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves and there is no certainty that the results from the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors - The United States Securities and Exchange Commission permits U.S. mining companies, in their filings with the SEC, to disclose only those mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. We may use certain terms in public disclosures, such as "measured," "indicated," "inferred" and "resources," that are recognized by Canadian regulations, but that SEC guidelines generally prohibit U.S. registered companies from including in their filings with the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our Form 10-K which may be secured from us, or from the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov.

Non-U.S. GAAP Measures - We supplement the reporting of our financial information determined under United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) with certain non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, including adjusted net income (loss), adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, total leverage, net leverage, free cash flow and adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound. We believe that these adjusted measures provide meaningful information to assist management, investors and analysts in understanding our financial results and assessing our prospects for future performance. We believe these adjusted financial measures are important indicators of our recurring operations because they exclude items that may not be indicative of, or are unrelated to our core operating results, and provide a better baseline for analyzing trends in our underlying businesses. We believe adjusted net income (loss), adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, total leverage, net leverage, free cash flow and adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound are important measures in assessing the Company's overall financial performance.

Who We Are

Based in the U.S., Coeur Mining (NYSE: CDE) is a significant producer of gold and silver, and has repositioned its portfolio with a focus on sustainable, high-quality growth and cash flow from a North American asset base

Key Highlights

5 *operating mines*

3 *top jurisdictions*


Cash flow *focused*

Leading *trading liquidity*

Large and growing *reserve & resource base[1]*

2019E[2] production:

- ***353,000*** *ounces of gold (367,728 in 2018[3])*
- ***13.5*** *million ounces of silver (12.9 million in 2018[3])*
- ***32.5*** *million pounds of zinc (6.8 million in 2018[3])*
- ***27.5*** *million pounds of lead (3.9 million in 2018[3])*




Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the latest technical reports for Coeur's mines on file at www.sedar.com.
(1) Based on year-end 2018 reserves and resources.
(2) Based on midpoint of production guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(3) 2018 production figures include pre-commercial production from Kensington (Jualin) and Silvertip.

Corporate Strategy

COEUR MINING


Coeur's strategy is to safely and responsibly discover, develop and operate a balanced portfolio of quality precious metals assets to maximize cash flow, returns and net asset value




Balanced, Safe & Unique Portfolio of Precious Metals Assets

COEUR MINING

Metal Sales by Asset
(% of revenue)



... by Geography
(% of revenue)



... by Metal
(% of revenue)




(1) Other refers to Coeur Capital (primarily production from the Endeavor silver stream in Australia) and the Martha Mine in Argentina. Both assets have been sold by Coeur.


Repositioned Financial Profile Focused on Cash Flow

Over the past several years, the Company has financially repositioned itself to focus on more sustainable debt balances and quality cash flow growth


Total Debt
($ M)




Interest Expense
($ M)




G&A Expense
($ M)




Gold Revenue Contribution
(% of Total Revenue)



Capital Expenditures
($ M)



Adj. EBITDA[1]
($ M)




Note: Values reflect reported figures in corresponding periods (e.g., figures not adjusted for re-stated financials, divestitures, etc.).
(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

Strong Track Record of Achieving Production Guidance

Coeur has a strong track record of consistently meeting or beating its production guidance for both gold and silver


Gold Production[1]

(k oz)



Silver Production[1]

(M oz)



(1) Reflects reported figures in specified periods (e.g., figures do not reflect out-of-period adjustments to financials, divestitures, etc.).
(2) Includes pre-commercial production at Kensington (Jualin) and Silvertip.

Actuals

Coeur's Capital Allocation Framework

Mining is capital intensive and requires a disciplined approach to capital allocation with a view toward long-term reserve replacement and growth

Safety / Environment / Sustainability	–	✓ Reflects the Company's values ✓ Mitigates risk for stakeholders ✓ Establishes and sustains social license	
Asset Optimization / Enhancements	25% +	✓ Highest returns ✓ Quickest impact ✓ Low capital intensity ✓ Lowest risk	× Finite opportunity set
Brownfield Exploration	20% +	✓ High success / lowest risk exploration ✓ Low average discovery cost ✓ Quick payback given existing infrastructure	× Returns dependent on specific deposit × All deposits eventually come to an end
Opportunistic M&A	15% +	✓ Opportunity to meaningfully impact company ✓ Scale and liquidity can be differentiators	× Limited number of opportunities meet criteria × Requires significant organizational commitment × Challenging social dynamics
10% - 15% estimated cost of capital			
Greenfield Exploration	Can vary widely	✓ Potential to create substantial value from new discoveries	× Low success rate × Requires long-term, sustained financial and organizational commitment
Debt Repayment	5% - 6%	✓ Eliminates/reduces interest expense ✓ Improves balance sheet flexibility ✓ Mitigates downside metal price risk	× Low ROI × Reduces cash liquidity levels × Bond buybacks can be expensive (fees, premium required)
Dividends / Repurchases	–	✓ Reflects Board and management confidence in long-term outlook ✓ Provides discipline ✓ Offers opportunity to differentiate among peers	× Industry's cyclicality and capital intensity × Not a key driver for most investors × Restricted by indenture and credit agreement

Track Record of Asset Optimization

COEUR MINING

Palmarejo

- Acquisition of Paramount in 2015 and renegotiation of FNV stream in 2014, which took effect in 2016
- Transition to 100% underground mining in 2016
- 100% and 63% increases in gold and silver grades since 2014[1]
- 37% and 43% lower adj. CAS per AuOz and AgOz, respectively, since 2014[2]
- Demonstrated ability to deliver free cash flow[3]

Rochester

- From 2013 to 2016, tons placed increased at a CAGR of 17% before declining in 2017 (Stage IV leach pad expansion)
- Increased silver and gold production 80% and 76%, respectively, from 2013 to 2018
- Successfully commissioned new crushing circuit; higher placement rates expected to drive production in 4Q 2019

Wharf

- Acquired in 2015. Purchase price of $99 million repaid from free cash flow[3] in approximately two years
- U.S. NOL tax synergy
- Improved plant recovery rates by 15% since acquisition
- 58% increase in year-end reserves (and longer mine life) since acquisition[4]

Kensington

- 62% higher throughput and 39% higher production since 2012[5]
- Reduced unit costs 14%[6] from 2012 to 2018
- Jualin and other high-grade zones expected to drive future production and cash flow growth
- Jualin expected to account for ~15% of Kensington's ounce production in 2019, driving improved cash flow and costs

Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Wharf on file at www.sedar.com.

(1) Based on FY2018 grades of 0.10 oz/t gold and 6.49 oz/t silver compared to 0.05 oz/t gold and 3.97 oz/t silver for FY2014.

(2) FY2018 adjusted CAS per AuOz and AgOz of $556 and $7.69, respectively. FY2014 adjusted CAS per AuOz and AgOz of $889 and $13.42, respectively. See non-GAAP reconciliations in the appendix to this presentation.

(3) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments. See reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(4) Mineral reserves estimate for the year ended December 31, 2013 as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. See relevant tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(5) Based on FY2018 gold production, including pre-commercial, compared to FY2012.

(6) Based on CAS per AuOz of $1,055 for FY2018 compared to $1,227 for FY2012. See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

Palmarejo: Long–Term Value Through High-Return Investment


Coeur has made numerous strategic investments to reposition Palmarejo as a sustainable, higher-margin, longer-life operation

Strategic Investments / Improvements


2015	Acquired adjacent, royalty-free land package
2016	Transitioned to higher-grade, underground-only operations
2017	Achieved mining rate target; accelerated results-based drill programs
2018	Strong year-end results, despite challenges in 3Q

Free Cash Flow[1]


($M)



Unit Cost Performance



Metal Production, Recoveries and Grade



(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments. See reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

Rochester: Upgraded Crushing Circuit Utilizing HPGR

Initial recovery results from bottle roll and column tests on HPGR-crushed ore are encouraging and in-line with original expectations. Operational and financial results expected to improve in 4Q 2019, reflecting a full quarter integrating the new crushing circuit

Quarterly Project Update

- Installation and commissioning of new crushing circuit, including the HPGR unit, completed in 3Q
  - Fewer tons placed given commissioning process
  - Placement rates expected to increase in 4Q
  - HPGR-crushed material currently being placed close to liner of the Stage IV leach pad
- 3Q production supplemented using:
  - Side slope leaching on the Stage III and Stage IV leach pads
  - Run-of-mine material (early in the quarter)
- Planning and permitting for Plan of Operations Amendment 11 ("POA 11") expansion currently underway[1] and on-schedule
- Updated National Instrument 43-101 technical report expected in 1H 2020




Fully commissioned HPGR unit


Internal view of HPGR unit


Crushed ore from HPGR unit



POA 11 project area

(1) Coeur expects to receive Record of Decision from the Bureau of Land Management in 1Q 2020, allowing construction on POA 11 crushing plant to begin.

Rochester: HPGR Microfracturing & Operating Data

Traditional Cone Crushing


- Silver particles encapsulated by silica leads to "traditional" Rochester recovery



Unleached *Leached*


HPGR Crushing

- HPGR creates micro-fractures, breaking silica encapsulation, causing higher silver exposure



Unleached *Leached*

Rochester Expected Silver Recoveries[1]




HPGR Recovery: Bottle Roll Leaching*

	Silver	Gold
Third-Party Test Work[2]	56.7%	72.7%
Coeur HPGR Operating[3]	55.5%	75.5%

**Bottle roll testing indicative of 30-day leach cycle*

Particle Size Distribution



Note: Bright spots in photographs are silver particles.
(1) Silver recoveries as presented in Rochester's re-scoped mine plan and PEA. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report and Preliminary Economic Assessment for Rochester dated March 5, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.
(2) Reflects average results from test work completed in 2016 and 2017.
(3) Reflects initial results from early August 2019.
(4) Reflects results from August 2019.

Track Record of Opportunistic M&A and Divestitures

	Company / Asset	Type	Rationale
2015	Paramount Silver & Gold	Acquisition	• Synergistic bolt-on of royalty-free land package adjacent to the Company's Palmarejo mine • Primarily stock deal timed to leverage historically-low market value of target and preserve cash for growth investment
2015	Wharf Mine	Acquisition	• Turnkey operation in U.S. with low geopolitical and technical risks; immediate opportunity for plant efficiency improvements • Significant U.S. tax synergies
2017	Joaquin Project	Divestiture	• Monetized non-core exploration project located in Argentina and improved the Company's geopolitical footprint
2017	Endeavor Silver Stream	Divestiture	• Divested Endeavor silver stream along with remaining royalties
2017	Silvertip Mine	Acquisition	• High-grade operation with key infrastructure in place • Considerable exploration potential • Established footprint in preeminent mining jurisdiction
2018	San Bartolomé Mine	Divestiture	• Timely sale of highest-cost operation while retaining potential upside in the form of a 2.0% net smelter returns royalty on the mill • Substantially improved Company's geopolitical risk profile with exit from Bolivia
2018	Northern Empire Resources	Acquisition	• Expands the Company's presence in a familiar and top-rated mining jurisdiction • Past producing Sterling Mine represents nearer-term, higher-grade growth opportunity, while highly prospective Crown Block represents greater, longer-term exploration potential
2018	Property Package (Lincoln Hill)	Acquisition	• Adjacent to the Company's Rochester mine in northern Nevada, providing the potential for significant operational synergies • Significant low-risk, low-cost exploration potential
2019	Richmond Hill	Option Agreement	• Adjacent to Coeur's Wharf mine in South Dakota • Potential opportunity to leverage existing infrastructure to further expand Wharf's footprint and extend its mine life

Wharf: A Case Study for Successful M&A

COEUR MINING

Acquired in early 2015, Wharf has already generated a return on investment of approximately 27%[1], driven by operational improvements, targeted investments in exploration, and several technical and modeling enhancements

Free Cash Flow[2]

($M)



$156.6M


Cumulative FCF[2] since acquisition

Gold Reserves and Depletion

(K oz)

+58%

Increase in year-end reserves since last reported figure prior to Coeur's acquisition



Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Wharf on file at www.sedar.com.

(1) Return on investment determined based on final acquisition cost of $99.5M in February 2015 and free cash flows of $28.8M, $57.6M, $40.8M, $8.5M, $3.8M , $0.3M and $16.8M in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 1Q 2019, 2Q 2019 and 3Q 2019, respectively. Mid-period convention was used in calculating the return on investment.

(2) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments. See applicable reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(3) Mineral reserves estimate for the year ended December 31, 2013 as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. See relevant tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(4) Estimated depletion of contained mineral reserves based on production as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. in 2014 and 2015 and Coeur since its acquisition through 2018 and assumes an 80% metallurgical recovery rate.

Silvertip: Commissioning Priorities to Achieve Stability[1]

Coeur continues to focus on improving mill availability. The Company has also mobilized third-party resources and revamped its human resources strategy to achieve operational stability

Key Areas of Focus


Expedite Completion of Mill Projects and Bolster Maintenance Efforts

- Prioritizing maintenance efforts in largest areas of unscheduled downtime
  - Primarily in paste plant and dewatering circuit
- Extending scheduled downtime to tackle remaining projects
- Focusing on water treatment and management to improve water quality and overall mill performance


Strengthen and Stabilize Organization

- Enhancing and reinforcing leadership team and organizational structure
- Strengthening hiring, retention and training efforts
- Leveraging use of third-parties to accelerate completion of projects and advance stabilization efforts


Average Grade[2]



Average Recovery Rates[3]



Production




Note: Coeur received the permit amendment application to operate Silvertip at a year-round mining and milling rate of 1,100 tons (1,000 metric tonnes) per day on November 5, 2019.
(1) Silvertip achieved commercial production on September 1, 2018. All figures on this slide include pre-commercial production.
(2) 2018 Proven and Probable reserve grades listed for reference – Silver: 8.43 oz/t; Zinc: 8.2%; Lead: 5.6%. See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Silvertip on file at www.sedar.com.
(3) Average recovery rates based on payable metal.

Northern Empire & Lincoln Hill: Expanding in Nevada

In late 2018, Coeur completed two strategic acquisitions of Nevada-based gold and silver assets, further expanding its footprint in one of the world's top mining jurisdictions

	Northern Empire	Lincoln Hill & Other
Acquired	October 2018	November 2018
Consideration	~$74 million (100% stock)	~$19 million (100% stock)
Assets	Sterling Mine, Crown Block and district-scale, underexplored land package	Lincoln Hill Project, Wilco Project, Gold Ridge Property and other nearby claims
Strategic Rationale	• Sterling Mine is a high-grade, past producing heap-leach operation with nearer-term growth potential • Highly prospective, longer-term exploration potential at Crown Block • Includes 90 mi^2 land package • 17 identified, undrilled targets within land package • Coeur intends to test new targets following growth of existing resources	• Consistent with strategy: expected low-risk, high-quality and high-return assets • Ability to leverage existing infrastructure and workforce – Provides significant potential for operating synergies • More than doubles existing land position at Rochester • Significant exploration potential




Commitment to Success-Based Exploration Program

Coeur has continued to prioritize investments into its success-based exploration program, largely focused on near-mine drilling with the goal of replacing production, extending mine lives and generating attractive returns


Historical Exploration Investment by Site

($M)




Total Exploration Investment

($M)




Brownfield versus Greenfield Investment[3]

($M)



2019[2] Exploration Investment by Site

($M)




(1) Other includes Sterling, La Preciosa, San Bartolomé (discontinued operations) and corporate/other.
(2) Midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(3) Excludes allocation of corporate overhead.

Continued Expansion of Reserve and Resource Base

Coeur has been successfully replacing production and adding a significant amount of reserves and resources, demonstrating the potential for further mine life extensions and organic growth opportunities

Gold Reserves & Resources

(k oz)




***+15%** increase in proven and probable gold reserves*

***+42%** increase in measured and indicated gold resources*

***+130%** increase in inferred gold resources*

Silver Reserves & Resources

(M oz)



***+38%** increase in proven and probable silver reserves*

***+10%** increase in measured and indicated silver resources*

***+218%** increase in inferred silver resources*


Note: Figures exclude mining properties divested by Coeur. See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the latest technical reports on file for each of Coeur's mines at www.sedar.com.


Palmarejo: Validates Near-Mine Exploration Philosophy

Exploration at Palmarejo continues to target new, high-grade discoveries near existing infrastructure with encouraging results

- Resource conversion and expansion at **Guadalupe** and **Independencia Mine Complexes**; up to seven drill rigs were active during 2018
- Focus of 2019 exploration drilling – with up to ten drill rigs:
  - Resource discovery for new high-grade clavos
  - Continued infill within the **Guadalupe and Independencia Mine Complexes**

2014 & 2018 Reserves & Resources





Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the technical report for Palmarejo on file at www.sedar.com.

Kensington: High-Grade Transformation Underway


COEUR MINING

Kensington's technical report demonstrates the strong potential impact of a relatively small amount of high-grade mill feed on overall mine economics

Highlights of Kensington Technical Report

- 13% increase in expected average annual payable production to approximately 130,000 ounces of gold from 2019 through 2021
- 17% increase in anticipated life-of-mine head grade of 0.21 oz/t compared to the 2017 average head grade of 0.18 oz/t
- An extension of mine life from 2020 to 2022 based on then-current reserves
- 84% increase in expected life-of-mine pre-tax cash flows to $90 million compared to $49 million in Kensington's 2014 technical report
- Additional 713,000 AuOz of Measured and Indicated resources and 305,000 AuOz of Inferred resources convey upside potential


Continued Exploration Potential



Note: See slides in the appendix to this presentation for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report for Kensington dated April 25, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.

Silvertip: Targeting Near-Mine Resource Growth

Coeur began resource expansion drilling at Silvertip in 2Q 2019, focusing on high-grade, near-mine targets. Initial results of the program have been positive and are highlighted below




Selected Commentary

- 2018 drilling at Silvertip focused mainly on infill resource conversion
- Primary focus of 2019 drilling program is resource growth and expansion
  - Drill assay highlights as of 2Q 2019 are shown on the figure to the left
- Top priority areas for 2019 resource expansion drilling include Discovery East, South and North
  - Historic intercepts in these areas demonstrate potential for expanding high-grade silver-zinc-lead manto mineralization
  - Additional drilling during 2019 may focus on the 28 Zone to test high-grade historic intercepts south of Silver Creek Zone, and adjacent to the Camp Creek Fault "feeder structure"

Note: Please refer to the appendix of this presentation for a complete table of all 2019 drill results at Silvertip.

Expanding Pipeline of Potential High-Return Organic Growth

Over the past several years, the Company has further bolstered its pipeline of high-return growth opportunities in attractive jurisdictions




(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

Balance Sheet Summary

Liquidity Profile


($M)



$M	Jun. 30, 2019	Sep. 30, 2019
5.875% senior notes due 2024	$246.2	$226.7
Revolving credit facility	53.0	0.0
Capital lease obligations	70.7	72.0
TOTAL DEBT	$370.0	$298.7
Cash and cash equivalents	$37.9	$65.3
Available revolver balance	197.0	250.0
TOTAL LIQUIDITY	$234.9	$315.3
LEVERAGE RATIOS[1]		
LTM adjusted EBITDA ($M)	$116.3	$151.1
Total debt / LTM adjusted EBITDA	3.2x	2.0x
Net debt / LTM adjusted EBITDA	2.9x	1.5x

Debt Summary

($M)



Overview of Balance Sheet Enhancements

- May 2017: Refinanced 7.875% senior notes due 2021 with 5.875% notes due 2024 ("Senior Notes")
- September 2017: Established $200 million revolving credit facility ("RCF")
- October 2018: Upsized RCF to $250 million and extended maturity to 2022
- April and August 2019: Amended terms of RCF to enhance near-term flexibility under financial covenants, among other changes
- 2Q 2019: Completed $50 million at-the-market ("ATM") equity offering and repaid $82 million of borrowings under RCF
- 3Q 2019: Completed $75 million ATM equity offering, fully repaid RCF and exchanged $20 million of Senior Notes for common stock

(1) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

Hedging Strategy: Implementing Downside Protection

During 3Q 2019, Coeur implemented a series of zero-cost collar hedges on a portion of its gold production in 2019 and 2020, allowing for downside protection and participation in potential upside. The strategy was designed to support free cash flow[1] generation and help fund key internal growth projects

Summary Overview

Commodity	• Gold
Instrument	• Zero-cost collar – No upfront costs – "Guarantees" price floor and provides upside price participation up to a specified ceiling
Duration	• Twelve months; potential to extend – Started in September 2019
Execution	• Layer hedges to spread out execution risk – Flexibility to adapt to changing market conditions

Snapshot of Hedge Position

	2019[2]	2020
Hedged (oz)	42,000	96,000
Avg. Ceiling ($/oz)	$1,798	$1,803
Avg. Floor ($/oz)	$1,405	$1,408

- 21,000 oz per month for the remainder of 2019
- 12,000 oz per month January – August 2020

Key Objectives of Hedge Program

1. *Help to achieve positive free cash flow[1] in 2019*
2. *Support expected strong, positive free cash flow[1] in 2020*
3. *Support key internal growth projects (e.g., Rochester expansion)*

(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.
(2) Hedge position for November and December 2019.

Looking Ahead

Top Near-Term Priorities

- Generate higher silver recoveries and lower unit costs at Rochester
- Further optimize availability and begin rationalizing costs at Silvertip
- Continue generating Companywide positive free cash flow[1]

Other Key Value Drivers

- La Nación and new thickener anticipated to drive results at Palmarejo
- High-grade ore from Jualin expected to continue benefiting Kensington
- Improved crusher performance at Wharf projected to help finish the year strong
- Investments in near-mine, success-based exploration activities

(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

2019 Guidance[1]

Production Outlook

	Gold (oz)	Silver (K oz)	Zinc (K lbs)	Lead (K lbs)
Palmarejo	95,000 – 105,000	6,500 – 7,200	-	-
Rochester	40,000 – 50,000	4,200 – 5,000	-	-
Kensington	117,000 – 130,000	-	-	-
Wharf	82,000 – 87,000	-	-	-
Silvertip	-	1,500 – 2,500	25,000 – 40,000	20,000 – 35,000
Consolidated	**334,000 – 372,000**	**12,200 – 14,700**	**25,000 – 40,000**	**20,000 – 35,000**

CAS Outlook[2]

	Gold ($/oz)	Silver ($/oz)	Zinc ($/lb)	Lead ($/lb)
Palmarejo (co-product)	$650 - $750	$9.00 - $10.00	-	-
Rochester (co-product)	$1,000 - $1,100	$12.50 - $13.50	-	-
Kensington	$950 - $1,050	-	-	-
Wharf (by-product)	$850 - $950	-	-	-
Silvertip (co-product)	-	$14.00 - $16.00	$1.00 - $1.25	$0.85 - $1.05


Capital, Exploration and G&A

($M)	
Capital Expenditures, Sustaining[3]	$70 – $80
Capital Expenditures, Development	$30 – $40
Exploration, Expensed	$18 – $22
Exploration, Capitalized	$8 – $12
General & Administrative Expenses	$32 – $36


Note: The Company's guidance figures assume $1,275/oz gold, $15.50/oz silver, $1.15/lb zinc and $0.95/lb lead as well as CAD of 1.30 and MXN of 20.00.
(1) Guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Sustaining capital expenditures exclude capital leases.

CapEx Summary

Capital Expenditures by Mine
(% companywide total)



Capital Expenditures Composition
(% companywide total)



2019E[1] Capital Expenditures

	3Q	YTD[3]	Full-Year Guidance[1]	YTD Progress[4]	Implied 4Q[5]	Comments
Palmarejo	$8M	$24M	$40M - $45M	57%	$16M - $21M	• Ongoing underground development and infrastructure investments
Rochester	$10M	$18M	$17M - $20M	95%	$0M - $2M	• Investment in new crushing circuit, Stage IV leach pad and initial work on POA 11
Kensington	$5M	$19M	$20M - $25M	85%	$1M - $6M	• Ongoing underground development
Wharf	$1M	$1M	$3M - $5M	35%	$2M - $4M	• Minimal sustaining capital expenditures
Silvertip	$6M	$16M	$20M - $25M	69%	$5M - $10M	• Key projects targeting mill availability and equipment purchases
TOTAL[6]	**$31M**	**$79M**	**$100M - $120M**	**72%**	**$21M - $41M**	

(1) Guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) Sustaining capital expenditures exclude capital leases.
(3) Year to date through September 30, 2019.
(4) Percentage progress figures based on midpoint of guidance ranges.
(5) Implied 4Q ranges based on low- and high-ends of full-year capex guidance ranges.
(6) Total includes "Other" segment.

ESG Highlights

What Matters to Coeur

Environmental

- Climate Change
- Green House Gas Emissions
- Water Stewardship
- Waste Minimization
- Biodiversity
- Tailings Management
- Closure Planning

Social

Human Capital Management

- Fair Employment Practices and Equal Opportunity
- Training and Development
- Health, Safety & Security

Society

- Investment in Local Communities
- Indigenous Rights
- Human Rights

Governance

- Ethics and Governance
- Compliance
- Anti-Corruption



PROTECT

Our People, Places, Planet



DEVELOP

Quality Resources, Growth, Plans




DELIVER

Impactful Results Through Teamwork

Proactive Approach to Tailings Dam Management

Highlighted below are the proactive elements of Coeur's tailings dam facilities that set the Company's leading environment, health and safety standards apart from other industry participants



Overview of Coeur's Tailings Dam Facilities

	Palmarejo	Kensington
Year Constructed	2010	2010
Status	Operational	Operational
Construction Type/Design	Downstream zoned rockfill dam, upstream face lined with HDPE[1], constructed into bedrock	Downstream constructed Geosynthetic-Faced Rockfill Dam, constructed into bedrock
Relevant Design Standards	Mexican norm NOM-141 SEMARNAT 2003 and Canadian Dam Association (CDA 2007) Dam Safety Guidelines	Alaska Department of Natural Resources (ADNR) Dam Safety Guidelines (ADNR 2005) and 11 AAC 93.171(f)(3)
Current Height (ft)	315 feet (96 meters)	88 feet (27 meters)
Permitted Height (ft)	341 feet (104 meters)	88 feet (27 meters)
Current Tailings Volume	17.4 million tons (13.7 million cubic meters)	2.5 million tons (1.5 million cubic meters)
Tailings Type	Impounded Tailings Slurry[2]	Impounded Tailings Slurry[2]
Frequency of Third Party Inspections	Annually during normal operation, constant inspections during construction	Annually during normal operation, constant inspections during construction

(1) High Density Polyethylene.
(2) A slurry is a finely ground mixture of mineral particles that are mixed with water for ease of transport.

Operational Risk Reduction of Coeur's Tailings Dams

Coeur's health and safety practices are focused on maintaining low risk tailings dam facilities across its operations

Construction	• Downstream construction method – most stable and progressively builds away from tailings, towards downstream • Kensington and Palmarejo embankments are constructed into bedrock • Designs and engineers follow accepted international guidelines and jurisdictional dam safety regulations • Continuous QA/QC during construction projects
Water Control	• Effective seepage and hydraulic control systems in place • Emergency spillway in place at Kensington • Emergency spillway under construction at Palmarejo • Strong beach formation at Palmarejo, increases tailings strength and maintains water away from embankment • Significantly reduced the volume of water stored in Palmarejo's tailings dam • Water balance limits in place, actively monitored and maintained • Designed to site specific factors and geotechnical conditions
Failure Modes	• Completed failure modes analysis / probabilistic scenarios • Designed for seismic control to maximum credible earthquake • Designed with appropriate stability and safety factors • Managed to higher hazard class at Kensington • Contingency controls in place to handle upset conditions
Surveillance & Management	• Excellent tailings management track record • Engineering reviews by qualified third parties • Credible operation, monitoring and maintenance system with attentive management • Strong internal and external inspection and surveillance programs • Instrumentation systems in place with active monitoring • Operate within design standards • Underground tailings placement optimized where feasible

Bolstering Human Rights Efforts

Coeur places immense value on the dignity, well-being and human rights of our employees, the communities in which we operate as well as any other stakeholders impacted by our operations

4Q 2018

- Developed, implemented, and publicly disclosed Human Rights Policy

1Q 2019

- Signed CEO Action Pledge supporting diversity and inclusion
- Enhanced Coeur Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and Supplier Code of Conduct to specifically cover Human Rights

3Q 2019

- Developed internal Human Rights training module
- Signed the Hispanic Promise Pledge - national pledge to hire, promote, retain and celebrate Hispanics in the workplace

4Q 2019

- Aim to implement online Human Rights training
- Plan to put an enhanced supplier due diligence questionnaire into effect that specifically includes Human Rights







Demonstrating Community Commitment – Palmarejo







Biodiversity & Investment in Local Communities

Palmarejo operates three large greenhouses, growing local plants. In August, **Palmarejo** donated 375 chiltepín (a red pepper) plants to the communities of Agua Salada, Desfiladeros and Llanos

11
Years receiving the CEMEFI[1] Corporate Social Responsibility Award

19,000
Local plants donated to communities in 2018

134
Participants in our apprenticeship program since its inception

86+
Scholarships given to university students

(1) Mexican Center for Philanthropy.

Demonstrating Community Commitment – Rochester

Contributing to Nevada History

Rochester provided 1,500 ounces of Nevada silver for the 150th anniversary of the Nevada Mint. 3,000 replicas of the original 1870 coin will be minted[1]

Rochester representatives attended the kick-off celebration and pushed the button to initiate the minting of the first commemorative coin





33+
Years in the Community

650+
Jobs supported in Northern Nevada

#1
Largest employer in Pershing County

44
Local organizations supported in 2018

(1) Mint150.com

Demonstrating Community Commitment – Kensington





32+
Years in the Community

860+
Jobs supported in the state of Alaska


~2/3
Of employees are Alaskan; 41% from Juneau, 24% from other Alaska communities

2nd
Largest private employer and property taxpayer in Juneau

Helping with Housing

Kensington recently donated five sleeper trailers to Tlingit & Haida's Reentry & Recovery department to create housing for reentry clients. **Kensington** also donated $20,000 to help renovate the trailers

Demonstrating Community Commitment – Wharf



Fourth Annual Community Open House & Picnic

On August 24, **Wharf** hosted over 200 community and family members on site to learn more about the operation. Open house activities included photo opportunities with equipment and to dress as a miner as well as a video tour of the mine site.



$5.2M+
Paid in state and local taxes in 2018

97%
Of employees live in the Black Hills

$1.5M+
Endowment fund to benefit the Black Hills community

36
Years in the Community

Demonstrating Community Commitment – Silvertip





Building Silvertip's Brand & Recruitment of First Nations

Silvertip is actively partnering with local job boards, job service agencies and businesses to promote recruitment and training among First Nations. In particular, Silvertip employs a Kaska Liason Officer and works with the Tahltan Central Government's Employment & Contracts Department to recruit, retain and develop First Nations employees

19
First Nations-partnered businesses worked with Coeur in 2018

4+
Meetings with Kaska Advisory Committees annually

$38.5K+
Donated to community organizations in 2018


26%
Of Silvertip employees are First Nations members

Industry-Leading Safety Performance


*In 2017, Coeur achieved the National Mining Association **CORE**Safety certification*

Coeur's injury frequency rate remains significantly below industry averages

Lost-Time Injury Frequency Rate



Total Reportable Injury Frequency Rate




(1) Source(s): U.S. Department of Labor Mine Safety and Health Administration: Metal Operators Mine Safety and Health Statistics. Injuries per 200,000 employee-hours worked. YTD 2019 MSHA figures are preliminary.
(2) Includes both Coeur employees and contract workers.
(3) Coeur year to date information through September 30, 2019. Industry year to date information through June 30, 2019.

2019 International EHS Innovation Award Winner

Coeur is committed to being an industry leader in environmental, health and safety innovation, exemplified through the Company's utilization of technology to protect its people, places and planet

Technology	Implementation at Coeur
Global EHS Integrated Management System	✓ Real time health and safety performance indicators ✓ Improved cross functional communication and engagement ✓ Analytical decisions and improvements
Automation	✓ Drones used to investigate, stopes, blasting, stockpiles, drill locations, geological features, tailing dams, etc. ✓ Surface and underground drill hole automation ✓ Remote mucking
Fatigue Management Software	✓ Monitor alertness/distraction of heavy machinery operators ✓ Immediate notification and corrective response
Sensors	✓ Proximity detection for remote mucking ✓ Improved tracking of miners ✓ "On demand" ventilation ✓ Evaluating areas of improvement





Demonstrating Our Commitment

COEUR MINING

Best-in-Class Governance Practices

Board Refreshment and Succession Planning	• Three new independent directors added to the Board since early 2018 and six directors – more than 50% of the Board – added in last six years • Active Board succession planning and refreshment
Robust Board and Committee Evaluations	• Annual evaluations promote Board and Board committee effectiveness • Chairman's one-on-one meetings with each director promote candor, effectiveness and accountability
No Related Party Transactions	• No related person transactions with directors or executive officers
Board-Level Risk Oversight	• The Board and Board committees take an active role in the Company's risk oversight and risk management processes
Active Stockholder Engagement	• During 2018, Coeur continued its proactive and robust stockholder outreach efforts on governance, executive compensation and other matters, contacting all holders of 0.2% or more
Clawback Policy	• Added officer misconduct to the scope of clawback policy in addition to financial restatement-driven events


Stockholder Rights

Annual Election of Directors	• All directors are elected annually for one-year terms
Majority Voting for Director Elections	• Majority voting in uncontested director elections with a resignation policy
Proxy Access	• Proactively adopted proxy access in March 2019
Stockholder Right to Call Special Meetings	• Stockholders owning 20% or more of Coeur's common stock have the right to call a special meeting of the stockholders
No Poison Pill	• Coeur does not have a poison pill or similar anti-takeover defenses in place

Significantly Improved Corporate Governance Profile


Corporate Governance Enhancements Since 2010	2010	2019
Independent Board Chairman	✗	✓
Entire Board of Directors is independent other than CEO	✗	✓
Gender diversity on the Board	✗	✓
Majority voting standard for uncontested Director elections	✗	✓
Proxy access allowing certain stockholders to nominate directors	✗	✓
Robust Board and Committee self-evaluation (including 1:1 discussions with Chairman and third party participation)	✗	✓
Executive and Director stock ownership guidelines	✗	✓
No excise tax gross-up on executive severance	✗	✓
All incentive awards subject to double-trigger change-in-control vesting	✗	✓
60% of executive equity awards are performance shares and 40% are time-vesting restricted stock	✗	✓
Independent executive compensation consultant to compensation committee	✗	✓
No executive employment agreements other than CEO	✗	✓
No “related person transactions" with Directors or executive officers	✗	✓
Robust executive compensation clawback policy covering officer misconduct	✗	✓

2018 CEO Compensation Tied to Stockholder Returns



2019 Incentive Plan

Aligned with Strategic Objectives & Purpose Statement


PROTECT		TRIFR % reduction	AIP[1] 15%
		% reduction in significant spills	
DEVELOP		Three-Year Growth in Reserves and Resources from Continuing Operations	PSUs[2,3] 50%
DELIVER		Costs Applicable to Sales Adjusted EBITDA	AIP 60%
		Three-Year Growth in OCF[4] from Continuing Operations/share	PSUs[2,3] 50%
		Production	AIP 25%

(1) Annual incentive plan.
(2) Performance share units.
(3) The two internal performance share metrics are subject to a relative total stockholder return ("TSR") modifier that adjusts payouts +/-25% for top or bottom quartile performance compared to peers.
(4) Operating cash flow.

Diverse Board Led by Independent Chair

- Ten out of the eleven Directors are independent (all except CEO); all four main committees comprised of independent directors only
- One new director elected in March 2019 and two new directors elected in February 2018 having skills and experiences that complement other directors
- Balance of newer and longer serving directors; no mandatory retirement age
- 50% of independent directors are diverse (gender or ethnicity), contributing to a variety of viewpoints

Diversity of Director Experience




Appendix

Palmarejo


Ownership	100%
Claims	112,520 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation, CIL, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~8 years



Share of Companywide 2019E[1] Production




2014 & 2018 Reserves & Resources[2]



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.


Palmarejo (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton


($)

	3Q 2018	4Q 2018	1Q 2019	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
UG tons mined	300,432	371,418	382,173	447,711	463,994
UG mining costs per UG ton mined	$52	$41	$41	$37	$38
Processing costs per ton processed[2]	$32	$26	$28	$26	$26
G&A per ton processed[3]	$14	$15	$14	$12	$11


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Rochester


Ownership	100%
Claims	16,494 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, dump heap leaching, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~19 years



Share of Companywide 2019E[1] Production




2014 & 2018 Reserves & Resources[2]



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.


Rochester (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)

	3Q 2018	4Q 2018	1Q 2019	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
Ore tons mined	4,184,212	3,824,777	2,763,752	2,816,409	2,497,963
Strip Ratio	0.2:1	0.1:1	0.3:1	0.4:1	0.3:1
Mining costs per ton mined	$1.92	$2.19	$2.46	$2.27	$2.75
Processing costs per ton processed[2]	$3.49	$3.40	$4.14	$4.37	$3.75
G&A per ton processed[3]	$0.71	$0.83	$1.14	$1.17	$1.24


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

What is HPGR?

High-pressure grinding rolls ("HPGR") are relatively new to precious metals operations, although the technology has been proven in processing other materials

- HPGRs have been used in the aggregates sector for decades, though early challenges associated with surface wear of the rollers delayed its adoption in hard rock processing
- In an HPGR unit, feed material is subjected to high compressive force exerted by the floating roll, which is regulated by hydraulic pistons
- Key advantages include:
  - Low operational and maintenance costs due in large part to limited moving parts
  - Limited downtime for wear part replacement
  - Comparatively higher comminution efficiency
  - Accommodates greater mineral variability
- Installations by precious metals producers include[1]:
  - Soledad, Golden Queen Mining (California, 2014)
  - Cadia, Newcrest Mining (Australia, 2010)
  - Peñasquito, Goldcorp (Mexico, 2008)

High-Pressure Grinding Roll Schematic



Source: Thyssenkrupp Industrial Solutions POLYCOM® Installations (2018).

Summary of Rochester PEA[1]

PEA Highlights

- Re-scoped mine plan and PEA incorporate the anticipated economic benefit from:
  - Addition of an HPGR unit (commissioned in August 2019)
  - Planned construction in 2020 of a new crusher, including a second HPGR, with anticipated 20 million tons per year capacity (compared to current capacity of approximately 15 million tons)
- Includes approximately 80 million tons of inferred material in addition to year-end 2017 reserves
  - Inferred material would be infill drilled to reserve confidence over several years
- Anticipated benefits from HPGR technology include:
  - Improved silver recovery and accelerated timing of ultimate recovery from 20 to two years
  - Reduction of strip ratio from 0.8:1 to under 0.4:1
  - Reduced maintenance and energy consumption

		2017 TR	2018 PEA
Proven and probable reserves			
Mineralized material tons	K tons	244,804	
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t	0.003	
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t	0.46	
Measured and indicated resources			
Mineralized material tons	K tons		277,151
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t		0.003
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t		0.44
Inferred resources			
Mineralized material tons	K tons		74,632
Mineralized material gold grade	oz/t		0.002
Mineralized material silver grade	oz/t		0.38
Metallurgical recovery gold	%	92%	92%
Metallurgical recovery silver	%	61%	70%
Revenue			
Gold price (2018-LOM)	$/oz	$1,250	$1,250
Silver price (2018 - LOM)	$/oz	$17.50	$17.50
Gross revenue	$M	$2,225	$3,129
Operating costs			
Mining	$M	($549)	($602)
Crushing/Processing	$M	($613)	($904)
General and administrative	$M	($135)	($174)
Smelting and refining	$M	($20)	($29)
Corporate management fee	$M	($35)	($45)
Net proceeds tax	$M	($43)	($69)
Royalties	$M	$0	$0
Total operating cost	$M	($1,394)	($1,823)
Cost per AgEq ounce (71.4:1)	$/AgEq	$10.97	$10.20
Cost per AgEq (60.0:1)	$/AgEq	$11.79	$10.85
Cash flow			
Operating cash flow	$M	$831	$1,306
Capital	$M	($387)	($351)
Royalties and others	$M	($12)	$0
Total pre-tax cash flow	$M	$431	$955
Project pre-tax NPV (5% discount rate)	$M	$280	$609

(1) For additional information regarding Rochester's re-scoped mine plan and PEA, please refer to the next slide. Canadian investors should refer to the Technical Report and Preliminary Economic Assessment for Rochester dated March 5, 2018 on file at www.sedar.com.

Notes to Rochester's Re-Scoped Mine Plan and PEA

(a) 2018 PEA is effective December 31, 2017 and filed March 5, 2018, and the 2017 Technical Report is effective December 31, 2016.

(b) Assumed metals prices for estimated Mineral Resources included in the 2018 Technical Report were $20.00 per ounce of silver and $1,400 per ounce of gold. Assumed metal prices for estimated 2016 year-end Mineral Reserves were $17.50 per ounce of silver and $1,250 per ounce of gold and for estimated 2016 year-end Mineral Resources were $19.00 per ounce of silver and $1,275 per ounce of gold.

(c) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized.

(d) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(e) For details on the estimation of mineral reserves, mineral resources, and inferred mineral resources, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Reserves, Mineral Resources, and Inferred Mineral Resources, Canadian investors should refer to the 2017 Technical Report on file at www.sedar.com as well as the 2018 Rochester Technical Report, including the PEA, filed March 5, 2018.

(f) The Mineral Reserves silver equivalent cut-off grade equals 0.49 oz/t and the gold multiplier equals 109. The gold multiplying factor for silver equivalent is based on: [($Price Au - $Refining Au) / ($Price Ag - $Refining Ag)] x [(%Recovery Au) / (%Recovery Ag)]. The Mineral Resources cut-off grade equals 0.40 oz/t and the gold multiplier equals 103.

(g) Rounding of short tons, grades, and troy ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grades, and contained metal contents.


(h) Mineral Reserves are contained within the Measured and Indicated pit designs, or in stockpiles are supported by a plan featuring variable throughput rates, stockpiling and cut-off optimization. The PEA plan is contained within the Measured, Indicated and Inferred pit design and has a different mining sequence, variable production rate and an alternative cut-off grade as described in footnotes b, e, and f.

Kensington


Ownership	100%
Claims	12,336 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing
Metals	Gold concentrate
Mine life	~4 years



Share of Companywide 2019E[1] Production




2014 & 2018 Reserves & Resources[2]



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.


Kensington (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton


($)

	3Q 2018	4Q 2018	1Q 2019	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
Ore tons mined	169,686	123,510	162,399	154,902	169,090
Mining costs per ton mined	$65	$69	$72	$64	$58
Processing costs per ton processed[4]	$47	$50	$45	$42	$40
G&A per ton processed[5]	$39	$28	$43	$39	$38


(1) Includes pre-commercial production.
(2) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(3) Excludes proceeds and working capital associated with $25.0 million prepayment.
(4) Excludes third-party smelting charges, which are reflected in average realized selling prices of concentrate production.
(5) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Wharf


Ownership	100%
Claims	7,852 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, "on-off" heap leaching, spent ore neutralization, carbon absorption/desorption
Metals	Electrolytic cathodic sludge
Mine life	~8 years



Share of Companywide 2019E[1] Production




2015 & 2018 Reserves & Resources[2,3]



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.
(3) Wharf was acquired by Coeur in February 2015.


Wharf (cont.)

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

($M)



Costs Per Ton

($)

	3Q 2018	4Q 2018	1Q 2019	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
Ore tons mined	808,391	1,638,168	1,455,510	889,435	1,315,446
Strip Ratio	4.7:1	0.8:1	2.0:1	3.3:1	1.0:1
Mining costs per ton mined	$2.13	$3.47	$2.31	$2.31	$3.70
Pad unload costs per ton mined	$0.32	$1.23	$0.40	$0.21	$1.13
Total mining costs per ton mined (incl. pad unload)	$2.45	$4.69	$2.71	$2.51	$4.82
Processing costs per ton processed[2]	$3.18	$1.18	$2.97	$4.16	$1.82
G&A per ton processed[3]	$2.19	$1.47	$2.23	$2.95	$1.91


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.
(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.
(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Silvertip

Ownership	100%
Claims	90,156 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing, concentrate thickening
Metals	Lead concentrate, zinc concentrate
Mine life	~5 years




Share of Companywide 2019E[1] Production



Zinc
32.5M lbs

Lead
27.5M lbs

2018 Reserves & Resources[2]



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on November 4, 2019.
(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.

Silvertip: Expansion Drilling Intercepts (2019)

COEUR MINING

Silvertip Expansion Drilling Intercepts

	Mineralized Intercept (feet)				Assay Results		
Hole ID	From	To	Length	Est. Thick	Silver (oz/ton)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)
DSC19-Pad1-003	722.8	743.7	20.9	14.8	15.52	16.5	10.6
DSC19-Pad1-003	848.4	852.6	4.1	3.6	2.88	21.4	3.0
DSC19-Pad1-005	679.1	698.9	19.8	12.8	9.91	5.9	7.4
DSC19-Pad4-001	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DSC19-Pad4-002	1006.0	1031.9	26.0	-	13.76	19.1	8.4
DSC19-Pad4-004	996.1	1025.9	29.8	25.9	4.93	12.6	3.1

Note: The potential quantity and grade for the exploration targets and deposits described herein are conceptual in nature. There is insufficient exploratory work to define a mineral resource and it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the applicable target being delineated as a mineral resource.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation for Guidance

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

Costs Applicable to Sales Guidance for 2019

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$196,310	$131,918	$154,285	$90,299	$156,417	$729,229
Amortization	62,808	21,606	36,909	11,583	57,177	190,083
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$133,502**	**$110,312**	**$117,376**	**$78,716**	**$99,240**	**$539,146**
By-product credit				(1,167)		(1,167)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$133,502**	**$110,312**	**$117,376**	**$77,549**	**$99,240**	**$537,979**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	100,000	45,000	121,000	85,500		351,500
Silver ounces	6,850,000	4,800,000		75,000	2,100,000	13,825,000
Zinc pounds					35,000,000	35,000,000
Lead pounds					28,500,000	28,500,000
Revenue Split						
Gold	52%	43%	100%	100%		
Silver	48%	57%			32%	
Zinc					40%	
Lead					28%	
Costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$650 - $750	$1,000 - $1,100	$950 - $1,050	$850 - $950		
Silver ($/oz)	$9.00 - $10.00	$12.50 - $13.50			$14.00 - $16.00	
Zinc ($/lb)					$1.00 - $1.25	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.85 - $1.05	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended September 30, 2019

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$53,237	$31,999	$43,085	$25,385	$32,457	$186,163
Amortization	(15,840)	(4,250)	(13,552)	(3,301)	(8,268)	(45,211)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$37,397**	**$27,749**	**$29,533**	**$22,084**	**$24,189**	**$140,952**
Inventory adjustments	(175)	(4,799)	(405)	(7)	(13,966)	(19,352)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(293)	-	(293)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$37,222**	**$22,950**	**$29,128**	**$21,784**	**$10,223**	**$121,307**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	32,731	7,651	35,452	24,573		100,407
Silver ounces	1,747,250	951,043		16,612	289,910	3,004,815
Zinc pounds					4,076,390	4,076,390
Lead pounds					4,330,862	4,330,862
Revenue Split						
Gold	58%	41%	100%	100%		
Silver	42%	59%			39%	
Zinc					29%	
Lead					32%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$660	$1,230	$822	$887		
Silver ($/oz)	$8.95	$14.24			$14.14	
Zinc ($/lb)					$0.75	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.71	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended June 30, 2019

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$50,708	$28,656	$41,670	$17,691	$36,038	$174,763
Amortization	(14,212)	(3,963)	(12,537)	(2,225)	(9,878)	(42,815)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$36,496**	**$24,693**	**$29,133**	**$15,466**	**$26,160**	**$131,948**
Inventory adjustments	(39)	(2,045)	(156)	48	(11,872)	(14,064)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(188)	-	(188)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$36,457**	**$22,648**	**$28,977**	**$15,326**	**$14,288**	**$117,696**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	28,027	8,642	34,415	15,301	-	86,385
Silver ounces	1,709,406	961,634		12,364	364,961	3,048,365
Zinc pounds					5,302,508	5,302,508
Lead pounds					5,185,634	5,185,634
Revenue Split						
Gold	57%	44%	100%	100%		
Silver	43%	56%			34%	
Zinc					38%	
Lead					28%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$741	$1,153	$842	$1,002		
Silver ($/oz)	$9.17	$13.19			$13.31	
Zinc ($/lb)					$1.02	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.77	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales
3 months ended March 31, 2019

Unaudited

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$47,772	$26,491	$43,902	$20,073	$34,811	$173,049
Amortization	(14,528)	(4,037)	(11,727)	(2,681)	(8,426)	(41,399)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$33,244**	**$22,454**	**$32,175**	**$17,392**	**$26,385**	**$131,650**
Inventory adjustments	(141)	(323)	(1,164)	(5)	(15,447)	(17,080)
By-product credit				(217)		(217)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$33,103**	**$22,131**	**$31,011**	**$17,170**	**$10,938**	**$114,353**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	27,394	8,511	31,335	18,086		85,326
Silver ounces	1,405,409	1,000,453		14,052	215,101	2,635,015
Zinc pounds					4,723,069	4,723,069
Lead pounds					2,747,847	2,747,847
Revenue Split						
Gold	59%	42%	100%	100%		
Silver	41%	58%			27%	
Zinc					51%	
Lead					22%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$713	$1,092	$990	$949		
Silver ($/oz)	$9.66	$12.83			$13.73	
Zinc ($/lb)					$1.18	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.88	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2018

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$180,832	$126,586	$141,872	$78,273	$40,855	$568,418
Amortization	(60,744)	(20,909)	(29,508)	(11,072)	(5,235)	(127,468)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$120,088**	**$105,677**	**$112,364**	**$67,201**	**$35,620**	**$440,950**
Inventory adjustments	(254)	(1,063)	(497)	(279)	(26,720)	(28,813)
By-product credit				(746)		(746)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$119,834**	**$104,614**	**$111,867**	**$66,176**	**$8,900**	**$411,391**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	115,592	52,789	106,555	75,572		350,508
Silver ounces	7,229,179	4,854,579		48,085	222,974	12,354,817
Zinc pounds					4,375,995	4,375,995
Lead pounds					2,648,920	2,648,920
Revenue Split						
Gold	54%	47%	100%	100%		
Silver	46%	53%			35%	
Zinc					41%	
Lead					24%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$556	$930	$1,050	$876		
Silver ($/oz)	$7.69	$11.44			$14.16	
Zinc ($/lb)					$0.83	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.80	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended December 31, 2018

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$42,119	$35,364	$30,703	$16,839	$28,246	$153,272
Amortization	(14,992)	(5,992)	(9,437)	(2,184)	(4,161)	(36,766)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$27,127**	**$29,373**	**$21,266**	**$14,655**	**$24,085**	**$116,507**
Inventory adjustments	(205)	(312)	(220)	(121)	(17,974)	(18,833)
By-product credit				(166)		(166)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$26,922**	**$29,061**	**$21,046**	**$14,368**	**$6,111**	**$97,508**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	23,667	15,338	24,979	15,306		79,290
Silver ounces	1,534,595	1,389,916		10,932	124,144	3,059,587
Zinc pounds					2,603,972	2,603,972
Lead pounds					1,418,653	1,418,653
Revenue Split						
Gold	55%	48%	100%	100%		
Silver	45%	52%			36%	
Zinc					40%	
Lead					24%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$624	$917	$843	$939		
Silver ($/oz)	$7.92	$10.79			$17.68	
Zinc ($/lb)					$0.95	
Lead ($/lb)					$1.02	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

3 months ended September 30, 2018

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$46,349	$32,842	$35,153	$20,856	$12,609	$147,809
Amortization	(14,795)	(5,294)	(6,912)	(2,878)	(1,073)	(30,952)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$31,554**	**$27,548**	**$28,241**	**$17,978**	**$11,536**	**$116,857**
Inventory adjustments	(16)	(136)	(265)	(4)	(8,746)	(9,167)
By-product credit				(177)		(177)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$31,538**	**$27,412**	**$27,976**	**$17,797**	**$2,790**	**$107,513**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	29,831	14,257	25,648	19,874		89,610
Silver ounces	1,572,093	1,248,163		12,426	98,831	2,931,513
Zinc pounds					1,772,023	1,772,023
Lead pounds					1,230,266	1,230,266
Revenue Split						
Gold	58%	48%	100%	100%		
Silver	42%	52%			35%	
Zinc					41%	
Lead					24%	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$615	$929	$1,091	$895		
Silver ($/oz)	$8.39	$11.35			$9.86	
Zinc ($/lb)					$0.64	
Lead ($/lb)					$0.55	

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2017

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Endeavor	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$219,920	$130,226	$152,118	$82,334	$1,044	$585,643
Amortization	(73,744)	(22,305)	(36,022)	(13,012)	(301)	(145,384)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$146,176**	**$107,921**	**$116,096**	**$69,322**	**$744**	**$440,258**
Inventory adjustments	(1,219)	(591)	(295)	300		(1,806)
By-product credit				(1,271)		(1,271)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$144,956**	**$107,330**	**$115,801**	**$68,351**	**$744**	**$437,182**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	131,743	54,642	125,982	98,237		410,604
Silver ounces	7,586,154	4,931,368		74,086	107,027	12,698,635
Zinc pounds						
Lead pounds						
Revenue Split						
Gold	53%	45%	100%	100%		
Silver	47%	55%			100%	
Zinc						
Lead						
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$585	$887	$919	$696		
Silver ($/oz)	$8.94	$11.93			$6.96	
Zinc ($/lb)						
Lead ($/lb)						

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2016

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Endeavor	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$117,418	$111,564	$131,517	$87,001	$2,363	$449,863
Amortization	(36,599)	(21,838)	(34,787)	(20,621)	(644)	(114,489)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$80,819**	**$89,726**	**$96,731**	**$66,379**	**$1,719**	**$335,374**
Inventory adjustments	(1,309)	(319)	(568)	(3,392)		(5,590)
By-product credit				(1,617)		(1,617)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$79,510**	**$89,406**	**$96,163**	**$61,370**	**$1,719**	**$328,168**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	59,081	49,320	121,688	108,042		338,132
Silver ounces	3,993,451	4,583,540		94,680	262,078	8,933,749
Zinc pounds						
Lead pounds						
Revenue Split						
Gold	52%	44%	100%	100%		
Silver	48%	56%			100%	
Zinc						
Lead						
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$693	$800	$790	$568		
Silver ($/oz)	$9.65	$10.90			$6.56	
Zinc ($/lb)						
Lead ($/lb)						

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2015

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Endeavor	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$170,899	$127,900	$147,880	$68,575	$9,059	$524,314
Amortization	(32,423)	(23,906)	(42,241)	(16,379)	(5,539)	(120,488)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$138,476**	**$103,994**	**$105,639**	**$52,196**	**$3,520**	**$403,826**
Inventory adjustments	(10,279)	(413)	(884)	(12)		(11,589)
By-product credit				(773)		(773)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$128,179**	**$103,580**	**$104,755**	**$51,411**	**$3,520**	**$391,464**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	73,218	57,963	131,553	73,148		335,881
Silver ounces	5,447,625	4,900,043		48,760	615,022	11,011,450
Zinc pounds						
Lead pounds						
Revenue Split						
Gold	50%	47%	100%	100%		
Silver	50%	53%			100%	
Zinc						
Lead						
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$875	$839	$798	$703		
Silver ($/oz)	$11.78	$11.22			$5.72	
Zinc ($/lb)						
Lead ($/lb)						

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2014

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Endeavor	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$256,706	$112,252	$148,961	$8,513	$526,433
Amortization	(69,431)	(20,790)	(43,619)	(4,308)	(138,148)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$187,275**	**$91,462**	**$105,342**	**$4,206**	**$388,285**
Inventory adjustments	(16,347)	(1,147)	(1,209)		(18,073)
By-product credit					
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	**$170,928**	**$90,315**	**$104,133**	**$4,206**	**$369,582**
Metal Sales					
Gold ounces	92,030	39,803	110,822		242,655
Silver ounces	6,639,919	3,921,732		586,242	11,147,894
Zinc pounds					
Lead pounds					
Revenue Split					
Gold	48%	41%	100%		
Silver	52%	59%		100%	
Zinc					
Lead					
Adjusted costs applicable to sales					
Gold ($/oz)	$889	$921	$940		
Silver ($/oz)	$13.42	$13.68		$7.17	
Zinc ($/lb)					
Lead ($/lb)					

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Costs Applicable to Sales

Unaudited

Year ended December 31, 2012

($ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Endeavor	Martha	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	$344,073	$82,322	$128,734	$13,415	$18,374	$586,917
Amortization	(146,595)	(8,065)	(41,645)	(4,591)	(692)	(201,588)
Reported costs applicable to sales	**$197,478**	**$74,256**	**$87,089**	**$8,824**	**$17,682**	**$385,330**
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	108,809	32,181	70,991		486	212,466
Silver ounces	8,450,548	2,534,216		654,683	497,168	12,136,615
Zinc pounds						
Lead pounds						
Revenue Split						
Gold	41%	41%	100%		5%	
Silver	59%	59%		100%	95%	
Zinc						
Lead						
Costs applicable to sales						
Gold ($/oz)	$744	$935	$1,227		$1,820	
Silver ($/oz)	$13.79	$17.43		$13.48	$33.79	
Zinc ($/lb)						
Lead ($/lb)						

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

Adjusted EBITDA

($ thousands)	3Q 2019	2Q 2019	1Q 2019	2018	4Q 2018	3Q 2018	2017	2016	2015
Net income (loss)	($14,277)	($36,764)	($19,201)	($48,405)	$468	($53,044)	($1,319)	$55,352	($367,183)
Income (loss) from discont. ops., net of tax	-	-	(5,693)	(550)	-	-	12,244	(32,917)	79,372
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	5,980	6,825	6,454	24,364	6,563	5,818	16,440	36,896	44,978
Income tax provision (benefit)	218	(5,546)	(8,658)	(16,780)	(36,231)	3,785	28,998	(33,247)	(29,075)
Amortization	45,678	43,204	41,876	128,473	37,053	31,184	146,549	116,528	125,953
EBITDA	**$37,599**	**$7,719**	**$14,778**	**$87,102**	**$7,853**	**($12,257)**	**$202,912**	**$142,612**	**($145,955)**
Fair value adjustments, net	(4,377)	5,296	(9,120)	(3,638)	(731)	(715)	864	11,581	(5,202)
Impairment of equity securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	426	703	2,346
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	2,945	468	665	9,069	1,986	3,104	(1,281)	11,455	16,021
Gain on sale of Joaquin project	-	-	-	-	-	-	(21,138)	-	-
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	100	72	(52)	(19)	298	28	1	(11,334)	352
Gain on repurchase of Rochester royalty	-	-	-	-	-	-	(2,332)	-	-
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment	1,282	-	-	-	-	-	9,342	21,365	(15,916)
Mexico inflation adjustment	-	-	-	(1,939)	-	-	-	-	-
Corporate reorganization costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	647
Transaction-related costs	-	-	-	5	(1,044)	1,049	3,757	1,199	2,112
Interest income on notes receivables	-	(18)	(180)	(1,776)	(327)	(628)	-	-	-
Manquiri sale consideration write-down	-	-	-	18,599	-	18,599	-	-	-
Silvertip inventory write-down	13,966	11,872	15,447	26,720	17,974	8,746	-	-	-
Rochester In-Pit crusher write-down	-	-	-	3,441	-	3,441	-	-	-
Receivable write-down	1,040	-	-	6,536	6,536	-	-	-	-
Asset retirement obligation accretion	3,080	3,007	2,943	11,116	2,747	2,883	8,983	7,263	7,374
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	5,371	2,193	1,623	2,093	858	421	1,806	5,590	9,276
Write-downs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,446	246,625
Adjusted EBITDA	**$61,006**	**$30,609**	**$26,104**	**$157,309**	**$36,150**	**$24,671**	**$203,340**	**$194,880**	**$117,680**
Revenue	$199,469	$162,123	$154,870	$625,904	$143,855	$148,257	$709,598	$571,897	$561,407
Adjusted EBITDA Margin	**31%**	**19%**	**17%**	**25%**	**25%**	**17%**	**29%**	**34%**	**21%**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Unaudited

Free Cash Flow

($ thousands)	3Q 2019	2Q 2019	1Q 2019	2018	4Q 2018	3Q 2018	2017	2016	2015
Cash flow from operating activities	$41,996	$26,435	$(15,846)	$20,108	$72	$5,789	$197,160	$96,461	$87,412
Capital expenditures	(30,678)	(20,749)	(27,438)	(140, 787)	(17, 805)	(39,472)	(136,734)	(94,382)	(88,973)
Gold production royalty payments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(27,155)	(39,235)
Free cash flow	**$11,318**	**$5,686**	**($43,284)**	**($120, 679)**	**($17, 733)**	**($33,683)**	**$60,426**	**($25,076)**	**($40,796)**

Free Cash Flow, Palmarejo

($ millions)	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Cash flow from operating activities	$50.5	$139.9	$26.7	$52.7	$54.6
Capital expenditures	(29.4)	(29.9)	(35.8)	(36.0)	(26.1)
Gold production royalty payments	-	-	(27.2)	(39.2)	(48.4)
Free cash flow	**$21.1**	**$110.0**	**($36.3)**	**($22.5)**	**($19.9)**

Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited

Leverage Ratios

LTM Adjusted EBITDA

($ thousands)	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
Net income (loss)	($108,541)	($69,774)
(Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(5,693)	(5,693)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	25,660	25,822
Income tax provision (benefit)	(46,650)	(50,217)
Amortization	153,317	167,811
EBITDA	**$18,093**	**$67,949**
Fair value adjustments, net	(5,270)	(8,932)
Foreign exchange loss	6,223	6,064
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	346	418
Loss on debt extinguishment	-	1,282
Transaction-related costs	5	(1,044)
Interest income on notes receivables	(1,153)	(525)
Silvertip inventory write-down	54,039	59,259
Rochester In-Pit crusher write-down	3,441	-
Receivable write-down	6,536	7,576
Asset retirement obligation accretion	11,580	11,777
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	3,856	7,234
Adjusted EBITDA	**$116,295**	**$151,058**

Consolidated Debt

($ thousands)	2Q 2019	3Q 2019
Cash and cash equivalents	$37,907	$65,319
Total debt	369,977	298,720
Net debt	332,070	233,401
LTM adjusted EBITDA	$116,295	$151,058
Total debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	3.2x	2.0x
Net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	2.9x	1.5x

Reserves and Resources

2018 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
PROVEN RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,283,000	0.084	4.97			108,000	6,376,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	228,413,000	0.003	0.44			657,000	101,058,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,600,000	0.186	-			298,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,043,000	0.026	-			877,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	280,000	-	10.81	9.83%	7.53%	-	3,026,000	55,039,000	42,156,000
Total		**265,620,000**	**0.007**	**0.42**	**-**	**-**	**1,940,000**	**110,461,000**	**55,039,000**	**42,156,000**
PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,118,000	0.072	5.39			585,000	43,788,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	13,166,000	0.002	0.39			27,000	5,141,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	986,000	0.258	-			254,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	153,000	0.033	-			5,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,489,000	-	7.98	7.93%	5.22%	-	11,885,000	236,200,000	155,305,000
Total		**23,912,000**	**0.036**	**2.54**	-	-	**870,000**	**60,815,000**	**236,200,000**	**155,305,000**
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	9,401,000	0.074	5.34			693,000	50,164,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	241,580,000	0.003	0.44			684,000	106,200,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2.586,000	0.213	-			552,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,196,000	0.026	-			882,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,769,000	-	8.43	8.23%	5.58%	-	14,911,000	291,239,000	197,461,000
Total Proven and Probable Reserves		**289,531,000**	**0.010**	**0.59**	**-**	**-**	**2,810,000**	**171,276,000**	**291,239,000**	**197,461,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

COEUR MINING

2018 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	604,000	0.086	4.77			52,000	2,880,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	164,704,000	0.002	0.35			372,000	57,121,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,610,000	0.254	-			409,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	8,070,000	0.034	-			271,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	112,000	-	11.08	10.03	8.06	-	1,241,000	22,521,000	18,109,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	4,642,000	0.012	0.34			58,000	1,592,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	9,536,000	0.005	3.04			45,000	29,001,000		
Total		**189,279,000**	**0.006**	**0.49**	**-**	**-**	**1,208,000**	**91,835,000**	**22,521,000**	**18,109,000**
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,544,000	0.054	4.27			407,000	32,194,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	34,290,000	0.002	0.37			82,000	12,733,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,071,000	0.245	-			262,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	626,000	0.042	-			26,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,179,000	-	6.04	8.44	3.69	-	7,118,000	199,096,000	87,082,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	27,668,000	0.011	0.31			306,000	8,655,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	19,141,000	0.006	3.98			118,000	76,185,000		
Total		**91,519,000**	**0.013**	**1.50**	**-**	**-**	**1,200,000**	**136,885,000**	**199,096,000**	**87,082,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,149,000	0.056	4.30			458,000	35,074,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	198,994,000	0.002	0.35			454,000	69,854,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,681,000	0.250	-			671,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	8,696,000	0.034	-			297,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,292,000	-	6.47	8.58	4.07	-	8,359,000	221,617,000	105,191,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	32,310,000	0.011	0.32			364,000	10,247,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	28,677,000	0.006	3.67			163,000	105,186,000		
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		**280,798,000**	**0.009**	**0.81**	**-**	**-**	**2,408,000**	**228,720,000**	**221,617,000**	**105,191,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

COEUR MINING

2018 Inferred Mineral Resources

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	5,875,000	0.065	4.36			384,000	25,609,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	235,062,000	0.002	0.37			542,000	88,075,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	710,000	0.227	-			161,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,553,000	0.026	-			66,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	583,000	-	7.91	9.31	5.02	-	4,612,000	108,621,000	58,593,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	22,952,000	0.011	0.36			255,000	8,163,000		
Sterling	Nevada, USA	10,922,000	0.065	-			709,000	-		
Wilco	Nevada, USA	25,736,000	0.021	0.13			531,000	3,346,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,761,000	0.003	3.31			6,000	5,835,000		
Total Inferred Resources		**306,154,000**	**0.009**	**0.44**	**-**	**-**	**2,655,000**	**135,641,000**	**108,621,000**	**58,593,000**

Notes to 2018 mineral reserves and resources:

(1) Effective December 31, 2018.

(2) Assumed metal prices for Mineral Reserves were $17.00 per ounce of silver, $1,250 per ounce of gold, $1.25 per pound zinc, $1.00 per pound lead.

(3) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were $20.00 per ounce of silver, $1,400 per ounce of gold, $1.30 per pound zinc, $1.05 per pound lead, except Lincoln Hill and Wilco at $1,350 per ounce gold and $22.00 per ounce silver, and Sterling at $1,200 per ounce of gold.

(4) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized. The preliminary economic assessment for the re-scoped mine plan at Kensington is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources, and does not have as high a level of certainty as a plan that was based solely on proven and probable reserves and there is no certainty that the results from the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

(5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2017 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
PROVEN RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,571,000	0.073	3.81			115,000	5,978,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	195,724,000	0.003	0.45			598,000	87,518,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,284,000	0.198	-			254,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	18,125,000	0.027	-			483,000	-		
Total		**216,704,000**	**0.007**	**0.43**			**1,450,000**	**93,496,000**		
PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	9,414,000	0.063	4.36			591,000	41,033,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	77,703,000	0.002	0.39			159,000	30,105,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,389,000	0.192	-			266,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	16,560,000	0.023	-			386,000	-		
Total		**105,066,000**	**0.013**	**0.68**			**1,403,000**	**71,138,000**		
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	10,985,000	0.064	4.28			706,000	47,011,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	273,427,000	0.003	0.43			758,000	117,623,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,673,000	0.195	-			520,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,685,000	0.025	-			869,000	-		
Total Proven and Probable Reserves		**321,770,000**	**0.009**	**0.51**			**2,853,000**	**164,634,000**		

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

COEUR MINING

2017 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	629,000	0.051	3.07			32,000	1,928,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	101,929,000	0.003	0.37			257,000	37,827,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,546,300	0.255	-			395,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,150,000	0.025	-			54,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	-		-	-	-		-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	9,536,000	0.005	3.04			45,000	29,001,000		
Total		**115,790,000**	**0.007**	**0.59**	**-**	**-**	**783,000**	**68,756,000**	**-**	**-**
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,445,000	0.045	3.37			336,000	25,120,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	77,956,000	0.002	0.36			165,000	27,814,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,332,000	0.289	-			385,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	5,560,000	0.022	-			122,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	2,589,000		10.26	9.41%	6.74%		26,573,000	487,318,000	348,835,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	19,141,000	0.006	3.98			118,000	76,185,000		
Total		**114,023,000**	**0.010**	**1.37**	**-**	**-**	**1,126,000**	**155,692,000**	**487,318,000**	**348,835,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,074,000	0.046	3.35			368,000	27,049,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	179,885,000	0.002	0.36			422,000	65,641,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,878,000	0.271	-			780,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	7,710,000	0.023	-			176,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	2,589,000		10.26	9.41%	6.74%		26,573,000	487,318,000	348,835,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	28,677,000	0.006	3.67			163,000	105,186,000		
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		**229,813,000**	**0.008**	**0.98**	**-**	**-**	**1,909,000**	**224,449,000**	**487,318,000**	**348,835,000**

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2017 Inferred Mineral Resources

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,336,000	0.050	4.23			369,000	31,061,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	131,570,000	0.002	0.42			275,000	55,472,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,590,000	0.257	-			408,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	1,050,000	0.026	-			27,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	507,000	-	9.89	9.81	6.18	-	5,012,000	99,447,000	62,634,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,833,000	0.003	3.31			6,000	5,835,000		
Total Inferred Resources		**143,886,000**	**0.008**	**0.68**	**-**	**-**	**1,085,000**	**97,380,000**	**99,447,000**	**62,634,000**

Notes to 2017 mineral reserves and resources:

(1) Effective December 31, 2017.

(2) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Reserves were $17.50 per ounce of silver and $1,250 per ounce of gold.

(3) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were $20.00 per ounce of silver, $1,400 per ounce of gold, $1.15 per pound Zinc and $1.00 per pound Lead.

(4) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized.

(5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

COEUR MINING

Wharf's 2015 Mineral Reserves and Resources

		Grade		Contained	
	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES					
Proven Reserves	11,791,000	0.032	-	374,000	-
Probable Reserves	14,984,000	0.023	-	338,000	-
Total Proven and Probable Reserves	**26,775,000**	**0.027**	**-**	**712,000**	**-**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES					
Measured Resources	2,513,000	0.030	-	75,000	-
Indicated Resources	4,051,000	0.023	-	92,000	-
Total Measured and Indicated Resources	**6,564,000**	**0.025**	**-**	**167,000**	**-**
INFERRED RESOURCES					
Inferred Resources	4,488,000	0.030	-	134,000	-
Total Inferred Resources	**4,488,000**	**0.030**	**-**	**134,000**	**-**

Notes to Wharf's 2015 Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources:

(1) Effective December 31, 2015.

(2) Assumed metal prices for estimated reserves were $1,275 per ounce of gold. Proven and probable reserves were also evaluated using $15.50 per ounce of silver and $1,150 per ounce of gold. It was determined that substantially all proven and probable reserves could be economically and legally extracted or produced at these lower price assumptions. Assumed metal prices for estimated 2014 proven and probable reserves were $19.00 per ounce silver and $1,275 per ounce gold except where otherwise noted.

(3) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized.

(4) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(5) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Wharf on file at www.sedar.com.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

	Location	Short tons	Grade				Contained			
			Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
PROVEN RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,089,000	0.043	3.37			46,000	3,670,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	89,077,000	0.004	0.56			346,000	49,786,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	417,700	0.187	-			78,000	-		
La Preciosa	Mexico	18,830,000	0.006	3.16			111,000	59,534,000		
PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	5,627,000	0.078	4.80			441,000	27,007,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	56,158,000	0.003	0.54			172,000	30,418,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,986,000	0.185	-			551,000	-		
La Preciosa	Mexico	21,851,000	0.004	2.71			91,000	59,196,000		
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	6,715,000	0.073	4.57			488,000	30,677,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	145,235,000	0.004	0.55			518,000	80,204,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	3,403,000	0.185	-			629,000	-		
La Preciosa	Mexico	40,681,000	0.005	2.92			202,000	118,730,000		

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	417,000	0.062	4.48	-	-	26,000	1,870,000	-	-
Rochester	Nevada, USA	72,228,000	0.003	0.45	-	-	218,000	32,565,000	-	-
Kensington	Alaska, USA	181,000	0.260	-	-	-	47,000	-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	2,305,000	0.003	1.40	-	-	7,000	3,216,000	-	-
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	4,554,000	0.086	4.81	-	-	391,000	21,911,000	-	-
Rochester	Nevada, USA	100,973,000	0.003	0.42	-	-	273,000	42,476,000	-	-
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,385,000	0.242	-	-	-	335,000	-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	4,808,000	0.004	1.74	-	-	17,000	8,389,000	-	-
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	4,971,000	0.084	4.78	-	-	417,000	23,781,000	-	-
Rochester	Nevada, USA	173,201,000	0.003	0.43	-	-	491,000	75,041,000	-	-
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,566,000	0.244	-	-	-	382,000	-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	7,114,000	0.003	1.63	-	-	24,000	11,605,000	-	-

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Inferred Mineral Resources

			Grade				Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	2,065,000	0.116	4.98	-	-	240,000	10,286,000	-	-
Rochester	Nevada, USA	96,039,000	0.003	0.42	-	-	263,000	40,789,000	-	-
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,622,000	0.351	-	-	-	570,000	-	-	-
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,344,000	0.004	1.98	-	-	5,000	2,657,000	-	-

Notes to 2014 mineral reserves and resources:

(1) Effective December 31, 2014.

(2) Assumed metal prices for estimated mineral reserves were $19.00 per ounce of silver and $1,275 per ounce of gold. Assumed metal prices for mineral resources were $22.00 per ounce of silver and $1,350 per ounce of gold.

(3) Palmarejo mineral reserves and resources are the addition of Palmarejo, Guadalupe, and Independencia deposits (measured, indicated, and inferred). There are no 2014 mineral reserves and resources for La Patria.

(4) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized.

(5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.

(6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

Reserves and Resources (cont.)

Wharf's 2013 Mineral Reserves and Resources

		Grade (oz/ton)		Ounces (contained)	
	Short tons	Gold	Silver	Gold	Silver
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES					
Proven Reserves	15,179,000	0.022	0.078	340,000	1,190,000
Probable Reserves	8,245,000	0.026	0.108	220,000	890,000
Total Proven and Probable Reserves	**23,424,000**	**0.024**	**0.089**	**560,000**	**2,080,000**
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES					
Measured Resources	4,795,000	0.020	0.104	100,000	500,000
Indicated Resources	1,642,000	0.020	0.102	30,000	170,000
Total Measured and Indicated Resources	**6,437,000**	**0.020**	**0.104**	**130,000**	**670,000**

Notes to Wharf's historical Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources:

(1) Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources estimate as reported by Goldcorp, Inc. ("Goldcorp") in its Annual Information Form dated March 31, 2014 ("AIF") for the financial year ended December 31, 2013, available to Canadian investors at www.sedar.com under Goldcorp's profile. As discussed in the AIF, Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources were prepared by Goldcorp in accordance with NI 43-101 under the supervision of a qualified person. Coeur is not treating these historical estimates as current and has not completed sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral reserves or mineral resources for Coeur's purposes. Following Coeur's acquisition of Wharf, its qualified person reviewed and verified the scientific and technical information of Goldcorp as well as completed other work necessary for purposes of preparing a 43-101 technical report, including validation of data quality, resource model accuracy, and costs used in reserve and resource cutoffs.

(2) As discussed in the AIF, mineral reserves were calculated by Goldcorp using metal prices of $1,300 per gold ounce and $22 per silver ounce, and mineral resources were calculated using $1,500 per gold ounce and $24 per silver ounce. Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Rounding of tons, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons and grade.

Executive Leadership

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. During his twenty-year tenure with Coeur, Mr. Krebs has led nearly $2 billion in capital raising and debt restructuring activities and has facilitated over $2 billion of acquisitions and divestitures. Mr. Krebs was previously Coeur's Chief Financial Officer and held various positions in the corporate development department, including Senior Vice President of Corporate Development. Mr. Krebs is a Director of Kansas City Southern and the National Mining Association, is on the Board of World Business Chicago, and was formerly President of the Silver Institute.

Thomas S. Whelan – Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Coeur, Mr. Whelan served as CFO of Arizona Mining Inc. from September 2017 to August 2018, when the company was acquired from South32 Limited. Previously, Mr. Whelan served as CFO for Nevsun Resources Ltd. from January 2014 to August 2017. He is a chartered professional accountant and was previously a partner with the international accounting firm Ernst & Young ("EY") LLP where he was the EY Global Mining & Metals Assurance sector leader, the leader of the EY Assurance practice in Vancouver and previously EY's Canadian Mining & Metals sector leader. Mr. Whelan graduated with a Bachelor of Commerce from Queen's University.

Terry F.D. Smith. – Senior Vice President, Operations. Mr. Smith joined Coeur in 2013 as the Vice President of North American Operations. Prior to joining Coeur, he served as Vice President of Project Development and Assessments of Hunter Dickenson Inc. Mr. Smith has managed projects ranging from scoping to the feasibility level, coordinated field investigations, metallurgy laboratory testing, and engineering design. He also has significant experience in strategic project planning and due diligence reviews for potential acquisitions including environmental, metallurgical, geotechnical and mining inputs. Mr. Smith has also served as Manager of Operations Support for Barrick Gold Corporation in Toronto and as Senior Mining Engineer for Teck Cominco Ltd. in Vancouver. Mr. Smith holds a Bachelor of Mining Engineering from Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario.

Casey M. Nault – Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary. Mr. Nault has over 20 years of experience as a corporate and securities lawyer, including prior in-house positions with Starbucks Corporation and Washington Mutual, Inc. and law firm experience with Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. His experience includes securities compliance and SEC reporting, corporate governance and compliance, mergers and acquisitions, public and private securities offerings, other strategic transactions, general regulatory compliance, cross-border issues, land use and environmental issues, and overseeing complex litigation.

Hans Rasmussen – Senior Vice President, Exploration. Mr. Rasmussen has 30 years of experience in the mining business, 16 years of which were with senior producers Newmont Mining and Kennecott/Rio Tinto; as well as serving as a consultant for senior producers such as BHP, Teck-Cominco, and Quadra Mining. From 2004 to 2013, he was an officer or served on the Board of Directors of several junior public exploration companies with gold and silver projects in Quebec, Nevada, Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia, including serving on the Board of Directors of Atex Resources Inc. (formerly known as Columbia Crest Gold Corp.) since 2006.

Emilie C. Schouten – Senior Vice President, Human Resources. Ms. Schouten has 15 years of experience in Human Resources, starting her career in General Electric, where she graduated from GE's Human Resources Leadership Program. After 6 years as an HR Manager with GE, her division was acquired by the world's largest electrical distribution company, Rexel, and Ms. Schouten went on to become the Director of Training and Development. Ms. Schouten has her B.A. in Sociology from Michigan State University and her M.S. in Industrial Labor Relations from University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Board of Directors

Robert E. Mellor – Former Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President of Building Materials Holding Corporation (distribution, manufacturing, and sales of building materials and component products) from 1997 to January 2010, director from 1991 to January 2010; member of the board of directors of Monro Muffler/Brake, Inc. (auto service provider) since August 2010 as independent Chairman of the board of directors since June 2017 and as lead independent director from April 2011 to June 2017; member of the board of directors of CalAtlantic Group, Inc. (national residential home builder) from October 2015 to February 2018, when CalAtlantic was acquired by Lennar Corporation; member of the board of directors of The Ryland Group, Inc. (national home builder, merged with another builder to form CalAtlantic) from 1999 until October 2015; and former member of the board of directors of Stock Building Supply Holdings, Inc. (lumber and building materials distributor) from March 2010 until December 2015, when it merged with another company.

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. See prior slide.

Linda L. Adamany – Member of the board of directors of Jefferies Financial Group (formerly known as Leucadia National Corporation), a diversified holding company engaged in a variety of businesses, since March 2014, and a member of the board of directors of Jefferies Group Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Jefferies Financial Group Inc., since November 2018; non-executive director of the Wood plc since October 2017 following its acquisition of Amec Foster Wheeler plc, an engineering, project management, and consultancy company, where Ms. Adamany was previously a non-executive director since October 2012; non-executive director of BlackRock Institutional Trust Company since March 2018; member of the board of directors of National Grid plc, an electricity and gas generation, transmission, and distribution company, from November 2006 to November 2012. Served at BP plc in several capacities from July 1980 until her retirement in August 2007, most recently from April 2005 to August 2007 as a member of the five-person Refining & Marketing Executive Committee responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations and human resource management of BP plc's Refining & Marketing segment, a $45 billion business at the time. She was recently selected as one of Women Inc. Magazine's 2018 Most Influential Corporate Directors.

Sebastian Edwards – Henry Ford II Professor of International Business Economics at the Anderson Graduate School of Management at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) from 1996 to present; Co-Director of the National Bureau of Economic Research's Africa Project from 2009 to present; taught at IAE Universidad Austral in Argentina and at the Kiel Institute from 2000 to 2004; Chief Economist for Latin America at the World Bank Group from 1993 to 1996. Currently a Member of the Board of Moneda Asset Management, an investment management firm in Chile, and Centro de Estudios Públicos, Chile.

Randolph E. Gress – Retired Chairman (November 2006 until January 2016 and director from August 2004 until January 2016) and Chief Executive Officer (from 2004 until December 2015) of Innophos Holdings, Inc., a leading international producer of performance-critical and nutritional specialty ingredients for the food, beverage, dietary supplements, pharmaceutical, and industrial end markets. Mr. Gress was with Innophos since its formation in 2004, when Bain Capital purchased Rhodia SA's North American specialty phosphate business. Prior to his time at Innophos, Mr. Gress was with Rhodia since 1997 and held various positions including Global President of Specialty Phosphates (with two years based in the U.K.) and Vice-President and General Manager of the NA Sulfuric Acid and Regeneration businesses. From 1982 to 1997, Mr. Gress served in various roles at FMC Corporation including Corporate Strategy and various manufacturing, marketing, and supply chain positions.

Eduardo Luna – Chairman of the Board of Rochester Resources Ltd., a junior natural resources company with assets in Mexico. Member of the Boards of Directors of Wheaton Precious Metals Corp. and DynaResource, Inc., Chairman of the Advisory Board of the Faculty of Mines at the University of Guanajuato, and Mexico Mining Hall of Fame inductee. Mr. Luna is the former President of the Mexican Mining Chamber and a former President of the Silver Institute and previously held senior executive and board positions at several companies including Industrial Peñoles, Goldcorp Inc., Luismin SA de CV, Wheaton River Minerals Ltd., Alamos Gold Inc., and Primero Mining Corp.

Board of Directors (cont.)

Jessica L. McDonald – Chair (former Interim CEO) of Canada Post Corporation, Chair of Trevali Mining Corporation and member of Board of Directors of Hydro One Limited. Ms. McDonald is also a Mentor at the Trudeau Foundation, was a visiting fellow at the Steyer-Taylor Center for Energy Policy and Finance at Stanford University from 2017 to 2018, was recently appointed to the Member Council of Sustainable Development Technology Canada and was named to Canada's Top 100 Most Powerful Women Hall of Fame. Ms. McDonald previously served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of BC Hydro and Power Authority, a clean energy utility with over $5.5 billion in annual revenues and more than 5,000 employees, and has held numerous senior positions in the British Columbia provincial government, including Deputy Minister to the Premier, Cabinet Secretary and Head of the BC Public Service.

John H. Robinson – Chairman of Hamilton Ventures LLC (consulting and investment) since founding the firm in 2006; Chief Executive Officer of Nowa Technology, Inc. (development and marketing of environmentally sustainable wastewater treatment technology) from 2013 to 2014; Chairman of EPC Global, Ltd. (engineering staffing company) from 2003 to 2004; Executive Director of Amey plc (British business process outsourcing company) from 2000 to 2002; Vice Chairman of Black & Veatch Inc. (engineering and construction) from 1998 to 2000. Mr. Robinson began his career at Black & Veatch and was managing partner prior to becoming Vice Chairman. Member of the board of directors of Alliance Resource Management GP, LLC (coal mining); Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (financial services) and Olsson Associates (engineering consulting).

Brian E. Sandoval – President of Global Gaming Development for MGM Resorts International and served as Nevada's first Hispanic Governor from 2011 to January 2019. He also served as the Chairman of the National Governors Association from 2017 to 2018, National Council of State Governments in 2015, Western Governors Association in 2014 and the Education Commission of the States from 2013 to 2014; he focused on international outreach, hosting multiple international delegations in Nevada, and led over 18 trade missions to 16 countries on six continents. Earlier in his career, Mr. Sandoval was elected to the Nevada Assembly in 1994, serving on the Judiciary, Taxation, Labor and Management and Natural Resource Committees, and was appointed to the Nevada Gaming Commission in 1998 and became the Commission's youngest Chairman in state history the following year. In 2002, he was elected Nevada Attorney General, and in 2005, was nominated by President George W. Bush to serve as a federal judge on the U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada, and confirmed unanimously by the U.S. Senate, a position he held until resigning to run for Governor in 2009.

J. Kenneth Thompson – Chairman of Pioneer Natural Resources Company (oil and gas) and member of the board of directors of Alaska Air Group, Inc. (parent company of Alaska Airlines, Virgin America Airlines and Horizon Air), presiding (Lead) Director of Tetra Tech, Inc. (engineering consulting). President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Star Energy LLC (private energy investment firm in Alaska) from September 2000 to present, with a principal holding in Alaska Venture Capital Group LLC (private oil and gas exploration company) from December 2004 to present; Executive Vice President of ARCO's Asia Pacific oil and gas operating companies in Alaska, California, Indonesia, China, and Singapore from 1998 to 2000.

Contact Information

Corporate Office	Coeur Mining, Inc. 104 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60603
Main Telephone	+1 (312) 489-5800
Stock Ticker	CDE: NYSE
Website	www.coeur.com
Contact	**Paul DePartout** Director, Investor Relations investors@coeur.com