

Corporate Presentation

November 2020



NYSE: CDE

> Cautionary Statements

This presentation contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of securities legislation in the United States and Canada, including statements involving strategic priorities and company strategies, expectations regarding environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) initiatives, anticipated production, costs and expenses, revenues, free cash flow, exploration and development efforts, health and safety protocols, COVID-19 planning and response measures, operations, expectations and initiatives at the Palmarejo, Rochester, Kensington, Wharf and Silvertip mines, the impact of the new crushing circuit, POA 11 expansion project and technical report preparation at Rochester, included expected future cash flow, metals price sensitivities, the impact of a new stacking plan at Rochester, the impact of Jualin on Kensington operations, debt repayment plans, placement rates, strategies, catalysts, capital allocation, growth, financial flexibility and hedging strategies. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause Coeur's actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the risk that the strategies, initiatives and expectations described in this presentation are not achieved on a timely basis or at all, the risks and hazards inherent in the mining business (including risks inherent in developing large-scale mining projects, environmental hazards, industrial accidents, weather, or geologically related conditions), changes in the market prices of gold, silver, zinc, and lead, and a sustained lower price or higher treatment and refining charge environment, the uncertainties inherent in Coeur's production, exploratory and developmental activities, including risks relating to permitting and regulatory delays (including the impact of government shutdowns), ground conditions, grade variability, any future labor disputes, or work stoppages, the uncertainties inherent in the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, changes that could result from Coeur's future acquisition of new mining properties or businesses, the loss or insolvency of any third-party smelter to which Coeur markets its production, the potential effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, including impacts to the availability of our workforce, continued access to financing sources, government orders that may require temporary suspension of operations at one or more of our sites and effects on our suppliers or the refiners and smelters to whom the Company markets its production, the effects of environmental and other governmental regulations and government shut-downs, the risks inherent in the ownership or operation of or investment in mining properties or businesses in foreign countries, Coeur's ability to raise additional financing necessary to conduct its business, make payments or refinance its debt as well as other uncertainties and risk factors set out in filings made from time to time with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and the Canadian securities regulators, including, without limitation, Coeur's most recent reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q. Actual results, developments, and timetables could vary significantly from the estimates presented. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward looking statements. Coeur disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly such forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. Additionally, Coeur undertakes no obligation to comment on analyses, expectations, or statements made by third parties in respect of Coeur, its financial or operating results or its securities.

Christopher Pascoe, Coeur's Director, Technical Services and a qualified person under Canadian National Instrument 43-101, reviewed and approved the scientific and technical information concerning Coeur's mineral projects in this presentation. Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized. For a description of the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate mineral reserves and resources, as well as data verification procedures and a general discussion of the extent to which the estimates may be affected by any known environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing or other relevant factors, Canadian investors should see the Technical Reports for each of Coeur's properties as filed on SEDAR at www.sedar.com.

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors - The United States Securities and Exchange Commission permits U.S. mining companies, in their filings with the SEC, to disclose only those mineral deposits that a company can economically and legally extract or produce. We may use certain terms in public disclosures, such as "measured," "indicated," "inferred" and "resources," that are recognized by Canadian regulations, but that SEC guidelines generally prohibit U.S. registered companies from including in their filings with the SEC. U.S. investors are urged to consider closely the disclosure in our Form 10-K which may be secured from us, or from the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Non-U.S. GAAP Measures - We supplement the reporting of our financial information determined under United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) with certain non-U.S. GAAP financial measures, including adjusted net income (loss), operating cash flow excluding changes in working capital, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, total leverage, net leverage, free cash flow and adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound. We believe that these adjusted measures provide meaningful information to assist management, investors and analysts in understanding our financial results and assessing our prospects for future performance. We believe these adjusted financial measures are important indicators of our recurring operations because they exclude items that may not be indicative of, or are unrelated to our core operating results, and provide a better baseline for analyzing trends in our underlying businesses. We believe adjusted net income (loss), adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin, total leverage, net leverage, free cash flow and adjusted costs applicable to sales per ounce/pound are important measures in assessing the Company's overall financial performance. This presentation does not represent an offer of any securities for sale.

> Company Overview

Headquartered in Chicago, IL, Coeur Mining (NYSE: CDE) is a well-diversified, growing precious metals producer with a repositioned portfolio, focused on generating sustainable, high-quality cash flow from its North American assets



Five
quality operations

Three
top jurisdictions

Cash flow
focused

Committed
community partner

Best-in-class
corporate governance



Palmarejo
Northern Mexico




Rochester
West-Central Nevada



Kensington
Southeast Alaska



Wharf
Black Hills South Dakota



Silvertip
Northern British Columbia




> Why Invest in Coeur?



> Compelling Strategy Aligned with Stockholder Priorities

Coeur's strategy is to safely and responsibly discover, develop and operate a balanced portfolio of quality precious metals assets to maximize cash flow, returns and net asset value



- ✓ U.S.-based and NYSE-listed, mid-tier gold and silver producer
- ✓ Balanced portfolio of assets located solely in North America
- ✓ Targeting higher returns on invested capital and strong free cash flow¹ growth via high-impact organic growth
- ✓ Industry-leading investment in exploration
- ✓ Recognized as industry leader for ESG programs and initiatives
- ✓ Approaching key inflection point driven by Rochester expansion
- ✓ Approximately \$45 million ADTV (~2.6% of market cap)²



Protecting the Environment

- Leading tailings management procedures and practices
- Published responsibility report in April 2020
- Recently entered into first-of-its kind sage-grouse habitat agreement in Nevada
- Partnership with Trout Unlimited to support abandoned mine legislation



Social and Community Impact

- High-impact community partnerships and support
- Innovative development and diversity initiatives
- First precious metals company to sign CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion pledge
- 71% of employees from surrounding communities



Governance Performance

- 50% of independent directors are diverse
- Innovative incentive compensation design aligned with stockholders
- ISS #1 Quality Score for Governance
- Proactive stockholder engagement
- Winner of 2019 Corporate Secretary Magazine's Best Proxy Statement Award (small cap)

(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

(2) ADTV = Average Daily Trading Volume. Data observed over a 90-day trading period. FactSet as of October 30, 2020.

> Recent Environmental, Social and Governance Accomplishments COEUR MINING®

Coeur is committed to being an industry leader in its environmental, social and governance practices, exemplified by the Company's accomplishments for protecting its people, places and planet



Winner of *cfi.co*, Best Miner Governance, North America 2020

#1

Ranked Among Peer Group by Sustainalytics, May 2020



Finalist for S&P Global Platts Mining and Metals Award 2020, CSR



Hosted Day of Understanding to Promote Diversity & Inclusion



Significantly Improved External Ratings and Rankings



Released ESG Goals



Winner of *International EHS Innovation Award 2019*



Published Responsibility Report





ISS QualityScore of "1" for Governance (Highest Possible)

> Balanced, Low-Risk Portfolio of Precious Metals Assets





Metal Sales by Asset
(% of revenue)




- Palmarejo
- Rochester
- Kensington
- Wharf
- San Bartolomé
- Other¹

... by Geography
(% of revenue)



- U.S.
- Mexico
- Bolivia
- Australia
- Argentina

... by Metal
(% of revenue)




(1) Other refers to Coeur Capital (primarily production from the Endeavor silver stream in Australia) and the Martha Mine in Argentina. Both assets have been sold by Coeur.
(2) As of December 31, 2019.

> Repositioned Financial Profile Focused on Cash Flow




The Company has repositioned itself to deliver higher-quality cash flow growth from longer mine lives and a more flexible balance sheet


Total Debt/Interest Expense
(\$ M)




G&A Expense
(\$ M)




Adj. EBITDA¹/Margin¹
(\$ M)




Exploration Expense
(\$ M)



P&P Gold Reserves²
(oz)



P&P Silver Reserves²
(oz)



Note: See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.

(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) Figures exclude mining properties previously divested by Coeur. Figures exclude Coeur's La Preciosa silver-gold exploration project, which was reclassified from mineral reserves into mineral resources in 2015.

NYSE: CDE

8


> Leading Exposure to Gold and Silver Prices

Coeur's diversified portfolio of precious metals assets is well-positioned to capture the potential upside from rising gold and silver prices

Outlook for Precious Metals Prices

Gold Prices

(\$/oz)



Consensus High/Low¹ Consensus Average¹ Futures²

Gold Market Fundamentals

- ✓ Unprecedented levels of global fiscal and monetary stimulus
- ✓ Growing geopolitical risks and macro uncertainty
- ✓ Mine supply expected to decline
- ✓ Low/negative interest rate environment
- ✓ Investment and central bank demand

Silver Prices

(\$/oz)



Consensus High/Low¹ Consensus Average¹ Futures²

Silver Market Fundamentals

- ✓ Attractive, growing, diverse demand drivers
- ✓ Nearly ~70% of global mine supply derived as by-product
- ✓ Highly correlated to both gold and copper
- ✓ Small, illiquid market leads to high volatility

Metals Price Sensitivities

2020E Revenue³

(\$ M)

		Gold Price (\$/oz)		
		(\$250)	\$2,000	+\$250
Silver Price (\$/oz)	(\$5.00)	~\$756	~\$781	~\$797
	\$25.00	~\$786	~\$810	~\$827
	+\$5.00	~\$816	~\$840	~\$857

2020E Free Cash Flow^{3,4}

(\$ M)

		Gold Price (\$/oz)		
		(\$250)	\$2,000	+\$250
Silver Price (\$/oz)	(\$5.00)	~\$21	~\$42	~\$54
	\$25.00	~\$45	~\$66	~\$78
	+\$5.00	~\$69	~\$89	~\$102

(1) Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence. Data as November 2, 2020.

(2) Source: Bloomberg. Data as of October 30, 2020. 2020 figures reflect averages for remaining periods.

(3) Analysis assumes metal sales of approximately 350,000 ounces of gold and 10.3 million ounces of silver; includes hedge position for 2H 2020 as well as ounces sold under Palmarejo's gold stream agreement.

(4) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures. See reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.


> Coeur's Capital Allocation Framework

Mining is capital intensive and requires a disciplined approach to capital allocation with a view toward long-term reserve replacement and growth

Investment	Illustrative Return	Key Benefits	Key Considerations
Safety/Environment/ Sustainability	–	✓ Reflects the Company's values ✓ Mitigates risk for stakeholders ✓ Establishes and sustains social license	
Asset Optimization/ Enhancements	25% +	✓ Highest returns ✓ Quickest impact ✓ Low capital intensity ✓ Lowest risk	✗ Finite opportunity set
Brownfield Exploration	20% +	✓ High success / lowest risk exploration ✓ Low average discovery cost ✓ Quick payback given existing infrastructure	✗ Returns dependent on specific deposit ✗ All deposits eventually come to an end
Opportunistic M&A	15% +	✓ Opportunity to meaningfully impact company ✓ Scale and liquidity can be differentiators	✗ Limited number of opportunities meet criteria ✗ Requires significant organizational commitment ✗ Challenging social dynamics
6% - 10% estimated cost of capital			
Greenfield Exploration	Can vary widely	✓ Potential to create substantial value from new discoveries	✗ Low success rate ✗ Requires long-term, sustained financial and organizational commitment
Debt Repayment	5% - 6%	✓ Eliminates/reduces interest expense ✓ Improves balance sheet flexibility ✓ Mitigates downside metal price risk	✗ Low ROI ✗ Reduces cash liquidity levels ✗ Bond buybacks can be expensive
Dividends/ Repurchases	–	✓ Reflects Board and management confidence in long-term outlook ✓ Provides discipline ✓ Offers opportunity to differentiate among peers	✗ Industry's cyclicality and capital intensity ✗ Not a key driver for most investors ✗ Limited under indenture and credit agreement

> Multiple High-Impact Organic Growth Opportunities

Coeur has multiple high-impact exploration, expansion and optimization opportunities embedded in its portfolio, which it plans to methodically pursue by prioritizing investments based the Company's capital allocation framework




- ✓ **Pursuing** a higher standard
- ✓ **Executing** largest exploration program in Company history
- ✓ **Allocating** capital according to Company's framework
- ✓ **Aligning** organizational structure and incentive compensation
- ✓ **Measuring** success based on actual results
- ✓ **Striving** to achieve higher returns, longer mine lives and margin expansion

> Nevada-Based Exploration Investment Opportunities


Coeur uniquely positioned to build out its organic growth portfolio in the U.S., specifically in Nevada – a top mining jurisdiction. The Company believes investing in these opportunities will drive long-term value for stockholders

Northern Nevada



- ✓ Significant potential to further grow reserves and leverage Rochester's existing infrastructure
- ✓ East Rochester represents potential for significant higher-grade addition to current reserves and resources
- ✓ Acquired property package adjacent to Rochester in late 2018 for ~\$19 million
- ✓ Total land position of over 40,300 acres

Southern Nevada



- ✓ Acquired in late 2018 containing multiple gold deposits
- ✓ ~35,500 net acres in southern Nevada near existing infrastructure
- ✓ New discovery results (e.g., C-Horst) by Coeur adjacent to other new gold discoveries
- ✓ Future potential sources of low cost, long-life open pit gold production


> Rochester: Expansion Represents Key Near-Term Catalyst COEUR MINING®

The Company is advancing efforts to expand Rochester under Plan of Operations Amendment 11 (“POA 11”), which is expected to reposition the mine as a cornerstone asset and unlock significant value for stockholders

High-Level Project Timeline



Key Highlights of Expansion




At current gold and silver prices, Rochester is expected to generate at least \$100 million of annual free cash flow² post-expansion

(1) Record of Decision.

(2) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

> Rochester: Expansion Represents Key Near-Term Catalyst (cont.) COEUR MINING®

Coeur began initial construction on POA 11 in August 2020, with major construction projects scheduled to begin in 2021 and be largely completed by late 2022




(1) Includes ancillary facilities.

> Rochester: Expansion Represents Key Near-Term Catalyst (cont.) COEUR MINING®

The Company expects to publish an updated technical report for Rochester in December 2020, incorporating a rescoped mine plan as well as additional engineering work, exploration results and a detailed economic overview

Value Proposition




Funding Strategy

Cash on Hand	- Cash on balance sheet - Opportunistic monetization of strategic investments (e.g., Metalla)
Internally Generated Cash Flow	- Expect strong cash flow generation during construction period - Operating cash flow from Rochester - Free cash flow¹ from other operations
Existing Debt Capacity	- Revolving credit facility - Equipment and finance leases
Opportunistic Hedging	- Utilizing zero-cost collars on gold - Targeting up to 50% of expected gold production through 2022

Facilitates Multiple Stockholder Priorities

- ✓ Higher overall returns on invested capital
- ✓ Sustained, strong free cash flow¹
- ✓ Further de-leveraging opportunities
- ✓ Leading, low-risk exposure to precious metals prices
- ✓ Funding of other high-quality growth opportunities
- ✓ Potential to return excess capital over the long term

HPGR Crushing




(1) Free cash flow is defined as cash flow from operating activities less capital expenditures and gold production royalty payments.

> Balance Sheet and Hedging Summary


Liquidity Profile

(\$M)



Debt and Leverage Summary^{1,2}

(\$M)



Summary of Metal Sales Hedges

Strategy	- Opportunistically executing hedges to support funding of POA 11 - Targeting up to 50% of expected gold production through 2022
Instrument	- Zero-cost collar gold hedge - No upfront costs “Guarantees” price floor and provides upside price participation up to a specified ceiling
Settlement	Financially, against monthly average LBMA³ pricing

Snapshot of Metal Sales Hedges

	4Q 2020	2021	2022
Ounces Hedged	55,500	158,700	126,000
Avg. Ceiling (\$/oz)	\$1,823	\$1,875	\$2,030
Avg. Floor (\$/oz)	\$1,471	\$1,600	\$1,626

(1) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) Net debt equals total debt less cash and cash equivalents.

(3) London Bullion Market Association.

> Looking Ahead: Delivering Consistent Operating & Financial Results COEUR MINING®

- ✓ Maintain focus on rigorous health and safety protocols
- ✓ Sustain strong operating performance at Palmarejo and Wharf
- ✓ Continue executing revised stacking plan and advancing early-stage POA 11 construction activities at Rochester
- ✓ Increase high-grade contributions from Jualin at Kensington
- ✓ Remain committed to a high-level of exploration investment to drive reserve and resource growth
- ✓ Adhere to capital allocation framework and prudently invest in high-return growth opportunities
- ✓ Further enhance financial flexibility by repaying debt and building cash

Production Outlook

	Gold (oz)	Silver (K oz)
Palmarejo	100,000 – 110,000	6,000 – 7,000
Rochester	27,000 – 33,000	3,100 – 3,700
Kensington	122,500 – 130,000	-
Wharf	85,000 – 95,000	-
Consolidated	334,500 – 368,000	9,100 – 10,700

CAS Outlook²

	Gold (\$/oz)	Silver (\$/oz)
Palmarejo (co-product)	\$650 - \$750	\$9.50 - \$10.50
Rochester (co-product)	\$1,150 - \$1,300	\$13.50 - \$14.75
Kensington	\$900 - \$1,000	-
Wharf (by-product)	\$875 - \$925	-

Capital, Exploration and G&A

(\$M)

Capital Expenditures, Sustaining ³	\$55 – \$60
Capital Expenditures, Growth	\$45 – \$55
Exploration, Expensed	\$43 – \$49
Exploration, Capitalized	\$7 – \$11
General & Administrative Expenses	\$32 – \$36

Note: The Company's guidance reflects realized prices through 3Q 2020 and estimated prices of \$1,850/oz gold and \$24.00/oz silver as well as CAD of 1.30 and MXN of 21.00 for 4Q 2020.

(1) Guidance as published by Coeur on October 28, 2020.

(2) See non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(3) Sustaining capital expenditures exclude capital leases.

ESG Highlights

Environmental

- Climate Change
- Green House Gas Emissions
- Water Stewardship
- Waste Minimization
- Biodiversity
- Tailings Management
- Closure Planning

Social

Human Capital Management

- Fair Employment Practices and Equal Opportunity

- Training and Development
- Health, Safety & Security

Society

- Investment in Local Communities
- Indigenous Rights
- Human Rights

Governance

- Ethics and Governance
- Compliance
- Anti-Corruption



PROTECT

Our People, Places, Planet



DEVELOP

Quality Resources, Growth, Plans



DELIVER

Impactful Results Through Teamwork

> Aiming to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Coeur strives to increase production while using less energy over the long-term. With the support of site-specific energy leads and champions across the Company, Coeur is managing its carbon footprint and reducing greenhouse gas emissions

Recent Energy Management Highlights

ROCHESTER

Implemented improved crushing system and mining process

Results:

- Efficiencies resulted in nearly **27M kw-hrs** of electricity savings
- Energy saved is equivalent to approximately **four months** of operation
- Savings could power approximately **2,500 homes** for one year¹
- **Awarded \$435,000** from NV Energy PowerShift Program – the largest award in NV Energy’s portfolio history

WHARF

Signed agreement to source electricity from wind generated power

Results:

- Agreement **begins in 2021**
- **More than 40%** of the electricity used by Wharf expected to come from wind generated power in 2021
- Wharf plans to increase its proportion of power generated from wind as capacity is available with a goal to eventually source **100% of its electricity from wind generated power**


These initiatives are expected to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by more than 14,500 t annually²

(1) According to the EIA, in 2019, the average home used 10,649 kw-hrs annually. Thus, 2,535 homes could be powered by the savings of 27M kw-hrs. <https://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.php?id=97&t=3>.

(2) Wharf greenhouse gas reduction estimate is based on 2019 electricity use.

> Precious Metals Are Coeur to Everyday Life

Coeur is an important part of the supply chains for gold and silver. Due to their unique properties, gold and silver have countless innovative uses that shape the way we live today and enable a more sustainable, healthier tomorrow



> Committed to Diversity and Inclusion

“The tragic death of George Floyd and other horrific events...serve as stark reminders that, as a society, much work remains to be done to address racism and the conscious and unconscious biases that enable its existence. At Coeur, we are committed to fostering diversity and inclusion at our company and in our communities.”

– Mitchell J. Krebs, President & CEO

CEO **ACT!ON** FOR DIVERSITY & INCLUSION

- ✓ First and only precious metals mining CEO to sign the CEO ACTION for Diversity & Inclusion pledge
- ✓ Hosted a Day of Understanding in early 2020
 - Created platform for open dialogue and meaningful conversations on diversity and inclusion



- ✓ Designed to highlight and engage members of the military
- ✓ Allows service members to use the special skills developed during their time of service to help make a difference at Coeur's operations
 - Provided 87 career opportunities to current and former U.S. Military personnel



- ✓ Coeur sponsored 'reading playoffs' event for four consecutive years
- ✓ Expanding partnership to include: COVID-19 response and staff diversity training
- ✓ Hosted Geology 101 event for students
- ✓ Developing STEM After-school program for graduating Seniors



- ✓ Creating relationship with My Block, My Hood, My City
 - Providing transportation after school to Coeur's headquarters in Chicago for an afternoon of exploring precious metals mining
 - Goal of exposing Chicago's Black youth to our industry and career opportunities


> COVID-19 Response and Decision-Making Approach

Coeur's principal objective to help combat COVID-19 is to safeguard the health, safety and well-being of its workforce, their families and the communities where the Company operates



PROTECT

Our People, Places, Planet



> Activities to Protect People and Places During COVID-19 COEUR MINING®

 <i>People</i>	✓ Social distancing and enhanced cleaning ✓ Multilayered testing strategy ✓ Traditional contact tracing complemented by wearable technology pilot ✓ Remote work for office employees ✓ Only essential business travel ✓ Pre-work and on-site health screenings ✓ Regular CEO communications; talking points for local leaders ✓ Sick leave policies cover bonus payments to camp employees affected by extended schedules and quarantine
 <i>Places</i>	✓ Partner with communities in communication and response efforts ✓ Greatly reduced travel, limiting community risk of exposure ✓ Implementing company-provided testing and contact tracing organization-wide ✓ Donations, including PPE and supplies for vulnerable community members ✓ Palmarejo clinic open to the public

> Active Involvement in Protecting Our Communities



Health tent at Wharf used for screening temperatures and completing questionnaire forms



Food distribution at Palmarejo



Rochester team showcasing completed drive-through testing center donated to Pershing County Hospital


> Industry-Leading Safety Performance

Coeur has held the National Mining Association CORESafety certification since 2017




Coeur's injury frequency rate remains significantly below industry averages

Lost-Time Injury Frequency Rate



Total Reportable Injury Frequency Rate



(1) Source(s): U.S. Department of Labor Mine Safety and Health Administration: Metal Operators Mine Safety and Health Statistics. Injuries per 200,000 employee-hours worked.

(2) Includes both Coeur employees and contract workers.

(3) Coeur YTD 2020 information through September 30, 2020.

Governance Practices

- ✓ **Board Refreshment and Succession Planning**
 - Two new independent directors added to the Board in February 2018 and five directors – more than 50% of the Board – added in last seven years
 - Also added a new independent director in early 2019, who recently had to resign in connection with a new professional position that did not allow outside board service
 - Active Board succession planning and refreshment
- ✓ **Robust Board and Committee Evaluations**
 - Annual evaluations promote Board and Board committee effectiveness
 - Chairman's one-on-one meetings with each director promote candor, effectiveness and accountability
- ✓ **No Related Party Transactions**
 - No related person transactions with directors or executive officers
- ✓ **Board-Level Risk Oversight**
 - The Board and Board committees take an active role in the Company's risk oversight and risk management processes
- ✓ **Active Stockholder Engagement**
 - During 2019, Coeur continued its proactive and robust stockholder outreach efforts on governance, executive compensation and other matters, contacting all institutional stockholders of 0.15% or more
- ✓ **Clawback Policy**
 - Added officer misconduct to the scope of clawback policy in addition to financial restatement-driven events

Stockholder Rights


- ✓ **Annual Election of Directors**
 - All directors are elected annually for one-year terms
- ✓ **Majority Voting for Director Elections**
 - Majority voting in uncontested director elections with a resignation policy
- ✓ **Stockholder Right to Call Special Meetings**
 - Stockholders owning 20% or more of Coeur's common stock have the right to call a special meeting of the Stockholders
- ✓ **No Poison Pill**
 - Coeur does not have a poison pill or similar anti-takeover defenses in place
- ✓ **Proxy Access**
 - Proactively adopted proxy access in March 2019, which allows stockholders who have satisfied specified requirements under the Company's Bylaws to include director nominees in the Company's proxy statement and form of proxy (greater of two nominees or 20% Board seats)

> Significantly Improved Corporate Governance Profile



Corporate Governance Enhancements Since 2010	2010	2020
Independent Board Chairman	✗	✓
Entire Board of Directors is independent other than CEO	✗	✓
Gender diversity on the Board	✗	✓
Majority voting standard for uncontested Director elections	✗	✓
Proxy access allowing certain stockholders to nominate directors	✗	✓
Robust Board and Committee self-evaluation (including 1:1 discussions with Chairman and third-party participation)	✗	✓
Executive and Director stock ownership guidelines	✗	✓
No excise tax gross-up on executive severance	✗	✓
All incentive awards subject to double-trigger change-in-control vesting	✗	✓
60% of executive equity awards are performance shares and 40% are time-vesting restricted stock	✗	✓
Independent executive compensation consultant to compensation committee	✗	✓
No executive employment agreements other than CEO	✗	✓
No "related person transactions" with Directors or executive officers	✗	✓
Robust executive compensation clawback policy covering officer misconduct	✗	✓

> 2019 CEO Compensation Tied to Stockholder Returns



> 2020 Incentive Plan

Aligned with Strategic Objectives & Purpose Statement

PROTECT		TRIFR % Reduction	AIP ¹ 20%
		% Decline in Permit Exceedances	
DEVELOP		Three-Year Growth in Reserves and Resources from Continuing Operations	PSUs ^{2,3} 35%
		Achievement of Milestones for Strategically Critical Long-Term Projects ⁴	PSUs ^{2,3} 30%
DELIVER		Costs Applicable to Sales per Ounce Adjusted EBITDA	AIP 55%
		Three-Year Return on Invested Capital	PSUs ^{2,3} 35%
		Production	AIP 25%

(1) Annual incentive plan.

(2) Performance share units.

(3) The three internal performance share metrics are subject to a relative total stockholder return ("TSR") modifier that adjusts payouts +/-25% for top or bottom quartile performance compared to peers.

(4) Tied to achievement of Rochester and Silvertip expansion projects (split 20% Rochester and 10% Silvertip) and YE 2022 net asset values for Rochester and Silvertip.

> Diverse Board Led by Independent Chair

- ✓ Eight out of nine Directors are independent (all except CEO); all four main committees comprised of independent directors only
- ✓ Two directors elected in February 2018 having skills and experiences that complement other directors
- ✓ Balance of newer and longer serving directors; no mandatory retirement age
- ✓ Half of independent directors are diverse (gender or ethnicity), contributing to a variety of viewpoints

Skill ¹		
	Current and Former Chief Executive	90%
	Project Development/Management	80%
	Environmental, Social and Governance/Health and Safety	90%
	Government Affairs, Regulatory and Legal	80%
	Strategy Development and Execution	90%
	Capital Markets Transactions	80%
	Extractive or Cyclical Industry	70%
	U.S. Public Company Board Service	70%
	Finance/Accounting	70%
	Technology/Cyber Security	20%
	Human Capital Management	100%
	Culture	100%

(1) Percentages rounded to nearest 10%.

> Diverse Board Led by Independent Chair (cont.)

Name	Age	Audit	CLDC	NCGC	EHSCR	Exec	Independent	Other Public Company Boards
Robert E. Mellor (Chairman)	76		•	(C)		(C)	•	1
Linda L. Adamany	68	(C)(F)			•		•	2
Sebastian Edwards	66	•	•				•	0
Randolph E. Gress	64	(F)	•	•			•	0
Mitchell J. Krebs	48					•		1
Eduardo Luna	74		•		•		•	2
Jessica L. McDonald	51	•			•		•	2
John H. Robinson	69		(C)	•		•	•	1
J. Kenneth Thompson	68			•	(C)	•	•	3

(C) Denotes the Chair of each committee


(F) Denotes Audit Committee financial expert

Diversity




■ Ethnic ■ Gender

Tenure



■ 1-3 Yrs ■ 3-10 Yrs ■ 10+ Years


Independence



■ Independent ■ Not Independent


Appendix

Ownership	100%
Claims	112,520 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation, CIL, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~7 years




Share of Companywide 2020E¹ Production

Gold




Silver




2015 & 2019 Reserves & Resources²


P&P Reserves



M&I Resources



Inferred Resources




■ Gold (K oz) ■ Silver (M oz)

(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on October 28, 2020.

(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.


Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

(\$M)

Operating cash flow
Capital expenditures



Costs Per Ton

(\$)

	3Q 2019	4Q 2019	1Q 2020	2Q 2020	3Q 2020
UG tons mined	463,994	475,595	491,080	274,126	475,939
UG mining costs per UG ton mined	\$38	\$35	\$35	\$32	\$36
Processing costs per ton processed ²	\$26	\$27	\$24	\$21	\$25
G&A per ton processed ³	\$11	\$10	\$10	\$12	\$7


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.

(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.


> Rochester

Ownership	100%
Claims	16,494 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, dump heap leaching, Merrill-Crowe precipitation, refining
Metals	Silver and gold doré
Mine life	~15 years




Share of Companywide 2020E¹ Production


Gold



Silver




2015 & 2019 Reserves & Resources²




(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on October 28, 2020.

(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures (\$M)



Costs Per Ton

(\$)

	3Q 2019	4Q 2019	1Q 2020	2Q 2020	3Q 2020
Ore tons mined	2,497,963	2,574,343	3,512,239	5,769,097	4,746,086
Strip Ratio	0.3:1	0.4:1	0.6:1	0.1:1	0.1:1
Mining costs per ton mined	\$2.75	\$2.27	\$1.47	\$1.29	\$1.93
Processing costs per ton processed ²	\$3.75	\$4.57	\$3.57	\$3.30	\$3.03
G&A per ton processed ³	\$1.24	\$1.36	\$1.25	\$0.98	\$0.95

(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.


(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.

(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

> Review of HPGR Technology

HPGR technology is relatively new to precious metals mining, although it has been proven in processing other materials. The implementation of HPGR at Rochester is expected to improve silver recoveries and lower operating costs

HPGR Schematic¹



Selected Commentary

- Feed material is subjected to high compressive force exerted by the floating roll, which is regulated by hydraulic pistons
- Counter rotating rolls draw in a bed of material, which is crushed via inter-particle comminution
- Creates micro-fractures in crushed material, allowing cyanide to flow more freely
 - Increases silver recoveries when compared to other crushers

Key Advantages

- ✓ Low operational and maintenance costs due in large part to limited moving parts
- ✓ Limited downtime for wear part replacement
- ✓ Comparatively higher comminution efficiency
- ✓ Accommodates greater mineral variability



HPGR crushing unit at Rochester


(1) Thyssenkrupp Industrial Solutions POLYCOM® Installations (2018).

> Kensington


Ownership	100%
Claims	12,336 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing
Metals	Gold concentrate
Mine life	~3 years



Share of Companywide 2020E¹ Production




2015 & 2019 Reserves & Resources²




(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on October 28, 2020.

(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.

Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures (\$M)



Costs Per Ton

(\$)

	3Q 2019	4Q 2019	1Q 2020	2Q 2020	3Q 2020
Ore tons mined	169,090	167,499	166,909	168,435	162,572
Mining costs per ton mined	\$58	\$65	\$64	\$72	\$73
Processing costs per ton processed ³	\$40	\$43	\$44	\$40	\$50
G&A per ton processed ⁴	\$38	\$43	\$46	\$40	\$41


(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) Excludes impact of prepayments.


(3) Excludes third-party smelting charges, which are reflected in average realized selling prices of concentrate production.

(4) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.


Ownership	100%
Claims	7,852 net acres
Type	Open pit and heap leach
Processing	Crushing, “on-off” heap leaching, spent ore neutralization, carbon absorption/desorption
Metals	Electrolytic cathodic sludge
Mine life	~7 years



Share of Companywide 2020E¹ Production




2015 & 2019 Reserves & Resources²



(1) Based on midpoint of guidance as published by Coeur on October 28, 2020.

(2) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.


Production and Cost Performance



Operating Cash Flow and Capital Expenditures

(\$M)

Operating cash flow
Capital expenditures



Costs Per Ton

(\$)

	3Q 2019	4Q 2019	1Q 2020	2Q 2020	3Q 2020
Ore tons mined	1,315,446	1,169,344	921,897	1,376,237	1,320,542
Strip Ratio	1.0:1	1.1:1	1.8:1	0.9:1	1.5:1
Mining costs per ton mined	\$3.70	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$3.93	\$3.38
Pad unload costs per ton mined	\$1.13	\$0.86	\$0.59	\$1.11	\$0.68
Total mining costs per ton mined (incl. pad unload)	\$4.82	\$4.67	\$4.67	\$5.04	\$4.05
Processing costs per ton processed ²	\$1.82	\$3.01	\$3.36	\$2.33	\$3.35
G&A per ton processed ³	\$1.91	\$2.59	\$3.49	\$1.84	\$2.29

(1) See applicable non-GAAP reconciliation tables in the appendix to this presentation.

(2) Excludes third-party refining charges.


(3) Excludes management fee allocated from corporate.

Ownership	100%
Claims	90,156 net acres
Type	Underground
Processing	Crushing, grinding, flotation processing, concentrate thickening
Metals	Lead concentrate, zinc concentrate
Mine life	~5 years




2018 & 2019 Reserves & Resources¹


P&P Reserves



M&I Resources



Inferred Resources



■ Silver (M oz) ■ Zinc (M lb) ■ Lead (M lb)

(1) See slides in appendix for additional information related to mineral reserves and resources. Canadian investors should refer to the applicable technical report on file at www.sedar.com.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation for Guidance

Costs Applicable to Sales Guidance for 2020

Unaudited				
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$179,529	\$102,554	\$175,424	\$94,989
Amortization	(44,409)	(14,561)	(52,201)	(11,600)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$135,120	\$87,993	\$123,223	\$83,389
By-product credit	-	-	-	(2,058)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$135,120	\$87,993	\$123,223	\$81,331
Metal Sales				
Gold ounces	106,500	31,400	126,700	89,500
Silver ounces	6,400,000	3,600,000		95,000
Revenue Split				
Gold	52%	43%	100%	100%
Silver	48%	57%		
Costs applicable to sales				
Gold (\$/oz)	\$650 - \$750	\$1,150 - \$1,300	\$900 - \$1,000	\$875 - \$925
Silver (\$/oz)	\$9.50 - \$10.50	\$13.50 - \$14.75		

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation



Costs Applicable to Sales 3 months ended September 30, 2020

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$46,163	\$22,382	\$43,053	\$31,887	\$1,185	\$144,670
Amortization	(11,912)	(3,278)	(11,523)	(4,000)	(1,185)	(31,898)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$34,251	\$19,104	\$31,530	\$27,887	-	\$112,772
Inventory adjustments	(100)	517	(141)	(46)	-	230
By-product credit	-	-	-	(1,007)	-	(1,007)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$34,151	\$19,621	\$31,389	\$26,834	-	\$111,995
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	27,252	6,834	27,815	33,382		95,283
Silver ounces	1,765,371	785,887		40,521	-	2,591,779
Revenue Split						
Gold	48%	40%	100%	100%		
Silver	52%	60%			-	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold (\$/oz)	\$602	\$1,148	\$1,128	\$804		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$10.06	\$14.98			-	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)



Costs Applicable to Sales 3 months ended June 30, 2020

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$26,095	\$21,348	\$43,235	\$25,653	\$1,231	\$117,562
Amortization	(7,270)	(3,012)	(12,853)	(3,181)	(1,231)	(27,547)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$18,825	\$18,336	\$30,382	\$22,472	-	\$90,015
Inventory adjustments	(106)	(566)	(139)	(3,304)	-	(4,115)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(385)	-	(385)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$18,719	\$17,770	\$30,243	\$18,783	-	\$85,515
Metal Sales						
Gold ounces	16,924	5,278	32,367	23,364		77,933
Silver ounces	874,642	723,679		22,707	-	1,621,028
Revenue Split						
Gold	62%	44%	100%	100%		
Silver	38%	56%			-	
Adjusted costs applicable to sales						
Gold (\$/oz)	\$686	\$1,481	\$934	\$804		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$8.13	\$13.75			-	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Costs Applicable to Sales

3 months ended March 31, 2020

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$49,149	\$19,860	\$42,429	\$20,267	\$23,002	\$154,707
Amortization	(13,175)	(2,904)	(11,922)	(2,444)	(5,345)	(35,790)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$35,974	\$16,956	\$30,507	\$17,823	\$17,657	\$118,917
Inventory adjustments	73	(422)	(101)	(25)	(10,381)	(10,856)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(248)	-	(248)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$36,047	\$16,534	\$30,406	\$17,550	\$7,276	\$107,813

Metal Sales

Gold ounces	31,287	5,473	32,781	16,094		85,635
Silver ounces	1,894,789	632,237		14,768	158,984	2,700,778
Zinc pounds					3,203,446	3,203,446
Lead pounds					2,453,485	2,453,485

Revenue Split

Gold	56%	45%	100%	100%		
Silver	44%	55%			26%	
Zinc					48%	
Lead					26%	

Adjusted costs applicable to sales

Gold (\$/oz)	\$645	\$1,359	\$928	\$1,090		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$8.37	\$14.38			\$11.79	
Zinc (\$/lb)					\$1.12	
Lead (\$/lb)					\$0.74	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)



Costs Applicable to Sales 12 months ended December 31, 2019

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$201,306	\$118,246	\$170,194	\$92,969	\$145,496	\$728,211
Amortization	(59,379)	(18,041)	(50,592)	(12,280)	(36,738)	(177,030)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$141,927	\$100,205	\$119,602	\$80,689	\$108,758	\$551,181
Inventory adjustments	(344)	(4,625)	(913)	(3,617)	(64,610)	(74,109)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(1,072)	-	(1,072)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$141,583	\$95,580	\$118,689	\$76,000	\$44,148	\$476,000

Metal Sales

Gold ounces	116,104	36,052	130,495	84,999		367,650
Silver ounces	6,841,380	3,844,556		64,161	1,164,470	11,914,567
Zinc pounds					18,154,521	18,154,521
Lead pounds					16,487,847	16,487,847

Revenue Split

Gold	56%	45%	100%	100%		
Silver	44%	55%			34%	
Zinc					39%	
Lead					27%	

Adjusted costs applicable to sales

Gold (\$/oz)	\$683	\$1,193	\$910	\$894		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$9.11	\$13.67			\$12.89	
Zinc (\$/lb)					\$0.95	
Lead (\$/lb)					\$0.72	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Costs Applicable to Sales 3 months ended December 31, 2019

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$49,590	\$31,100	\$41,537	\$29,818	\$42,189	\$194,234
Amortization	(14,799)	(5,791)	(12,776)	(4,072)	(10,166)	(47,604)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$34,791	\$25,309	\$28,761	\$25,746	\$32,023	\$146,630
Inventory adjustments	(11)	(116)	(176)	(3,677)	(23,325)	(27,305)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(373)	-	(373)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$34,780	\$25,193	\$28,585	\$21,696	\$8,698	\$118,952

Metal Sales

Gold ounces	27,953	11,248	29,293	27,039		95,533
Silver ounces	1,979,315	931,326		21,132	294,498	3,226,271
Zinc pounds					4,052,554	4,052,554
Lead pounds					4,223,504	4,223,504

Revenue Split

Gold	50%	51%	100%	100%		
Silver	50%	49%			38%	
Zinc					32%	
Lead					30%	

Adjusted costs applicable to sales

Gold (\$/oz)	\$622	\$1,142	\$976	\$802		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$8.79	\$13.25			\$11.22	
Zinc (\$/lb)					\$0.69	
Lead (\$/lb)					\$0.62	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Costs Applicable to Sales 3 months ended September 30, 2019

Unaudited						
(\$ thousands, except per ounce amounts)	Palmarejo	Rochester	Kensington	Wharf	Silvertip	Total
Costs applicable to sales, including amortization (U.S. GAAP)	\$53,237	\$31,999	\$43,085	\$25,385	\$32,457	\$186,163
Amortization	(15,840)	(4,250)	(13,552)	(3,301)	(8,268)	(45,211)
Reported costs applicable to sales	\$37,397	\$27,749	\$29,533	\$22,084	\$24,189	\$140,952
Inventory adjustments	(175)	(4,799)	(405)	(7)	(13,966)	(19,352)
By-product credit	-	-	-	(293)	-	(293)
Adjusted costs applicable to sales	\$37,222	\$22,950	\$29,128	\$21,784	\$10,223	\$121,307

Metal Sales

Gold ounces	32,731	7,651	35,452	24,573		100,407
Silver ounces	1,747,250	951,043		16,612	289,910	3,004,815
Zinc pounds					4,076,390	4,076,390
Lead pounds					4,330,862	4,330,862

Revenue Split

Gold	58%	41%	100%	100%		
Silver	42%	59%			39%	
Zinc					29%	
Lead					32%	

Adjusted costs applicable to sales

Gold (\$/oz)	\$660	\$1,230	\$822	\$887		
Silver (\$/oz)	\$8.95	\$14.24			\$14.14	
Zinc (\$/lb)					\$0.75	
Lead (\$/lb)					\$0.71	

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited (\$ thousands)	Adjusted EBITDA					
	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Net income (loss)	(\$341,203)	(\$48,405)	(\$1,319)	\$55,352	(\$367,183)	(\$1,186,874)
Income (loss) from discount. ops., net of tax	(5,693)	(550)	12,244	(32,917)	79,372	89,224
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	24,771	24,364	16,440	36,896	44,978	47,494
Income tax provision (benefit)	(11,129)	(16,780)	28,998	(33,247)	(29,075)	(410,140)
Amortization	178,876	128,473	146,549	116,528	125,953	143,013
EBITDA	(\$154,378)	\$87,102	\$202,912	\$142,612	(\$145,955)	(\$1,317,283)
Fair value adjustments, net	(16,030)	(3,638)	864	11,581	(5,202)	(3,618)
Impairment of equity securities	-	-	426	703	2,346	6,593
Foreign exchange (gain) loss	4,346	9,069	(1,281)	11,455	16,021	(355)
Gain on sale of Joaquin project	-	-	(21,138)	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	714	(19)	1	(11,334)	352	646
Gain on repurchase of Rochester royalty	-	-	(2,332)	-	-	-
(Gain) loss on debt extinguishment	1,282	-	9,342	21,365	(15,916)	-
Mexico inflation adjustment	-	(1,939)	-	-	-	-
Transaction-related costs	-	5	3,757	1,199	2,112	-
Interest income on notes receivables	(198)	(1,776)	-	-	-	-
Manquiri sale consideration write-down	-	18,599	-	-	-	-
Silvertip inventory write-down	64,610	26,720	-	-	-	-
Wharf inventory write-down	3,596	-	-	-	-	-
Rochester In-Pit crusher write-down	-	3,441	-	-	-	-
Receivable write-down	1,040	6,536	-	-	-	-
Asset retirement obligation accretion	12,154	11,116	8,983	7,263	7,374	4,994
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	5,904	2,093	1,806	5,590	9,276	13,975
Impairment of long-lived assets	250,814	-	-	-	-	-
Write-downs	-	-	-	4,446	246,625	1,353,967
Adjusted EBITDA	\$173,854	\$157,309	\$203,340	\$194,880	\$117,680	\$58,918
Revenue	\$711,502	\$625,904	\$709,598	\$571,897	\$561,407	\$517,993
Adjusted EBITDA Margin	24%	25%	29%	34%	21%	11%

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited									
2020E Free Cash Flow									
(\$ millions)									
Gold price assumption (\$/oz)	\$1,750	\$1,750	\$1,750	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$2,250	\$2,250	\$2,250
Silver price assumption (\$/oz)	\$20.00	\$25.00	\$30.00	\$20.00	\$25.00	\$30.00	\$20.00	\$25.00	\$30.00
Cash flow from operating activities	~\$131	~\$155	~\$179	~\$152	~\$176	~\$199	~\$164	~\$188	~\$212
Capital expenditures	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)	~(110)
Free cash flow	~\$21	~\$45	~\$69	~\$42	~\$66	~\$89	~\$54	~\$78	~\$102

> Non-GAAP to U.S. GAAP Reconciliation (cont.)

Unaudited

Leverage Ratios

LTM Adjusted EBITDA

(\$ thousands)	3Q 2020	4Q 2019	3Q 2019
Net income (loss)	(\$257,214)	(\$341,203)	(\$69,774)
(Income) loss from discount. ops., net tax	-	(5,693)	(5,693)
Interest expense, net of capitalized interest	21,501	24,771	25,822
Income tax provision (benefit)	14,875	(11,129)	(50,217)
Amortization	144,372	178,876	167,811
EBITDA	(\$76,466)	(\$154,378)	\$67,949
Fair value adjustments, net	(11,320)	(16,030)	(8,932)
Foreign exchange loss	932	4,346	6,064
(Gain) loss on sale of assets and securities	2,687	714	418
Loss on debt extinguishment	-	1,282	1,282
Interest income on notes receivables	-	(198)	(525)
Transaction-related costs	-	-	(1,044)
Silvertip temporary suspension costs	6,072	-	-
Silvertip lease modification	(4,051)	-	-
Silvertip gain on contingent consideration	(955)	-	-
COVID-19 costs	10,417	-	-
Novation	3,819	-	-
Receivable write-down	-	1,040	7,576
Asset retirement obligation accretion	11,847	12,154	11,777
Inventory adjustments and write-downs	45,363	74,110	66,493
Impairment of long-lived assets	250,814	250,814	-
Adjusted EBITDA	\$239,159	\$173,854	\$151,058

Consolidated Debt

(\$ thousands)	3Q 2020	4Q 2019	3Q 2019
Cash and cash equivalents	\$77,148	\$55,645	\$65,319
Total debt	301,138	295,497	298,720
Net debt	223,990	239,852	233,401
LTM adjusted EBITDA	\$239,159	\$173,854	\$151,058
Total debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	1.3x	1.7x	2.0x
Net debt-to-LTM adjusted EBITDA	0.9x	1.4x	1.5x

2019 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

		Grade					Contained				
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)	
PROVEN RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	1,093,000	0.093	5.17		102,000	5,649,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	249,815,000	0.003	0.45		710,000	112,286,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	898,000	0.200	-		180,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	23,436,000	0.024	-		571,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	176,000	-	11.31	9.84%	8.07%	-	1,990,000	34,577,000	28,366,000
	Total		275,418,000	0.006	0.44		1,563,000	119,925,000	34,577,000	28,366,000	
PROBABLE RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	10,576,000	0.056	4.24		591,000	44,843,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	13,577,000	0.002	0.38		27,000	5,187,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	779,000	0.239	-		186,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	7,530,000	0.026	-		197,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	1,636,000	-	7.94	7.97%	5.14%	-	12,986,000	260,847,000	168,096,000
	Total		34,097,000	0.029	1.85		1,001,000	63,016,000	260,847,000	168,096,000	
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	11,669,000	0.059	4.33		693,000	50,492,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	263,392,000	0.003	0.45		737,000	117,473,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,677,000	0.218	-		366,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	30,965,000	0.025	-		768,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	1,812,000	-	8.26	8.15%	5.42%	-	14,976,000	295,424,000	196,463,000
	Total Proven and Probable Reserves		309,515,000	0.008	0.59		2,564,000	182,941,000	295,424,000	196,463,000	

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2019 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

	Location	Short tons	Grade				Contained			
			Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	870,000	0.055	3.69			48,000	3,210,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	194,330,000	0.002	0.35			450,000	67,602,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,062,000	0.239	-			493,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	6,631,000	0.027	-			178,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	138,000	-	10.85	9.79%	7.70%	-	1,497,000	26,924,000	21,183,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	4,642,000	0.012	0.34			58,000	1,592,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	9,536,000	0.005	3.04			45,000	29,001,000		
Total		218,209,000	0.006	0.47			1,272,000	102,902,000	26,924,000	21,183,000
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,894,000	0.048	3.97			377,000	31,313,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	42,015,000	0.002	0.36			96,000	15,195,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,164,000	0.231	-			269,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	4,926,000	0.032	-			156,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,579,000	-	6.39	8.81%	3.89%	-	10,091,000	278,081,000	122,734,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	27,668,000	0.011	0.31			306,000	8,655,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	19,141,000	0.006	3.98			118,000	76,185,000		
Total		104,385,000	0.013	1.35			1,323,000	141,438,000	278,081,000	122,734,000
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,764,000	0.048	3.94			425,000	34,522,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	236,345,000	0.002	0.35			546,000	82,797,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	3,226,000	0.236	-			762,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	11,557,000	0.029	-			334,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,716,000	-	6.75	8.89%	4.19%	-	11,588,000	305,005,000	143,917,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	32,310,000	0.011	0.32			364,000	10,247,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	28,677,000	0.006	3.67			163,000	105,186,000		
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		322,594,000	0.008	0.76			2,594,000	244,340,000	305,005,000	143,917,000

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2019 Inferred Mineral Resources

		Grade					Contained			
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	6,469,000	0.057	4.07			367,000	26,341,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	171,042,000	0.002	0.36			378,000	60,803,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,562,000	0.229	-			358,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,483,000	0.033	-			81,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	976,000	-	8.40	9.34%	5.39%	-	8,199,000	182,181,000	105,267,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	22,952,000	0.011	0.36			255,000	8,163,000		
Sterling	Nevada, USA	32,013,000	0.030	-			960,000	-		
Wilco	Nevada, USA	25,736,000	0.021	0.13			531,000	3,346,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,761,000	0.003	3.31			6,000	5,835,000		
Total Inferred Resources		264,996,000	0.011	0.43			2,936,000	112,687,000	182,181,000	105,267,000

Notes to 2019 mineral reserves and resources:

- (1) Effective December 31, 2019.
- (2) Assumed metal prices for Mineral Reserves were \$17.00 per ounce of silver, \$1,350 per ounce of gold, \$1.15 per pound zinc, \$0.95 per pound lead.
- (3) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were \$20.00 per ounce of silver, \$1,500 per ounce of gold, \$1.30 per pound zinc, \$1.05 per pound lead, except Lincoln Hill and Wilco at \$1,350 per ounce gold and \$22.00 per ounce silver, and Sterling at \$1,200 per ounce of gold.
- (4) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized. The preliminary economic assessment for the re-scoped mine plan at Kensington is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources, and does not have as high a level of certainty as a plan that was based solely on proven and probable reserves and there is no certainty that the results from the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.
- (5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.
- (6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2018 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

		Grade					Contained				
	Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)	
PROVEN RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	1,283,000	0.084	4.97		108,000	6,376,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	228,413,000	0.003	0.44		657,000	101,058,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,600,000	0.186	-		298,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,043,000	0.026	-		877,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	280,000	-	10.81	9.83%	7.53%	-	3,026,000	55,039,000	42,156,000
	Total		265,620,000	0.007	0.42		1,940,000	110,461,000	55,039,000	42,156,000	
PROBABLE RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	8,118,000	0.072	5.39		585,000	43,788,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	13,166,000	0.002	0.39		27,000	5,141,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	986,000	0.258	-		254,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	153,000	0.033	-		5,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	1,489,000	-	7.98	7.93%	5.22%	-	11,885,000	236,200,000	155,305,000
	Total		23,912,000	0.036	2.54		870,000	60,815,000	236,200,000	155,305,000	
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES											
	Palmarejo	Mexico	9,401,000	0.074	5.34		693,000	50,164,000			
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	241,580,000	0.003	0.44		684,000	106,200,000			
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,586,000	0.213	-		552,000	-			
	Wharf	South Dakota, USA	34,196,000	0.026	-		882,000	-			
	Silvertip	Canada	1,769,000	-	8.43	8.23%	5.58%	-	14,911,000	291,239,000	197,461,000
	Total Proven and Probable Reserves		289,531,000	0.010	0.59		2,810,000	171,276,000	291,239,000	197,461,000	

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2018 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

	Location	Short tons	Grade				Contained			
			Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
MEASURED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	604,000	0.086	4.77			52,000	2,880,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	164,704,000	0.002	0.35			372,000	57,121,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,610,000	0.254	-			409,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	8,070,000	0.034	-			271,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	112,000	-	11.08	10.03	8.06	-	1,241,000	22,521,000	18,109,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	4,642,000	0.012	0.34			58,000	1,592,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	9,536,000	0.005	3.04			45,000	29,001,000		
Total		189,279,000	0.006	0.49			1,208,000	91,835,000	22,521,000	18,109,000
INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	7,544,000	0.054	4.27			407,000	32,194,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	34,290,000	0.002	0.37			82,000	12,733,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,071,000	0.245	-			262,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	626,000	0.042	-			26,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,179,000	-	6.04	8.44	3.69	-	7,118,000	199,096,000	87,082,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	27,668,000	0.011	0.31			306,000	8,655,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	19,141,000	0.006	3.98			118,000	76,185,000		
Total		91,519,000	0.013	1.50			1,200,000	136,885,000	199,096,000	87,082,000
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,149,000	0.056	4.30			458,000	35,074,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	198,994,000	0.002	0.35			454,000	69,854,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,681,000	0.250	-			671,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	8,696,000	0.034	-			297,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	1,292,000	-	6.47	8.58	4.07	-	8,359,000	221,617,000	105,191,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	32,310,000	0.011	0.32			364,000	10,247,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	28,677,000	0.006	3.67			163,000	105,186,000		
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		280,798,000	0.009	0.81			2,408,000	228,720,000	221,617,000	105,191,000

2018 Inferred Mineral Resources

	Location	Short tons	Grade				Contained			
			Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Zinc (%)	Lead (%)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)	Zinc (lbs)	Lead (lbs)
INFERRED RESOURCES										
Palmarejo	Mexico	5,875,000	0.065	4.36			384,000	25,609,000		
Rochester	Nevada, USA	235,062,000	0.002	0.37			542,000	88,075,000		
Kensington	Alaska, USA	710,000	0.227	-			161,000	-		
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,553,000	0.026	-			66,000	-		
Silvertip	Canada	583,000	-	7.91	9.31	5.02	-	4,612,000	108,621,000	58,593,000
Lincoln Hill	Nevada, USA	22,952,000	0.011	0.36			255,000	8,163,000		
Sterling	Nevada, USA	10,922,000	0.065	-			709,000	-		
Wilco	Nevada, USA	25,736,000	0.021	0.13			531,000	3,346,000		
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,761,000	0.003	3.31			6,000	5,835,000		
Total Inferred Resources		306,154,000	0.009	0.44			2,655,000	135,641,000	108,621,000	58,593,000

Notes to 2018 mineral reserves and resources:

- (1) Effective December 31, 2018.
- (2) Assumed metal prices for Mineral Reserves were \$17.00 per ounce of silver, \$1,250 per ounce of gold, \$1.25 per pound zinc, \$1.00 per pound lead.
- (3) Assumed metal prices for estimated Mineral Resources were \$20.00 per ounce of silver, \$1,400 per ounce of gold, \$1.30 per pound zinc, \$1.05 per pound lead, except Lincoln Hill and Wilco at \$1,350 per ounce gold and \$22.00 per ounce silver, and Sterling at \$1,200 per ounce of gold.
- (4) Mineral Resources are in addition to Mineral Reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred Mineral Resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of Mineral Reserves, and there is no certainty that the Inferred Mineral Resources will be realized. The preliminary economic assessment for the re-scoped mine plan at Kensington is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred Mineral Resources, and does not have as high a level of certainty as a plan that was based solely on proven and probable reserves and there is no certainty that the results from the preliminary economic assessment will be realized.
- (5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.
- (6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2015 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

		Location	Short tons	Grade		Contained	
				Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
PROVEN RESERVES							
Palmarejo	Mexico	802,000	0.077	6.29	62,000	5,048,000	
Rochester	Nevada, USA	96,520,000	0.003	0.53	316,000	51,007,000	
Kensington	Alaska, USA	338,000	0.198	-	67,000	-	
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	11,791,000	0.032	-	374,000	-	
Total		109,451,000	0.007	0.51	819,000	56,055,000	
PROBABLE RESERVES							
Palmarejo	Mexico	8,297,000	0.076	4.81	628,000	39,871,000	
Rochester	Nevada, USA	54,171,000	0.003	0.52	161,000	28,336,000	
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,487,000	0.198	-	493,000	-	
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	14,984,000	0.023	-	338,000	-	
Total		79,939,000	0.020	0.85	1,620,000	68,207,000	
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES							
Palmarejo	Mexico	9,100,000	0.076	4.94	690,000	44,919,000	
Rochester	Nevada, USA	150,691,000	0.003	0.53	477,000	79,343,000	
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,825,000	0.198	-	560,000	-	
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	26,775,000	0.027	-	712,000	-	
Total Proven and Probable Reserves		189,391,000	0.013	0.66	2,439,000	124,262,000	

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2015 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

		Grade		Contained	
Location	Short tons	Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
MEASURED RESOURCES					
Palmarejo	Mexico	134,000	0.052	4.86	7,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	60,528,000	0.004	0.49	233,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	347,000	0.277	-	96,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	2,513,000	0.030	-	75,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	18,156,000	0.006	3.21	108,000
Total		81,678,000	0.006	1.08	519,000
INDICATED RESOURCES					
Palmarejo	Mexico	5,787,000	0.056	4.25	323,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	80,423,000	0.003	0.47	250,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,485,000	0.284	-	422,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	4,051,000	0.023	-	92,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	20,818,000	0.004	2.75	88,000
Total		112,564,000	0.010	1.06	1,175,000
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES					
Palmarejo	Mexico	5,922,000	0.056	4.27	330,000
Rochester	Nevada, USA	140,951,000	0.003	0.48	483,000
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,832,000	0.283	-	518,000
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	6,564,000	0.025	-	167,000
La Preciosa	Mexico	38,974,000	0.005	2.96	197,000
Total Measured and Indicated Resources		194,243,000	0.009	1.07	1,695,000

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2015 Inferred Mineral Resources

		Grade				Contained	
		Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)		
Location	Short tons						
INFERRED RESOURCES							
Palmarejo	Mexico	1,721,000	0.085	4.79	147,000	8,240,000	
Rochester	Nevada, USA	59,597,000	0.003	0.52	179,000	31,195,000	
Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,059,000	0.335	-	690,000	-	
Wharf	South Dakota, USA	4,488,000	0.030	-	134,000	-	
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,359,000	0.004	2.33	5,000	3,168,000	
Total Inferred Resources		69,224,000	0.017	0.62	1,155,000	42,603,000	

Figures exclude mining properties previously divested by Coeur.

Notes to 2015 mineral reserves and resources:

- (1) Effective December 31, 2015.
- (2) Assumed metal prices for estimated mineral reserves were \$17.50 per ounce of silver and \$1,250 per ounce of gold, except for lower 76 underground deposits at Palmarejo at \$15.50 per ounce of silver and \$1,150 per ounce of gold, and Wharf at \$1,275 per ounce of gold.
- (3) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized.
- (4) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.
- (5) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Proven and Probable Mineral Reserves

		Location	Short tons	Grade		Contained	
				Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
PROVEN RESERVES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	1,089,000	0.043	3.37	46,000	3,670,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	89,077,000	0.004	0.56	346,000	49,786,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	417,700	0.187	-	78,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	18,830,000	0.006	3.16	111,000	59,534,000
	Total		109,413,700	0.005	1.03	581,000	112,990,000
PROBABLE RESERVES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	5,627,000	0.078	4.80	441,000	27,007,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	56,158,000	0.003	0.54	172,000	30,418,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	2,986,000	0.185	-	551,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	21,851,000	0.004	2.71	91,000	59,196,000
	Total		86,622,000	0.014	1.35	1,255,000	116,621,000
PROVEN AND PROBABLE RESERVES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	6,715,000	0.073	4.57	488,000	30,677,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	145,235,000	0.004	0.55	518,000	80,204,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	3,403,000	0.185	-	629,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	40,681,000	0.005	2.92	202,000	118,730,000
	Total Proven and Probable Reserves		196,034,000	0.010	1.17	1,837,000	229,611,000

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources (excluding Reserves)

		Location	Short tons	Grade		Contained	
				Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
MEASURED RESOURCES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	417,000	0.062	4.48	26,000	1,870,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	72,228,000	0.003	0.45	218,000	32,565,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	181,000	0.260	-	47,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	2,305,000	0.003	1.40	7,000	3,216,000
Total			75,131,000	0.004	0.50	298,000	37,651,000
INDICATED RESOURCES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	4,554,000	0.086	4.81	391,000	21,911,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	100,973,000	0.003	0.42	273,000	42,476,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,385,000	0.242	-	335,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	4,808,000	0.004	1.74	17,000	8,389,000
Total			111,720,000	0.009	0.65	1,016,000	72,776,000
MEASURED AND INDICATED RESOURCES							
	Palmarejo	Mexico	4,971,000	0.084	4.78	417,000	23,781,000
	Rochester	Nevada, USA	173,201,000	0.003	0.43	491,000	75,041,000
	Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,566,000	0.244	-	382,000	-
	La Preciosa	Mexico	7,114,000	0.003	1.63	24,000	11,605,000
Total Measured and Indicated Resources			186,852,000	0.007	0.59	1,314,000	110,427,000

> Reserves and Resources (cont.)

2014 Inferred Mineral Resources

		Location	Short tons	Grade		Contained	
				Gold (oz/t)	Silver (oz/t)	Gold (oz)	Silver (oz)
INFERRED RESOURCES							
Palmarejo	Mexico	2,065,000	0.116	4.98	240,000	10,286,000	
Rochester	Nevada, USA	96,039,000	0.003	0.42	263,000	40,789,000	
Kensington	Alaska, USA	1,622,000	0.351	-	570,000	-	
La Preciosa	Mexico	1,344,000	0.004	1.98	5,000	2,657,000	
Total Inferred Resources		101,070,000	0.011	0.53	1,078,000	53,732,000	

Figures exclude mining properties previously divested by Coeur.

Notes to 2014 mineral reserves and resources:

- (1) Effective December 31, 2014.
- (2) Assumed metal prices for estimated mineral reserves were \$19.00 per ounce of silver and \$1,275 per ounce of gold. Assumed metal prices for mineral resources were \$22.00 per ounce of silver and \$1,350 per ounce of gold.
- (3) Palmarejo mineral reserves and resources are the addition of Palmarejo, Guadalupe, and Independencia deposits (measured, indicated, and inferred). There are no 2014 mineral reserves and resources for La Patria.
- (4) Mineral resources are in addition to mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. Inferred mineral resources are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be considered for estimation of mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the inferred mineral resources will be realized.
- (5) Rounding of tons and ounces, as required by reporting guidelines, may result in apparent differences between tons, grade, and contained metal content.
- (6) For details on the estimation of mineral resources and reserves, including the key assumptions, parameters and methods used to estimate the Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves, Canadian investors should refer to the NI 43-101 Technical Reports for Coeur's properties on file at www.sedar.com.

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. During his twenty-year tenure with Coeur, Mr. Krebs has led nearly \$2 billion in capital raising and debt restructuring activities and has facilitated over \$2 billion of acquisitions and divestitures. Mr. Krebs was previously Coeur's Chief Financial Officer and held various positions in the corporate development department, including Senior Vice President of Corporate Development. Mr. Krebs is a Director of Kansas City Southern and the National Mining Association, is on the Board of World Business Chicago, and was formerly President of the Silver Institute.

Thomas S. Whelan – Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining Coeur, Mr. Whelan served as CFO of Arizona Mining Inc. from September 2017 to August 2018, when the company was acquired from South32 Limited. Previously, Mr. Whelan served as CFO for Nevsun Resources Ltd. from January 2014 to August 2017. He is a chartered professional accountant and was previously a partner with the international accounting firm Ernst & Young ("EY") LLP where he was the EY Global Mining & Metals Assurance sector leader, the leader of the EY Assurance practice in Vancouver and previously EY's Canadian Mining & Metals sector leader. Mr. Whelan graduated with a Bachelor of Commerce from Queen's University.

Michael "Mick" Routledge – Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Routledge has over 20 years' experience with Rio Tinto in various roles beginning in 1987, including as the Chief Operating Officer (2011-2012) and Vice President HSE, Projects & Operational Value (2012-2014) of the Kennecott Utah Copper mine and served as the Chief Operating Officer of Asahi Refining from 2015 to 2017. As the Senior Director of Operational Excellence at Anagold Madencilik from 2017 to 2019, Mr. Routledge designed and implemented an operational excellence program for the Copler District in Turkey. Most recently, Mr. Routledge served as the Vice President of Major Projects and Studies of Alacer Gold Corp. since 2019. Mr. Routledge currently chairs the Health & Safety Division Executive Committee for the Society for Mining, Metallurgy & Exploration board. He received an undergraduate degree from the University of Sunderland, England in Electrical and Control Engineering and received his MBA with a focus on business and strategic transformation from Henley Management College in England.

Terry F.D. Smith. – Senior Vice President and Chief Development Officer. Mr. Smith joined Coeur in 2013 as the Vice President of North American Operations. Prior to joining Coeur, he served as Vice President of Project Development and Assessments of Hunter Dickenson Inc. Mr. Smith has managed projects ranging from scoping to the feasibility level, coordinated field investigations, metallurgy laboratory testing, and engineering design. He also has significant experience in strategic project planning and due diligence reviews for potential acquisitions including environmental, metallurgical, geotechnical and mining inputs. Mr. Smith has also served as Manager of Operations Support for Barrick Gold Corporation in Toronto and as Senior Mining Engineer for Teck Cominco Ltd. in Vancouver. Mr. Smith holds a Bachelor of Mining Engineering from Laurentian University in Sudbury, Ontario.

Casey M. Nault – Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary. Mr. Nault has over 20 years of experience as a corporate and securities lawyer, including prior in-house positions with Starbucks Corporation and Washington Mutual, Inc. and law firm experience with Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher. His experience includes securities compliance and SEC reporting, corporate governance and compliance, mergers and acquisitions, public and private securities offerings, other strategic transactions, general regulatory compliance, cross-border issues, land use and environmental issues, and overseeing complex litigation.

Hans J. Rasmussen – Senior Vice President, Exploration. Mr. Rasmussen has 30 years of experience in the mining business, 16 years of which were with senior producers Newmont Mining and Kennecott/Rio Tinto; as well as serving as a consultant for senior producers such as BHP, Teck-Cominco, and Quadra Mining. From 2004 to 2013, he was an officer or served on the Board of Directors of several junior public exploration companies with gold and silver projects in Quebec, Nevada, Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Peru, and Bolivia, including serving on the Board of Directors of Atex Resources Inc. (formerly known as Columbia Crest Gold Corp.) since 2006.

Emilie C. Schouten – Senior Vice President, Human Resources. Ms. Schouten has 15 years of experience in Human Resources, starting her career in General Electric, where she graduated from GE's Human Resources Leadership Program. After 6 years as an HR Manager with GE, her division was acquired by the world's largest electrical distribution company, Rexel, and Ms. Schouten went on to become the Director of Training and Development. Ms. Schouten has her B.A. in Sociology from Michigan State University and her M.S. in Industrial Labor Relations from University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Robert E. Mellor – Independent Chairman of the board of Monro Muffler/Brake, Inc. (auto service provider) since June 2017, and appointed Interim Chief Executive Officer in August 2020. Previously Mr. Mellor was lead independent director from April 2011 to June 2017 and a member of the board of directors since August 2010; Former Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of Building Materials Holding Corporation (distribution, manufacturing and sales of building materials and component products) from 1997 to January 2010, director from 1991 to January 2010; former member of the board of directors of CalAtlantic Group, Inc. (national residential home builder) from October 2015 to February 2018, when CalAtlantic was acquired by Lennar Corporation; former member of the board of directors of the Ryland Group (national home builder, merged with another builder to form CalAtlantic) from 1999 to October 2015; and former member of the board of directors of Stock Building Supply Holdings, Inc. (lumber and building materials distributor) from March 2010 until December 2015 when it merged with another company. He was recently named a 2020 National Association of Corporate Directors Directorship 100 honoree.

Mitchell J. Krebs – President and Chief Executive Officer. See prior slide.

Linda L. Adamany – Member of the board of directors of Jefferies Financial Group (formerly known as Leucadia National Corporation), a diversified holding company engaged in a variety of businesses, since March 2014, and a member of the board of directors of Jefferies Group Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Jefferies Financial Group Inc., since November 2018; non-executive director of BlackRock Institutional Trust Company since March 2018; non-executive director of the Wood plc from October 2017 to May 2019; non-executive director of Amec Foster Wheeler plc, an engineering, project management, and consultancy company, from October 2012 until the Company was acquired by Wood plc in October 2017; member of the board of directors of National Grid plc, an electricity and gas generation, transmission, and distribution company, from November 2006 to November 2012. Served at BP plc in several capacities from July 1980 until her retirement in August 2007, most recently from April 2005 to August 2007 as a member of the five-person Refining & Marketing Executive Committee responsible for overseeing the day-to-day operations and human resource management of BP plc's Refining & Marketing segment, a \$45 billion business at the time. She was recently selected as one of Women Inc. Magazine's 2018 Most Influential Corporate Directors.

Sebastian Edwards – Henry Ford II Professor of International Business Economics at the Anderson Graduate School of Management at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) from 1996 to present; Co-Director of the National Bureau of Economic Research's Africa Project from 2009 to present; taught at IAE Universidad Austral in Argentina and at the Kiel Institute from 2000 to 2004; Chief Economist for Latin America at the World Bank Group from 1993 to 1996. Currently a Member of the Board of Moneda Asset Management, an investment management firm in Chile, and Centro de Estudios Públicos, Chile.

Randolph E. Gress – Retired Chairman (November 2006 until January 2016 and director from August 2004 until January 2016) and Chief Executive Officer (from 2004 until December 2015) of Innophos Holdings, Inc., a leading international producer of performance-critical and nutritional specialty ingredients for the food, beverage, dietary supplements, pharmaceutical, and industrial end markets. Mr. Gress was with Innophos since its formation in 2004, when Bain Capital purchased Rhodia SA's North American specialty phosphate business. Prior to his time at Innophos, Mr. Gress was with Rhodia since 1997 and held various positions including Global President of Specialty Phosphates (with two years based in the U.K.) and Vice-President and General Manager of the NA Sulfuric Acid and Regeneration businesses. From 1982 to 1997, Mr. Gress served in various roles at FMC Corporation including Corporate Strategy and various manufacturing, marketing, and supply chain positions.

Eduardo Luna – Chairman of the Board of Rochester Resources Ltd., Mr. Luna has spent over forty years in the precious metals mining industry and has held prior senior executive and board positions at several companies including Industrial Peñoles, Goldcorp Inc., Luismin SA de CV, Wheaton River Minerals Ltd., Alamos Gold Inc., Dyna Resource, Inc. and Primero Mining Corp. He is currently the Chairman of the board of directors of Rochester Resources Ltd., a junior natural resources company with assets in Mexico. He is also a member of the Board of Directors of Wheaton Precious Metals Corp. Mr. Luna is the former President of the Mexican Mining Chamber and a former President of the Silver Institute. He was recently inducted into the Mexico Mining Hall of Fame and serves as Chairman of the Advisory Board of the Faculty of Mines at the University of Guanajuato where he received a degree in Mining Engineering.

> Board of Directors (cont.)

Jessica L. McDonald – Ms. McDonald has extensive leadership experience in both the public and private sectors and currently serves as a member of the Board of Directors of Hydro One Limited. She served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of BC Hydro and Power Authority, a clean energy utility with over \$5.5 billion in annual revenues and more than 5,000 employees, from May 2014 to July 2017. She was the Chair of Canada Post Corporation from December 2017 to July 2020 and was interim President and Chief Executive Officer from April 2018 until March 2019. From October 2017 to March 2020, she was a member of the Board of Directors of Trevali Mining Corporation and served as Chair from March 2019 to March 2020. Since 1991, Ms. McDonald has held many senior positions in the British Columbia provincial government, including Deputy Minister to the Premier, Cabinet Secretary and Head of the BC Public Service. She has been selected as a Mentor at the Trudeau Foundation and named to Canada's Top 100 Most Powerful Women Hall of Fame. She also recently became a visiting fellow at Stanford University's Center for Energy Policy and Finance and was recently appointed to the Member Council of Sustainable Development Technology Canada.

John H. Robinson – Chairman of Hamilton Ventures LLC since founding the firm in 2006. Chief Executive Officer of Nowa Technology, Inc. (development and marketing of environmentally sustainable wastewater treatment technology) from 2013 to 2014. Chairman of EPC Global, Ltd. (engineering staffing company) from 2003 to 2004. Executive Director of Amey plc (British business process outsourcing company) from 2000 to 2002. Vice Chairman of Black & Veatch Inc. (engineering and construction) from 1998 to 2000. Mr. Robinson began his career at Black & Veatch and was Managing Partner prior to becoming Vice Chairman. He is a member of the Board of Directors of Alliance Resource Partners, L.P. (coal mining) and Olsson Associates (engineering consulting). He was a member of the Board of Directors of Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines (financial Services) from 2007 to 2019.

J. Kenneth Thompson – Chairman of Pioneer Natural Resources Company (oil and gas) and member of the board of directors of Alaska Air Group, Inc. (parent company of Alaska Airlines, Virgin America Airlines and Horizon Air), presiding (Lead) Director of Tetra Tech, Inc. (engineering consulting). President and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Star Energy LLC (private energy investment firm in Alaska) from September 2000 to present, with a principal holding in Alaska Venture Capital Group LLC (private oil and gas exploration company) from December 2004 to present; Executive Vice President of ARCO's Asia Pacific oil and gas operating companies in Alaska, California, Indonesia, China, and Singapore from 1998 to 2000.

Corporate Office	Coeur Mining, Inc. 104 S. Michigan Ave., Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60603
Main Telephone	+1 (312) 489-5800
Stock Ticker	CDE: NYSE
Website	www.coeur.com
Contact	Paul DePartout Director, Investor Relations investors@coeur.com